

ADEQ Inventory No.	102469	Permit No.	AZ0023621
LTF No.	98484	Place ID No.	1922

# AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE ARIZONA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1; the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, (33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq., as amended), and Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) Title 18, Chapter 9, Articles 9 and 10, and amendments thereto,

United States Department of the Interior – National Park Services Havasupai Garden Potable Water Pump Station P. O. Box 129 Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023-0129

is authorized to discharge chlorinated groundwater from the Havasupai Garden Pumping Station located approximately 2.5 miles north of Grand Canyon South Rim Village serving the Grand Canyon National Park South Rim and Cross Canyon Corridor in Coconino County, Arizona to Garden Creek and Bright Angel Creek, protected surface waters in Arizona that are Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS), in the Grand Canyon River Basin at:

Outfall No.	Latitude	Longitude	Legal
001 🛏 Havasupai Garden Potable Water Pump Station	36°04′ 43.1″ N	112° 07′ 36.7″ W	Township 31 N, Range 2 E, Section 13
002 ᠠ Yard hydrant discharge at Phantom Ranch Delta	36° 05' 58.2" N	112° 05' 34.74" W	Township 31 N, Range 3 E, Section 05
003	36° 06' 23.58" N	112° 05' 37.26" W	Township 31 N, Range 3 E, Section 05

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth herein, and in the attached "Standard AZPDES Permit Conditions."

Annual Registration Fee [A.R.S. 49-255.01 and A.A.C. R18-14-104]

The annual registration fee for this permit is payable to ADEQ each year. For the purposes of the annual fees, this permit is a Minor permit. If the facility is not yet constructed or is incapable of discharge at this time, the permittee may be eligible for reduced fees under rule. Send all correspondence requesting reduced fees to the Water Quality Division of ADEQ. Please reference the permit number, LTF number and why reduced fees are requested under rule.

This permit shall become effective on \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

Signed this \_\_\_\_\_

Trevor Baggiore, Director Water Quality Division Arizona Department of Environmental Quality



# **Table of Contents**

PART	I –DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	3
Α.	Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	3
В.	Trace Substance Monitoring	4
C.	Whole Effluent Toxicity Monitoring	5
D.	Effluent Characterization Testing	6
E.	Surface Water Quality Standards	7
PART	II – MONITORING AND REPORTING	9
Α.	Sample Collection and Analysis	9
В.	Reporting of Monitoring Results	
C.	Twenty-four Hour Reporting of Noncompliance	13
PART	III – WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS	14
Α.	General Conditions	14
В.	Chronic Toxicity	14
C.	Quality Assurance	14
D.	Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE)/Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Process	16
E.	WET Reporting	
PART	IV – SPECIAL CONDITIONS	17
Α.	Reopener	17
Apper	ndix A - Part A: Acronyms	
Apper	idix A - Part B: Definitions	
Apper	ndix B - Standard AZPDES Permit Conditions & Notifications	22



## PART I – DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

## A. Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

1. The Permittee shall limit and monitor discharges from Outfall 001, 002, and 003 as specified in Table 1 which follows. These requirements are based on a design capacity of 1.25 million gallons per day (MGD).

	Maximum Allowable Discharge Limitations				Monitoring Requirement	
Parameter	Mass Limits (1) Conc		Concentra	tion Limits	(2) (3)	
	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Monitoring Frequency	Sample Type
Discharge Flow (MGD)	REPORT (4)	REPORT			Continuous	Metered
Chlorine, Total Residual (TRC) (5) (6)	43 g/day	85 g/day	9.0 μg/L	18 μg/L	1x / week	Discrete
Total Disolved Solids (TDS) (Source Water) (7)			REPORT [mg/L]	REPORT [mg/L]	1x / quarter	Discrete
TDS (Effluent) (7)			REPORT [mg/L]	REPORT [mg/L]	1x / quarter	Discrete
TDS (Net Increase) (7)		1000 kg/day	REPORT [mg/L]	REPORT [mg/L]	1x / quarter	Discrete
рН (6)	Not less than 6.5 standard units (S.U.) nor greater than 9.0 S.U.				1x / week	Discrete

#### Table 1 – Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

#### Footnotes

1 Mass values are to be calculated and reported using the following formulas: 1) Mass in kilograms per day = 3.785 x flow in MGD x concentration in mg/L, and 2) mass in grams per day = 3.785 x flow in MGD x concentration in μg/L.

