ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

CONSULTATION MATERIALS Prepared for the Havasupai Tribal Council Members

June 9, 2026

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

Table of Contents

Fact Sheet:
Pinyon Plain Mine



Coconino Aquifer—The Coconino aquifer is a perched aquifer located within the Coconino Sandstone. The aquifer rests on the Hermit Shale, a silty mudstone that restricts groundwater from vertical migration

North Coconino Well—The North Well is the Point of Compliance well in which arsenic concentrations have increased since permit issuance. Monitoring indicates that water with elevated arsenic concentrations flows towards the mine shaft and does not leave the facility.

South Coconino Well—The South Well is another Point of Compliance well located in the Coconino aquifer. The well is located between the Mine shaft and the USGS well located just offsite.

East Coconino Well—The East well is the last Point of Compliance well located in the Coconino aquifer. The well has observed a greater decline in the water level than the other Coconino monitoring wells.

Cone of Depression—This is the zone of rock around the shaft from which water has already drained into the mine. The void created by the shaft causes water in the Coconino aquifer to seep into the shaft to replace and try to re-level the now dry zone. EFRI pumps all drainage to the surface where it is contained in a lined non-stormwater impoundment. This prevents the seepage water from re-leveling the groundwater elevation.

Monitoring indicates that the cone of depression now extends beyond the facility's perimeter. Please note that the extent of the cone of depression shown in the figure to the left is not to scale.

Note: The shapes used to denote the cone of depression covers the red 'Upper Ore Zone' label and associated double-sided arrow.

Redwall-Muav Aquifer—The Redwall-Muav (RM) aquifer is a confined aquifer hosted in the Redwall Limestone. Approximately 1400 feet of non-permeable rock currently lies between the bottom of the main shaft and the RM aquifer.

Breccia Pipe—This is the geologic structure in which the uranium has been naturally deposited. Hydraulic testing conducted prior to the issuance of the original APP permit indicates that the breccia pipe is mineralized and does not function as a fluid conduit. Additional details on formation may be found at the link below.

usgs.gov/publications/solution-collapse-breccia-pipe-uranium-deposits-southern-colorado-plateau-northwestern

Cone of Depression and Site Map

The simplified site map on the left shows the locations of the three Coconino monitoring wells, the approximate location of the breccia pipe, and the location of the lined non-stormwater impoundment. The mine shaft's indicator is located in the center of the contour lines (blue rings) in the upper right quadrant of the map. The figure is to scale.

The blue numbered lines that form several rings around the mine indicate lines of equal elevation — similarly to the topographic lines on hiking and recreational maps (example below). Site-specific water levels measured at the monitoring wells around the perimeter of the facility indicate that the cone of depression is still developing and increasing in size. This has formed an apparent 'funnel' in the water table around the mine shaft due to the continued removal of groundwater from the system. Mining operations require continuous removal of groundwater that seeps into the shaft, thereby preventing the local hydraulic system from equilibrating to pre-mining conditions. The system will not be able to re-equilibrate until the post-closure monitoring period, at which time one of the Coconino wells will be identified as the 'downgradient' well at the facility.

The local hydrology and monitoring indicates that the Coconino monitoring wells, though designated as points of compliance, are not currently downgradient of mining operations as described in A.R.S. §49-244. These monitoring wells were incorporated into the original APP permit to ensure that EFRI was required to continue monitoring after local operations cease, and that facility closure and any potential impacts are monitored and addressed prior to permit release.

Example Contour Lines: Elevation increases up the mountain. The site figure indicates the opposite.





Pinyon Plain Mine Arsenic Data: October 2024 to Present

Energy Fuels Resources, Inc. (EFRI) collects compliance samples quarterly at Pinyon Plain Mine to monitor the groundwater. The table and graphs below summarize the monitoring data from October 2024 through the first quarter of 2026. The table also includes the results from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ) independent testing conducted during that same time period.

During the first quarter of 2025, the arsenic sample result in the North Well (POC #2) was 0.206 mg/L. In accordance with permit requirements, EFRI resampled to confirm the exceedance, and the resample result was 0.0434 mg/L. The confirmation sample exceeded the Alert Level (AL) of 0.04 mg/L, but did not exceed the Aquifer Quality Limit (AQL) of 0.05 mg/L.

