

Question Asked
<p>Thank you for updating the ArcGIS maps. The tool is very valuable for us. Can you discuss the general difference between these layers on the e-maps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Streams – SWPP</li> <li>•Streams - R18-11 Appendix B</li> <li>•Streams - Assessed 2018, 2020 (draft)</li> <li>•Streams - Designated Use</li> </ul>
<p>This format is difficult, at best. A LOT of information with variable audio quality. Can you provide the slides ahead of time next time so that we may be better positioned to contribute meaningful questions?</p>
<p>for virtual inspections completed in June, is the consultant's report the only inspection report we should expect? Will ADEQ also send a letter or report? If so, what is the timeframe for that letter/report from ADEQ?</p>
<p>What will be the agenda of the SWPP kick off meeting on August 26th?</p>
<p>Did Chris Montague mentioned that the DMGP (and other permits) are currently out for public comment period? I'm not finding it on the webpage.</p>
<p>Do you have a timeline for answering the comments that were submitted for the DRAFT SM4 Permit?</p>
<p>*MS4...</p>
<p>I thought this program was intended to simply continue protection of WOTUS that would lose WOTUS protection because of the Trump era definition of WOTUS. It sounds like you are starting a whole new program for other waters.</p>
<p>How is ADEQ permitting Phase 2 MS4s that discharge to WOTUS and non-WOTUS waters?</p>
<p>There is a draft list of Protected Surface Waters and Appendix B. Which one of these lists should a permitted entity follow as a water to protect?</p>
<p>Will or are ephemeral streams included in the review of surface waters (2020 and/or 2022)?</p>
<p>why dont i see the questions after 'chris montaigne'</p>
<p>The Best Management Practices plan for the 2021 DMGP was due to be updated by July 30th. Will that date be extended given the new revisions to the permit?</p>
<p>Is there a Arizona law that prohibits County Development and Planning Services Departments to not take available surface and underground water into account when deciding on permitting construction on new or existing development approval. Yavapai County residents are being told there is some kind of law, but they won't tell us what it is. When we ask for the policy or law, they tell us they cannot tell us because their attorneys are not allowed to give the public legal information.</p>
<p>I am noticing a lot of confusion on the implementation side, - contractors that prepare the SWPPP – identifying the protected surface water. Is it fair to assume that at a minimum the preparer must check the e-maps and identify discharges to the SWPP layer?</p>
<p>Won't whether or not some ephemeral waters are included in the 2022 review depend on the result of federal evaluation of the definition of WOTUS (depending on the status of this effort come 2022)?</p>
<p>Is ADEQ planning to use the same kind of process for drafting the rule that it used for drafting the legislation? If so, please explain why anyone other than the mining and development interests should participate?</p>
<p>If a discharge lasts two months going to a non State Protected Water, then during the second month the discharge location becomes a State Protected Water, do we need to report the entire discharge, or just the portion when the discharge goes to a Protected Water?</p>
<p>when will the Pesticide General Permit be finalized?</p>
<p>EPA recently provided a statement that the previous administration wotus rules have been scrapped and new measures will be crafted. I understand there will be a comment period. Is there more insight on this issue. EPA has stated now will revert to 1986 wotus definition.</p>
<p>Was this presentation recorded and available.</p>
<p>Can you make all questions/chats visible to all?</p>
<p>can you change the name of the Surface Water Protection Program (SWPP) to Protected Surface Water Program (PSWP)? SWPP is easily confused with SWPPP</p>

The Colorado is listed now as a Special Waters, are the ephemeral washes that are tributaries to the Colorado now Special Waters, considered reaches?
To the ADEQ Team, thank you again for this update and the opportunity to ask questions and provide input. Do you know if all the waters listed in the draft Protected Surface Water List are also included in Appendix B that shows the standards for the designated uses? As a permittee, we use this information to understand compliance.
The only use of reaches I remember are the ephemeral reaches of the major rivers, included in HB2691. Does the redefinition of "WOTUS" (excluding ephemerals) mean that designated uses will be removed for Surface Water Standards for a designated use is ... Aquatic and wildlife (ephemeral) (A&We) for the uses of an ephemeral water, and Aquatic and wildlife (effluent-dependent water) (A&Wedw)?
After reading the MS4, it left me with more questions than answers. Who can provide guidance? (MS4 - Pima)
Can we see the comments submitted (currently under review) for the small MS4 permit or will we need to wait until ADEQ issues responses?
Are there additional waters besides Appendix B listed waters now included under SWPP?
Another question on the emaps. As I mention before this is a very good tool and we use it a lot. Q. The perennial stream layer names the washes. Is there a plan to name the washes on the other layers?
If a Phase 2 MS4 doesn't discharge to a protected water, what (if any) documentation or justification is needed to demonstrate permit coverage is not needed once the new MS4 permit is in affect?
Why would you have one map with intermittent streams and another with ephemeral since they are the same thing?
If the triennial review accepts the proposed changes to SWQS how will that be reflected in TMDLs and AZPDES permits?
In physical geography and geology, intermittent and ephemeral are interchangeable.
Your variety of maps are well used!