2 Testing must coincide with the Whole Effluent Toxicity Test (WET) samples, if any, taken during that monitoring period as per Part I.C, Table 3 of the permit. See Part III of the permit.

3 If discharge is infrequent, see Part I.D for minimum discharge characterization monitoring requirements

4 Monitoring and reporting required. No limit set at this time.

5 Sample when chlorine or bromine compounds are used for disinfection. See Part II.A.7 for specific monitoring requirements for chlorine.

- 6 pH and TRC must be measured at the time of sampling and do not require use of a certified laboratory. Measurements must be obtained in accordance with the applicable method and must meet all method quality assurance/quality control requirements to be considered valid data.
- 7 The permittee shall monitor and report TDS content in the source water and effluent discharged from Outfalls 001, 002, and 003. The permittee shall calculate and report the difference in TDS between the the source water and effluent discharged as the net increase, or "incremental increase". The sum of TDS (Net Increase) for all three outfalls combined shall not exceed the facility daily maximum mass limit of 1,000 kg/day. "Source water" is defined in Appendix A. Part B as untreated water from streams, rivers, lakes or underground aquifers that is used to provide public drinking water as well as to supply private wells used for human consumption. The permit limit is established in accordance with the "2014 Review, Water Quality Standards for Salinity Colorado River System" developed by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum. The mass limit is calculated and reported in kg/day using the following conversion formula: Mass in kilograms per day = Mass in metric tons per day x 1000.



## B. Trace Substance Monitoring

The permittee shall monitor discharges from Outfall 001 as specified in Table 2. Discharges from Outfall 001 are considered representative of discharges from Outfalls 002 and 003, and monitoring and reporting for discharges from Outfall 002 and 003 are not required except as specified in Part I Table 1 and Part II.A.1. Monitoring results above the Assessment Levels (ALs) listed below do not constitute a permit violation, but may trigger evaluation of Reasonable Potential (RP) by ADEQ. The permittee shall use an approved analytical method with a Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) lower than the AL values as described in Part II.A.4.

	Assessment	: Levels (1) (2)	Monitoring Req	uirements (3) (4)
Parameter	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Monitoring Frequency	Sample Type
Copper (5)	10.5 μg/L	21 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Hardness (CaCO3) – effluent (5)	Report (mg/L)	Report (mg/L)	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Hardness (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) - receiving water (5)	Report (mg/L)	Report (mg/L)	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Chromium, total (6)	Report	Report	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Chromium VI (6)	8 μg/L	16 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Cyanide (as free cyanide)	7.9 μg/L	16 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Sulfides (7)	Report [µg/L] (5)	Report [µg/L] (5)	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Hydrogen sulfide (7)	2 μg/L	3 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Iron	819 μg/L	1643 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Mercury (8)	0.01 μg/L	0.02 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Selenium	2 μg/L	3 μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete

#### Table 2 – Assessment Level Monitoring

#### Footnotes

1 Concentration values are calculated based on Arizona Water Quality Standards. Monitoring and reporting required.

- 2 All metals discharge Assessment Levels are for total recoverable metals. except for chromium VI, for which the assessment levels listed are dissolved.
- 3 Testing must coincide with the Whole Effluent Toxicity Test (WET) samples, if any, taken during that monitoring period as per Part I.C, Table 3 of the permit. See Part III of the permit.
- 4 If discharge is infrequent see Part I.D for minimum discharge characterization monitoring requirements.
- 5 Assessment levels listed are based on the average effluent hardness of 161 mg/L as CaCO3. The receiving water must be tested for hardness at the same time that these metal samples are taken. If no receiving water is present at the time of sampling, the effluent must be tested for hardness instead. Please see the hardness definition in Appendix A, Part B. Note: When reporting the hardness on the Discharge Monitoring report, enter Code "9" (Conditional Monitoring) for either the effluent or receiving water hardness that was not tested.
- 6 If total chromium exceeds 8 μg/L, the permittee must conduct sampling for chromium VI for the remainder of the permit. Otherwise, monitoring for chromium VI is not required.
- 7 With a detection limit no higher than 100 μg/L, any detection of sulfides shall trigger monthly monitoring for hydrogen sulfide for the remainder of the permit term. Monitoring for hydrogen sulfide is only required if sulfide is detected.
- 8 See Part II.A.6 for specific monitoring requirements for mercury.