Reaching the alert level is a warning which requires an investigation, more frequent monitoring, and potential action to be taken to prevent an exceedance of the AQL. In compliance with the permit, EFRI began accelerated monitoring, which requires sampling monthly at POC #2. Four consecutive samples must be below the AL in order to cease accelerated monitoring without a permit amendment. During the initial permit process, ADEQ understood that the Pinyon Plain Mine would cause temporary changes in the nearby groundwater flow. Because the mine shaft passes through the upper aquifer it creates an area around the mine where the groundwater moves towards the shaft, known as a cone of depression. The mine has not added any pollutants to the groundwater, but the migration of the groundwater due to the cone of depression has caused naturally occurring minerals in the local geology to be released into the groundwater.

The accelerated monitoring results demonstrate groundwater arsenic levels which are consistently between the AL and the AQL. The permit allows EFRI to provide data supporting a change to the AL/AQL along with an amendment application to adjust the permitted limit if sampling results do not consistently remain below the AL and the permittee demonstrates that the exceedance is not due to the permittee adding pollutants to the aquifer. In other words, the fluctuation in concentrations is the result of groundwater in the zone of influence flowing through naturally mineralized material. ADEQ evaluates the data and revises permit limits in situations such as this where evidence supports the revision.

Split Sampling

ADEQ began independently checking the mine's groundwater sampling in October 2024 through a process called split sampling. ADEQ conducts split sampling twice a year, usually in the spring and fall.

During split sampling, ADEQ staff collect water samples at the same time as EFRI's third party consultant collects samples for the mine. ADEQ sends its samples to a separate laboratory for testing and compares those results with the mine's reported results. In addition to verifying that

EFRI follows proper sampling procedures, ADEQ also has its own sampling plan and documented procedures that are followed during sampling events.

The main purposes of split sampling are:

- to audit the facility's sampling protocol and ensure all procedures are acceptable and being followed, and;
- to ensure that laboratory results can be reasonably reproduced by a separate independent laboratory.

The table lists quarterly compliance sampling events. If split sampling was not conducted, ADEQ's result is entered as "NA." Monthly sampling data for the North Well (POC #2) is available in a separate table.

To date all split samples are within appropriate thresholds of deviation to verify the accuracy and legitimacy of the samples collected by EFRI. Some minimal differences between laboratory results are normal because different laboratories test different samples using different equipment.

If there were to be an occurrence of a significant difference between EFRI sample results and the split sample results, ADEQ reviews additional laboratory quality checks to determine whether testing met standards and results are reliable.

Location	Compound	Sample Date	Concentration Reported (mg/L)	ADEQ Split Sample Result (mg/L)	Alert Level (mg/L)	Aquifer Quality Limit (mg/L)
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/9/24	0.0092	0.014	NA	0.218
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/20/25	0.0943	0.037	NA	0.218
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	4/22/25	0.0214	0.02	NA	0.218
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	7/15/25	0.011	Non Detect	NA	0.218
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/21/25	0.0126	0.012	NA	0.218
POC #1 EAST WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/14/26	0.0075	NA	NA	0.218
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/9/24	0.03	0.037	0.04	0.05
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/22/25	0.0434*	NA	0.04	0.05
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	4/23/25	0.0341	0.036	0.04	0.05

Location	Compound	Sample Date	Concentration Reported (mg/L)	ADEQ Split Sample Result (mg/L)	Alert Level (mg/L)	Aquifer Quality Limit (mg/L)
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	7/17/25	0.0428	NA	0.04	0.05
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/21/25	0.0426	0.042	0.04	0.05
POC #2 NORTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/15/26	0.0349	NA	0.04	0.05
POC #3 SOUTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/8/24	0.0145	0.017	0.043	0.05
POC #3 SOUTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/21/25	0.0268	NA	0.043	0.05
POC #3 SOUTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	4/22/25	0.0216	0.022	0.043	0.05
POC #3 SOUTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	7/16/25	0.0207	NA	0.043	0.05
POC #3 SO UTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/22/25	0.0072	0.023	0.043	0.05
POC #3 SOUTH WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/13/26	0.0034	NA	0.043	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/8/24	0.0044	0.001	0.04	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/22/25	0.0078	NA	0.04	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	4/23/25	0.0078	0.001	0.04	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	7/17/25	0.0078	NA	0.04	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	10/22/25	0.0002	0.001	0.04	0.05
POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL	DISSOLVED ARSENIC	1/15/26	0.001	NA	0.04	0.05

**The January 2025 data in this table reflects the resample result, not the original sample result which was determined to be an outlier.*

The accelerated monitoring data for the North Well (POC #2) is in a separate table on the following page.

ACCELERATED MONITORING DATA FOR NORTH WELL (POC #2)

Sample Date	Compound	Result (mg/L)	Alert Level (mg/L)	Aquifer Quality Limit (mg/L)
1/22/25 (initiated accelerated monitoring)	Dissolved Arsenic	0.2060	0.04	0.05
2/7/25 (verification sample)	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0434	0.04	0.05
3/19/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0316	0.04	0.05
4/23/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0341	0.04	0.05
5/23/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0406	0.04	0.05
<Missing June sample>	Dissolved Arsenic	NA	0.04	0.05
7/17/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0428	0.04	0.05
8/19/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0352	0.04	0.05
9/11/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0407	0.04	0.05
10/21/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0426	0.04	0.05
11/11/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0384	0.04	0.05
12/17/25	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0440	0.04	0.05
1/15/26	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0349	0.04	0.05
2/10/26	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0290	0.04	0.05
3/10/26	Dissolved Arsenic	0.0324	0.04	0.05

POC #1 EAST WELL



POC #2 NORTH WELL



POC #3 SOUTH WELL



POC #4 REDWALL-MUAV WELL



June 2025 Missing Sample - Timeline of Events

Energy Fuels Resources, Inc. (EFRI) third party contractor collected samples on June 27, 2025. Field records document a sample labeled MW-02_06272025 was collected on June 27, 2025, at 15:30.

Samples collected on June 27, 2025, were shipped to Pace Labs on July 2, 2025, via FedEx Tracking # 672719043830.

FedEx tracking information showed receipt of the samples by Pace Labs on July 3, 2025, at 08:00.

EFRI contacted their contractor on July 3, 2025, when they noticed that the sample MW-02_06272025 had no associated confirmation. The contractor confirmed that the sample was collected and provided EFRI their field data, the chain of custody for the samples, and the tracking number of the shipment.

EFRI contacted Pace Labs on July 7, 2025, to inquire about the missing sample. Pace Labs responded that sample receiving staff was unavailable to ask that day, but would ask the next day.

EFRI sent emails to Pace Labs on July 9, 2025, and July 11, 2025, to follow up. Pace responded on July 9, 2025, and July 14, 2025, that the sample had not yet been located.

Pace Labs confirmed by email on July 15, 2025, that they looked through all areas of the lab and could not locate the missing sample.

Responses to questions received during consultation on April 24, 2026

Question: Provide the distance and depth of the 3 perimeter monitoring wells in relation to the mine shaft.

ADEQ uses three point of compliance (POC) monitoring wells to monitor the groundwater conditions in the Coconino aquifer around the facility. The three POC wells are each located within approximately 500 feet of the mine shaft. Geologists verified the distances using surveyed drill hole measurements. Listed below are the three POC wells approximate distance from the mine shaft and depth.

- The East Coconino well (POC #1) is approximately 300 feet from the shaft and is 1,145 feet deep.
- The North Coconino well (POC #2) is approximately 305 feet from the shaft and is 1,130 feet deep.
- The South Coconino well (POC #3) is approximately 485 feet from the shaft and is 1,148 feet deep.

The permit also includes a fourth POC well — the Redwall-Muav well (POC #4) — which also serves as the facility's water supply well. It is located approximately 280 feet from the shaft and is 2,960 feet deep. Cement seals the well from the ground surface to 2,380 feet below ground surface to prevent water from moving between the two separate aquifers beneath the facility.

The Pinyon Plain Mine Fact Sheet includes a site map showing the locations of the Coconino monitoring wells, the mine shaft, and the breccia pipe which contains the ore body.