## C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Monitoring

 The permittee shall monitor discharges from Outfall 001 for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) as specified in Table 3 which follows. Discharges from Outfall 001 are considered representative of discharges from Outfalls 002 and 003, and monitoring and reporting for discharges from Outfall 002 and 003 are not required except as specified in Part I Table 1 and Part II.A.1. If toxicity is detected above an Action Level specified as follows, the permittee must perform follow-up testing and, as applicable, follow the TIE/TRE processes in Part III.D of the permit.

#### Table 3 – WET Testing

Discharge Characteristic (1)	Action I	Levels	Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Maximum (2) (3)	Monthly Median (3)	Monitoring Frequency	Sample Type
Chronic Toxicity Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (Green algae) (4)	1.6 TUc	1.0 TUc	1x /year in 2025	Discrete
Chronic Toxicity Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)	1.6 TUc	1.0 TUc	1x /year in 2025	Discrete
Chronic Toxicity <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> (Water flea)	1.6 TUc	1.0 TUc	1x /year in 2025	Discrete

Footnotes

1 See Part III for additional requirements for testing and reporting Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET).

2 Since completion of one chronic WET test takes more than 24 hours, the daily maximum is considered to be the highest allowable test result.

3 If chronic toxicity is detected above the Action Levels in this table the permittee must perform follow-up testing. See Part III for details..

4 Formerly known as Selenastrum capricornutum or Raphidocelis subcapitata.



## D. Effluent Characterization Testing

1. The permittee shall monitor from Outfall 001 to characterize the facility's discharge for the parameters listed in Tables 4.a - b, whether discharging or not. Discharges from Outfall 001 are considered representative of discharges from Outfalls 002 and 003, and monitoring and reporting for discharges from Outfall 002 and 003 are not required except as specified in Part I Table 1 and Part II.A.1. When the facility discharges, monitoring is to be conducted at the frequency indicated in Tables 1 through 3. No limits or ALs are established, but the LOQ must be low enough to allow comparison of the results to the applicable water quality standards (WQS). If a LOQ below the WQS cannot be achieved, then the permittee shall use the method expected to achieve the lowest LOQ, as defined in Appendix A of this permit. Samples are to be representative of any seasonal variation in the discharge:

- ·	Reporting	Monitoring Requireme	ents
Parameter	Units	Monitoring Frequency (1)	Sample Type
Ammonia (as N) (2)	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Chlorine, Total Residual (TRC) (3) (4)	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Dissolved Oxygen (4)	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Nitrate/Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
рН (2) (4)	S.U.	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Phosphorus	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Temperature (2) (4)	°Celsius	1x / 6 months	Discrete
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete

Table 4.a. – Effluent Characterization Testing – General Chemistry and Microbiology

#### Footnotes

1 If more frequent monitoring of any of these parameters is required by another part of this permit, those sampling results may be used to satisfy Table 4.a. requirements.

2 When sampling for ammonia, temperature and pH must be determined concurrently. Results for all three parameters shall be recorded on DMRs.

3 Sample when chlorine or bromine compounds are used for disinfection. See Part II.A.7 for specific monitoring requirements for chlorine.

4 Temperature, pH, TRC and dissolved oxygen must be measured at the time of sampling and do not require use of a certified laboratory. See Part II.A.7 for methods of analyses for chlorine. Measurements must be obtained in accordance with the applicable method and must meet all method quality assurance/quality control requirements to be considered valid data.