Question: Provide the proposed updated numerical standard.

Energy Fuels Resources, Inc. (EFRI) has requested an update to the arsenic standard for the North Coconino POC well (POC #2) to 0.055 milligrams per liter (mg/L). The current permitted standard in the North Coconino POC well is 0.05 mg/L. The amendment does not propose changes to any other permit limits.

Question: The calculation for the adjusted standard should include the high outlier sample, even though the inclusion will result in a permit with a higher and less protective standard.

ADEQ understands the Havasupai Tribe's request to include all data in the calculation. However, ADEQ's statistical review found that one sample result was significantly different from the rest of the groundwater data collected at the site and was not representative of normal groundwater conditions and was not repeated with a verification sample or samples collected since that time.

Including the outlier would increase the permit limit to 0.2 mg/L, which is more than four times higher (400%) than the current limit of 0.05 mg/L. By comparison, the current application requests an increase of about 10%.

To remain consistent with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's statistical procedures and ADEQ's mission to protect human health and the environment through science-based regulation, ADEQ removed the outlier and used the lower, more conservative and protective limit calculation.

Question: This permit action should be considered as an "other" amendment instead of a "minor" amendment.

The current permit allows the company to submit technical information showing that an Alert Level (AL) exceedance was caused by natural conditions rather than a discharge from the facility. EFRI submitted technical information and laboratory data to support its request.

Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) R18-9-A211(C)(7) defines this type of permit change as a minor amendment when the original permit already established the method for calculating alert levels or permit limits.

Because criteria for calculation of ALs is established in Section 2.5.3.3 of the permit, this permit action is a minor amendment.

The following are examples of increasing arsenic levels in groundwater in response to only water withdrawal by drinking water systems.






Red Rock Crossing MHP (Sedona, AZ)
Sample Result (MG/L)
Trendline for Sample Result (MG/L) R² = 0.266
MCL
0.050
0.045
0.040
0.035
0.030
0.025
0.020
0.015
0.010
0.005
0.000
Arsenic Concentration (MG/L)
1/1/2000
1/1/2005
1/1/2010
1/1/2015
1/1/2020
Sample Collection Date


Beaver Creek School (Rimrock, AZ)
Sample Result (MG/L)
Trendline for Sample Result (MG/L) R² = 0.881
MCL
0.025
0.020
0.015
0.010
0.005
0.000
Arsenic Concentration (MG/L)
2000-01-01
2005-01-01
2010-01-01
2015-01-01
2020-01-01
2025-01-01
Sample collection Date

Record of communications between ADEQ and the Havasupai Tribe

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
Water Quality Division Related to Pinyon Plain Mine's (PPM) Minor Amendment		
Nov. 18, 2025	Pinyon Plain Mine's (PPM) Minor Amendment application	ADEQ received Energy Fuels Resources, Inc. (EFRI) Application.
Jan. 12, 2026	PPM Revised Minor Amendment application	ADEQ received a revised application from EFRI.
Feb. 11, 2026	EFRI's Pinyon Plain Mine minor amendment application receipt notice	ADEQ sent notice of EFRI's PPM minor amendment application to the following Tribes: Havasupai, Hualapai, Hopi, Kaibab Band of Paiute, Navajo Nation, San Juan Southern Paiute.
Feb. 25, 2026	PPM Minor Amendment application and the permit process discussion meeting with Tribal representatives.	Virtual meeting to discuss the Minor Amendment application and permit process with Denten Robinson, Outside Counsel for Havasupai Tribe. ADEQ participants: Trevor Baggiore, Eddie Slade, Randy Matas, David Kim, and Len Drago.
April 24, 2026	Consultation with Havasupai Tribal Council	
May 1, 2026	Schedule follow-up consultation the Tribe requested	Email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson from ADEQ to request a time to have the follow-up consultation that the Tribe requested.
May 5, 2026	Schedule follow-up consultation communication.	Email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson. ADEQ emailed another request regarding timing to meet.
May 5, 2026	Schedule follow-up consultation communication.	ADEQ email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson. Chairwoman Melinda Yaiva responded that she was checking with the Council for a date.
May 7, 2026	Schedule follow-up consultation communication.	ADEQ email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson. Chairwoman Melinda Yaiva responded and requested a followup consultation for June 2, 2026.
May 14, 2026	Postponing of follow-up consultation	ADEQ email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson. Chairwoman Melinda Yaiva followed up to inform that the June 2 consultation is postponed to a future date.

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
May 18, 2026	Follow-up about pending consultation	Email correspondence with Tribal Council and Denten Robinson. Emailed Chairwoman regarding scheduling purposes that Director Peters will be out of the country June 4-8 and June 23-25 and that from June 9-22 and June 23-July 2 most obligations could be rescheduled.
May 26, 2026	The Chairwoman emailed the consultation date.	The Chairwoman proposed consultation on June 9, 2026.
May 27 and 29, 2026	ADEQ emailed the Chairwoman regarding a time of the June 9th consultation	To confirm the date and time so that the team can make travel arrangements.
May 29, 2026	Chairwoman email response	Confirmed consultation is June 9, 2026 at 11 a.m. which will be on the Tribal Council agenda.
June 9, 2026	Consultation scheduled with Havasupai Tribal Council	
Water Quality Division US Geological Survey (USGS) Sampling Request Related to an Investigation of the Hydrology South of Grand Canyon		
Aug. 27, 2025	Letter from Havasupai's Chairwoman Bernadine Jones	Chairwoman states that the Tribe supports the Governor's efforts regarding the proposed USGS water study and proceeds to approve the request to collect water samples from the Havasupai Reservation with ensuring the safety of all tribal members based on the following conditions: (i) USGS will collect the samples from the Reservation, (ii) visits to the Reservation to collect samples will be coordinated with the Tribe's water department, (iii) tribal representatives will accompany the USGS water team to the locations on the Reservation where samples will be taken, and (iv) the results of the samples taken from the Reservation will be shared with the Tribe immediately upon receiving test results. And recommended collecting water samples in springs located in Cataract Canyon above the Havasu Creek headwaters which may be better for the study. And that the headwaters can also be included.

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
Sept. 30, 2025	USGS sampling request to conduct an investigation of the hydrology south of the Grand Canyon	US Geological Survey (USGS) emailed ADEQ to start the conversation with the Havasupai Tribe regarding a project to conduct an investigation of the hydrology south of Grand Canyon to better understand groundwater flow pathways which includes water quality sampling and collecting a sample from Havasu Spring in an area where the spring water emerges from the ground and starts to flow on the surface. The letter from Chairwoman Jones in response to the letter from the Governor's office indicates that USGS should coordinate with the Tribe's water department.
Oct. 1 to Nov. 13, 2025	USGS Communication Gap	Due to federal government shutdown
Nov. 17, 2025	ADEQ emailed Chairwoman Jones and copied Havasupai's Outside Counsel, Denten Robinson .	Requested the Tribes support for contact at Havasupai Tribe's Water Department to coordinate and plan to collect a sample from Havasu Springs depending on the weather but tentatively the week of December 1-5 could be USGS's soonest availability. ADEQ received an automated reply that the email would be addressed in the order it was received.
Dec. 1, 2025	ADEQ forwarded its November 17 2026 email to Chairwoman Bernadine Jones to Mr. Robinson regarding the USGS request for a Tribal contact.	Requesting a contact from the Tribe.
Dec. 5, 2025	ADEQ contacted Denten Robinson by phone to determine if he knew the status of the sampling coordination request.	Denten Robinson thought that the council approved the sampling and would follow up on the status. And that before any site entry he would provide us with the Tribal contact name(s) and logistics to arrange a coordination meeting before onsite access.
Dec. 9, 2025	Denten Robinson emailed ADEQ regarding the Tribes supporting conditions related to the USGS study and sample collection.	Emailed communicated that after a telephone conversation with Mr. Drago from the previous week reviewed his files on the request from AZDEQ to collect water samples from the Havasupai Reservation in collaboration with USGS and stated that the Tribal Council approved the request based on certain conditions that the Chairwoman outlined in a letter dated August 27, 2025. Denten Robinson asked ADEQ to review the letter and let him know if ADEQ and USGS agree to the conditions.
Dec. 12, 2025	ADEQ responded to Mr. Robinson's email.	ADEQ's email response stated that the conditions outlined in the letter are acceptable to ADEQ and USGS.