#### Table 4.b. - Effluent Characterization Testing - Selected Metals, Trace Substances, and WET

	Reporting Units	Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter (1)	Reporting Units	Monitoring Frequency (2)	Sample Type	
Antimony	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Arsenic	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Beryllium	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Cadmium	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Chromium (3)	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Chromium VI (3)	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Copper	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Iron	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Lead	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Mercury	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Nickel	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Selenium	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Silver	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Thallium	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Zinc	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Hardness	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Cyanide (as free cyanide)	μg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Hardness	mg/L	1x / 6 months	Discrete	
Whole Effluent Toxicity – chronic (all 3 species) (4)	TUc	1x / year in 2025	Discrete	

#### Footnotes

1 All metals analyses shall be for total recoverable metals, except chromium VI, which is dissolved

2 If more frequent monitoring of any of these parameters is required by another part of this permit, those sampling results may be used to satisfy Table 4.b. requirements.

- 3 If total chromium exceeds 8 μg/L, the permittee must conduct sampling for chromium VI for the remainder of the permit. Otherwise, monitoring for chromium VI is not required.
- 4 If chronic toxicity is detected above the Action Levels specified in Table 3, the permittee must perform follow-up testing and, as applicable, follow the TIE/TRE processes in Part III.D of the permit, whether discharging or not. See Part III for additional information on requirements for testing and reporting Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET).

## E. Surface Water Quality Standards

- 1. The discharge shall be free from pollutants in amounts or combinations that:
  - a. Settle to form bottom deposits that inhibit or prohibit the habitation, growth or propagation of aquatic life;
  - b. Cause objectionable odor in the area in which the surface water is located;
  - c. Cause off-flavor in aquatic organisms;
  - d. Are toxic to humans, animals, plants or other organisms;
  - e. Cause the growth of algae or aquatic plants that inhibit or prohibit the habitation, growth or propagation of other aquatic life or that impair recreational uses;
  - f. Change the color of the surface water from natural background levels or color.



- 2. The discharge shall be free from oil, grease and other pollutants that float as debris, foam, or scum; or that cause a film or iridescent appearance on the surface of the water; or that cause a deposit on a shoreline, bank or aquatic vegetation.
- 3. The discharge shall not cause an increase in the ambient water temperature of more than 3.0 degrees Celsius.
- 4. The discharge shall not cause the dissolved oxygen concentration in the receiving water to fall below 6 mg/l unless the percent saturation of oxygen remains equal to or greater than 90%.
- 5. The discharge shall not cause the receiving water to exceed 80 mg/L for suspended sediment concentration.



### PART II - MONITORING AND REPORTING

#### A. Sample Collection and Analysis

- 1. Samples taken for the monitoring requirements specified in Part I shall be collected at the following locations:
  - a. Source water samples shall be taken at the intake of untreated water (from streams, rivers, or underground aquifers) prior to treatment at the public drinking water system.
  - b. Effluent samples collected for limited parameters specified in Part I.A. Table 1:
    - i. Outfall 001 samples shall be taken at the point of discharge at the Havasupai Garden Potable Water Pump Station and prior to discharge into the diversion channel 700 feet before the confluence with Garden Creek.
    - ii. Outfall 002 samples shall be taken at the point of discharge and prior to mixing with the receiving waters.
    - iii. Outfall 003 samples shall be taken at the point of discharge and prior to mixing with the receiving waters.
  - c. Effluent samples collected for trace substance, WET, and discharge characterization monitoring specified in Part I.B., Part I.C., and Part I.D. shall be taken at the Outfall 001 point of discharge at the Havasupai Garden Potable Water Pump Station and prior to discharge into the diversion channel 700 feet before the confluence with Garden Creek. Monitoring for Outfall 001 will serve as monitoring of internal waste streams for Outfall 002 and 003 in accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.45(h).
- 2. The permittee is responsible for the quality and accuracy of all data required under this permit.
- 3. The permittee shall keep a QA Manual on site that describes the sample collection and analyses processes. If the permittee collects samples or conducts sample analyses in house, the permittee shall develop a QA Manual that addresses these activities. If a third party collects and/or analyzes samples on behalf of the permittee, the permittee shall obtain a copy of the applicable QA procedures. The QA Manual shall be available for review by ADEQ upon request. The QA Manual shall be updated as necessary to reflect current conditions, and shall describe the following:
  - a. Project Management, including:
    - i. Purpose of sample collection and sample frequency;
    - ii. When and where samples will be collected;
    - iii. How samples will be collected;
    - iv. Laboratory(s) that will perform analyses;
    - v. Any field tests to be conducted (detail methods and specify equipment, including a description of any needed calibrations); and
    - vi. Pollutants or analytes being measured and for each, the permit-specific limits, Assessment Levels, or thresholds, (e.g. the associated detection limits needed.)



- b. Sample collection procedures including:
  - i. Equipment to be used;
  - ii. Type and number of samples to be collected including QA/QC samples (i.e., background samples, duplicates, and equipment or field blanks);
  - iii. Types, sizes and number of sample bottles needed;
  - iv. Preservatives and holding times for the samples (see methods under 40 CFR 136 or A.A.C. R 9-14, Article 6 or any condition within this permit that specifies a Chain of Custody procedures.
- c. Specify approved analytical method(s) to be used and include;
  - i. Limits of Detection (LOD) and Limits of Quantitation (LOQs);
  - ii. Required quality control (QC) results to be reported (e.g., matrix spike recoveries, duplicate relative percent differences, blank contamination, laboratory control sample recoveries, surrogate spike recoveries, etc.) and acceptance criteria; and
  - iii. Corrective actions to be taken by the permittee or the laboratory as a result of problems identified during QC checks.
- d. How the permittee will perform data review; complete DMRs and records used to report results to ADEQ; resolve data quality issues; and identify limitations on the use of the data.
- 4. Sample collection, preservation and handling shall be performed as described in 40 CFR 136 including the referenced Edition of *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, or by procedures referenced in A.A.C Title 9, Chapter 14 of the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) Laboratory Licensure rules. The permittee shall outline the proper procedures in the QA Manual, and samples taken for this permit must conform to these procedures whether collection and handling is performed directly by the permittee or contracted to a third-party.
- 5. Analytical requirements
  - a. The permittee shall use a laboratory licensed by the ADHS Office of Laboratory Licensure and Certification that has demonstrated proficiency within the last 12 months under A.A.C. R 9-14-609, for each parameter to be sampled under this permit. However, this requirement does not apply to parameters which require analysis at the time of sample accordance with A.C.C. 36-495.02(A)(3). (These parameters may include flow, dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, and total residual chlorine.)
  - b. The permittee must utilize analytical methods specified in this permit. If no test procedure is specified, the permittee shall analyze the pollutant using:
    - i. A test procedure listed in 40 CFR 136 which is also approved under A.A.C. R9-14-610 and is sufficiently sensitive in accordance with 40 CFR 136.1(c);
    - ii. An alternative test procedure approved by EPA as provided in 40 CFR 136 and which is also approved under A.A.C. R9-14-610;
    - iii. A test procedure listed in 40 CFR 136, with modifications allowed by EPA or approved as a method alteration by ADHS under A.A.C. R9-14-610C; or
    - iv. If no test procedure for a pollutant is available under (4)(b)(i) through (4)(b)(iii) above, any Method approved under A.A.C. R9-14-610(B) for wastewater may be used, except the use of field kits is not allowed unless otherwise specified in this permit. If there is no approved wastewater method for a parameter, any other method identified in 9 A.A.C. 14, Article 6 that will achieve appropriate



detection and reporting limits may be used for analyses.

- c. For results to be considered valid, all analytical work, including those tests conducted by the permittee at the time of sampling (see Part II.A.4.a), shall meet quality control standards specified in the approved methods.
- d. The permittee shall use analytical methods with a Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) that is lower than the discharge limitations, Assessments Levels, Action Levels, or other water quality criteria, if any, specified in this permit. If all methods have LOQs higher than the applicable water quality criteria, the Permittee shall use the approved analytical method with the lowest LOQ.
- e. The permittee shall use a standard calibration curve when applicable to the method, where the lowest standard point is equal to or less than the LOQ.
- 6. Mercury Monitoring The permittee shall use an ADHS-certified low-level mercury analytical method such as EPA method 245.7 or 1631E to achieve a reporting limit at or below the discharge limitations or assessment levels for mercury as specified in this permit. The permittee shall also use a "clean hands/dirty hands" sampling technique such as EPA Method 1669 if necessary to achieve these reporting limits.
- 7. Chlorine Monitoring Because of the short holding time for chlorine, samples may be analyzed on-site using Hach Method No. 10014. Other methods are also acceptable for chlorine if the Method has a LOQ lower than discharge limits specified in this permit.
- 8. Metals Analyses In accordance with 40 CFR 122.45(c), all discharge metals concentrations, with the exception of chromium VI, shall be measured as "total recoverable metals". Discharge Limits and Assessment Levels in this permit, if any, are for total metals, except for chromium VI for which the levels listed are dissolved.