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
Jan. 5 and 6, 2026	ADEQ and USGS emailed regarding any status update.	ADEQ spoke to Denten Robinson and he informed us that he was working on the request and will let us know the Tribes response.
Jan. 20, 2026	ADEQ attempted to reach Chairwoman Melinda Yaiva by phone.	Used phone number 928-448-2731 with no response.
Jan. 28, 2026	USGS emailed ADEQ with proposed sampling dates.	Communicated that they would be in the area in mid-April to collect other water quality samples and that may be a good time of year to sample if that works well for the Havasupai Tribe
Jan. 28, 2026	ADEQ sent a detailed email to Chairwoman Melinda Yaiva regarding the need for a Tribal contact.	The email explained the background of the US Geological Survey (USGS) to conduct an investigation of the hydrology south of Grand Canyon to better understand groundwater flow pathways. And that part of the investigation includes water quality sampling and collecting a sample from Havasu Spring. And that the sample would be collected from the area where the spring water emerges from the ground and starts to flow on the surface. Requested a contact to coordinate the sampling and any approval documents for property access.
Feb. 2, 2026	Pinyon Plain Mine Working Group (#10)	ADEQ raised the USGS sampling request for a Tribal contact to coordinate sampling logistics. Carletta Tilousi commented that she would help forward our ADEQ email sent to the Chairwoman.
Feb. 5, 2026	Former Tribal Council Member Carletta Tilousi	Forwarded ADEQ's email to Chairwoman Melinda Yiava.

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
Feb. 17, 2026	ADEQ Virtual Meeting with Denten Robinson.	Denten Robinson followed up regarding the Jan. 28th email that ADEQ sent to Chairwoman requesting a meeting on Feb. 19th specifically to – discuss the USGS study and … he stated … also the more concerning Aquifer Protection Permit modification for Energy Fuels. Meeting representatives: • Denten Robinson, Havasupai's Outside Counsel • Kimberly Beisner, USGS, Study Project Manager • Trevor Baggiore, ADEQ, Director Water Quality Division • Randy Matas, ADEQ, Deputy Director Water Quality DivisionADEQ • Len Drago, ADEQ, Tribal Liaison • Eddie Slade, ADEQ, Counsel Denten Robinson had to postpone the meeting due to a conflict. The meeting was rescheduled for Feb. 25.
Feb. 25, 2026	ADEQ virtual meeting with Mr. Robinson regarding concerns related to the amendment.	Note, Mr. Robinson changed the meeting objective to only focus on the permit amendment and discuss the USGS sampling coordination request for another time. Therefore the USGS was not in attendance. ADEQ explained the purpose of the APP permit amendment and answered Denten's questions. Following the meeting, Denten Robinson followed up with an email to Director Peters and representatives from the Governor's Office to express concerns related to the amendment and closed his email with a request that ADEQ not approve the amendment to the aquifer protection permit until the Tribe has had a reasonable amount of time to review the data and technical reports being relied on by ADEQ to make its decision. ADEQ staff said they are open to consultation with the Tribe anytime. Mr. Robinson also requested that a decision on the Energy Fuels Amendment not be made by ADEQ until a consultation with the Havasupai Tribe has been held.
March 2, 2026	ADEQ emailed Denten Robinson to schedule the meeting with USGS to discuss sampling coordination logistics.	The email requested Mr. Robinson's availability to meet with USGS during the weeks of March 2 or 9.

Date	Subject	Notification / Comments
Air Quality Division		
May 18, 2026	PPM Air Permit Renewal application received on March 25, 2026.	Notified Havasupai of the PPM Air permit renewal.
May 19, 2026	PPM Air Permit Renewal	Governors Office on Tribal Relations (GOTR): Jason Chavez, Director of Tribal Affairs, Governor's Office and Angela Willeford, Deputy Director of Tribal Affairs.
May 19, 2026	PPM Air Permit Renewal	Emailed Denten Robinson, Outside Counsel (Denten) for Havasupai Tribe.