## B. Reporting of Monitoring Results

- 1. The permittee shall report monitoring results on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) to the ADEQ electronic submission portal MyDEQ. The permittee shall submit results of all monitoring required by this permit in a format that will allow direct comparison with the limitations and requirements of this permit. If no discharge occurs during a reporting period, the permittee shall specify "No discharge" on the DMR. The results of all discharge analyses conducted during the monitoring period shall be included in determinations of the monthly average and daily maximums reported on the DMRs if the analyses were by methods specified in Part II.A above, as applicable.
- 2. DMRs and attachments are to be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the end of a monitoring period. For example, if the monitoring period ends January 31<sup>st</sup>, the permittee shall submit the DMR by February 28<sup>th</sup>. The permittee shall electronically submit all compliance monitoring data and reports using the myDEQ electronic portal provided by ADEQ. The reports required to be electronically submitted include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a. Discharge Monitoring Reports
  - b. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) reports
  - c. Original copies of laboratory results
  - d. Bench sheets or similar documentation for field testing parameters



3. If requested to participate, the permittee shall submit the results of the annual NPDES DMR/QA Study to ADEQ and ADHS for all laboratories used in monitoring compliance with this permit by December 31<sup>st</sup> of each year. The permittee shall also conduct any proficiency testing required by the NPDES DMR-QA Study for those parameters listed in the study that the permittee analyzes in house or tests in the field at the time of sampling (these parameters may include pH and total residual chlorine). All results of the NPDES DMR-QA Study study shall be submitted to the email and addresses listed below, or submit by any other alternative mode as specified by ADEQ:

4. For	r the	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Email: AZPDES@azdeq.gov	Arizona Department of Health Services Attn: Office of Laboratory Licensure and Certification
			250 North 17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
			Phoenix, AZ 85007

purposes of reporting, the permittee shall use the Limit of Quantitation.

5. For parameters with Daily Maximum Limits or Daily Maximum Assessment Levels in this permit, the permittee shall review the results of all samples collected during the reporting period and report as follows:

For Daily Maximum Limits/Assessment Levels	The Permittee shall Report on the DMR
When the maximum value of any analytical result is greater than or equal to the LOQ	The maximum value of all analytical results
When the maximum value detected is greater than or equal to the laboratory's LOD but less than the LOQ	No Data Indicator Code (NODI (Q))
When the maximum value is less than the laboratory's LOD	NODI (B)

6. For parameters with Monthly Average Limits or Monthly Average Assessment Levels in this permit, the permittee shall review the results of all samples collected during the reporting period and report.

#### Table 6 – DMR Reporting Requirements for Monthly Average Limits / Assessment Levels

For Monthly Average Limits/Assess	The Permittee shall Report on the DMR	
If only one sample is collected	When the value detected is greater than or equal to the LOQ	The analytical result
during the reporting period (monthly, quarterly, annually, etc.)	When the value detected is greater than or equal to the laboratory's LOD, but less than the LOQ	NODI (Q)
(In this case, the sample result <b>is</b>		
the monthly average.)	When the value is less than the laboratory's LOD	NODI (B)
If more than one sample is collected during the reporting	All samples collected in the same calendar month must be averaged.	The highest monthly average which occurred during the
period	<ul> <li>When all results are greater than or equal to the LOQ, all values are averaged</li> </ul>	reporting period
	<ul> <li>If some results are less than the LOQ, use the LOD value in the averaging</li> </ul>	
	•Use '0' for values less than the LOD	



- For all field testing, or if the information below is not included on the laboratory reports required by Part II.B.2, the permittee shall attach a bench sheet or similar documentation to each DMR that includes, for all analytical results during the reporting period the following:
  - a. the analytical result,
  - b. the number or title of the approved analytical method, preparation and analytical procedure utilized by the field personnel or laboratory, and the LOD and LOQ for the analytical method for the parameter, and
  - c. any applicable data qualifiers using the most current revision of the Arizona Data Qualifiers (available online at: <u>http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/resources/resources.htm</u>)

## C. Twenty-four Hour Reporting of Noncompliance

 The permittee shall orally report to the Emergency Response Unit hotline at (602) 771-2330 any noncompliance that poses imminent threat to the environment or human health within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The permittee shall also submit an electronic notification within 5 days of the noncompliance event using the myDEQ electronic portal provided by ADEQ. The permittee shall include in the written notification: a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including dates and times, and, if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

The following instances of noncompliance are subject to the 24-hour and 5-day reporting requirements:

- a. An exceedance of any maximum daily limit for the parameters listed in Part 1.A. Table 1.
- b. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any discharge limitations in the permit.
- c. Any upset which exceeds any discharge limitation in the permit.
- 2. All other instances of noncompliance remain subject to the 24-hour and 5-day reporting requirements, and must call the ADEQ AZPDES hotline at (602) 771-1440. For example, an exceedance of any maximum daily limit for the parameters listed in Part 1.A Table 1 that does not poses an imminent threat to human health or the environment.
- 3. The permittee shall retain records the following monitoring records:
  - a. Date, exact location and time of sampling or measurements performed, preservatives used;
  - b. Individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
  - c. Date(s) the analyses were performed;
  - d. Laboratory(s) which performed the analyses;
  - e. Analytical techniques or methods used;
  - f. Chain of custody forms;
  - g. Any comments, case narrative or summary of results produced by the laboratory. These comments should identify and discuss QA/QC analyses performed concurrently during sample analyses and should specify whether analyses met project requirements and 40 CFR 136. If results include information on initial and continuing calibration, surrogate analyses, blanks, duplicates, laboratory control samples, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate results, sample receipt condition, or holding times and preservation, these records must also be retained.
  - h. Summary of data interpretation and any corrective action taken by the permittee.



## PART III – WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

## A. General Conditions

- 1. The permittee shall conduct chronic toxicity tests on three (3) discrete samples of the final discharge at the frequencies specified in Part 1. A discrete sample type was chosen in order to have consistency with the sample type required for other parameters requiring monitoring in this permit.
- 2. Final discharge samples must be taken following all treatment processes, including chlorination and dechlorination, and prior to mixing with the receiving water. The required WET tests must be performed on unmodified samples of final discharge. WET tests conducted on samples that are dechlorinated after collection are not acceptable for compliance with this permit.
- 3. For those parameters listed in Parts I.A and B of this permit whose required sample type is discrete, the testing shall be performed on a discrete sample collected concurrently with one sample, discrete or composite, collected for an acute or chronic WET test.
- 4. Definitions related to toxicity are found in Appendix A.

## **B.** Chronic Toxicity

- 1. The permittee shall conduct short-term chronic toxicity tests on three species: the waterflea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (survival and reproduction test); the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (larval survival and growth test); and the green alga, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* (formerly known as *Selenastrum capricornutum* or *Raphidocelis subcapitata*) (growth test).
- 2. The permittee must follow the USEPA 4th edition manual, *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms* (EPA/821-R-02-013) for all chronic compliance toxicity testing.
- 3. The chronic toxicity action levels are any one test result greater than 1.6 Tus or any calculated monthly median value greater than 1.0 TUc. If chronic toxicity is detected above these values, follow-up testing is required per Part IV, Section E. A chronic toxicity unit (TUc) shall be calculated as TUc = 100/NOEC.
- 4. The chronic WET test shall be conducted using a series of five dilutions and a control. The following dilution series must be used: 12.5, 25, 50, 75, and 100% discharge.

## C. Quality Assurance

- Discharge samples must be maintained between 0 and 6°C from collection until utilized in the toxicity testing procedure. When a composite sample is required, each aliquot making up the composite must be chilled after collection and throughout the compositing period. The single allowable exception is when a grab sample is delivered to the performing laboratory for test initiation no later than 4 hours following the time of collection.
- Control and dilution water should be receiving water or lab water as appropriate, as described in the 40 CFR Part 136.3 approved method. If the dilution water used is different from the culture water, a second control, using culture water shall also be used.



- 3. Reference toxicity tests, (a check of the laboratory and test organisms' performance), shall be conducted at least 1 time in a calendar month for each toxicity test method conducted in the laboratory during that month. Additionally, any time the laboratory changes its source of test organisms, a reference toxicity test must be conducted before or in conjunction with the first WET test performed using the organisms from the newer source. Reference toxicant testing must be conducted using the same test conditions as the discharge toxicity tests (ie., same test duration, etc.).
- 4. If either the reference toxicant test or the discharge test does not meet all test acceptability criteria as specified in the 40 CFR Part 136.3 approved WET methods, then the permittee must re-sample and re-test within 14 days of receipt of the test results. The re-sampling and re-testing requirements include laboratory induced error in performing the test method.
- The chronic reference toxicant and discharge tests must meet the upper and lower bounds on test sensitivity as determined by calculating the percent minimum significant difference (PMSD) for each test result. The test sensitivity bound is specified for each test method (see Section 10, Table 6 in EPA/821-R-02-013). There are five possible outcomes based on the PMSD result.
  - a. Unqualified Pass- The test's PMSD is within bounds and there is no significant difference between the means for the control and the discharge. The regulatory authority would conclude that there is no toxicity.
  - b. Unqualified Fail- The test's PMSD is larger than the lower bound (but not greater than the upper bound) in Table 6 and there is a significant difference between the means for the control and the discharge. The regulatory authority would conclude that there is toxicity.
  - Lacks Test Sensitivity- The test's PMSD exceeds the upper bound in Table 6 and there is no significant difference between the means for the control and the discharge. The test is considered invalid. A discharge sample must be collected and another toxicity test must be conducted within 14 days of receipt of the test results.
  - d. Lack Test Sensitivity- The test's PMSD exceeds the upper bound in Table 6 and there is a significant difference between the means for the control and the discharge. The test is considered valid. The regulatory authority will conclude that there is toxicity.
  - e. Very Small but Significant Difference- The relative difference between the means for the control and discharge is smaller than the lower bound in Table 6 and this difference is statistically significant. The test is acceptable and the NOEC should be determined.



## D. Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE)/Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Process

- If chronic toxicity is detected above a WET action level or Limit specified in this permit and the source of toxicity is <u>known</u> (for instance, a temporary plant upset), the permittee shall conduct one follow-up test within two weeks of receipt of the sample results that exceeded the action level. The permittee shall use the same test and species as the failed toxicity test. For intermittent discharges, the follow-up test shall be conducted whether discharging or not. If toxicity is detected in the follow-up, the permittee shall immediately begin developing a TRE plan and submit the plan to ADEQ for review and approval within 30 days after receipt of the toxic result. Requirements for the development of a TRE are listed in paragraph 3 below. The permittee must implement the TRE plan as approved and directed by ADEQ.
- 2. If chronic toxicity is detected above an action level or Limit specified in this permit and the source of toxicity is <u>unknown</u>, the permittee shall begin additional toxicity monitoring within two weeks of receipt of the sample results that exceeded the action level. The permittee shall conduct one WET test approximately every other week until either a test exceeds an action level (or limit) or four tests have been completed. The follow-up tests must use the same test and species as the failed toxicity test. For intermittent discharges, the first follow-up test shall be conducted whether discharging or not; the subsequent three follow-up tests shall be conducted during the next three discharge events.
  - a. If none of the four tests exceed a WET action level or limit, then the permittee may return to the routine WET testing frequency specified in this permit.
  - b. If a WET action level or limit is exceeded in any of the additional tests, the permittee shall immediately begin developing a TRE plan and submit the plan to ADEQ for review and approval within 30 days after receipt of the toxic result. Requirements for the development of a TRE are listed in subsection 3, below. The permittee must implement the TRE plan as approved and directed by ADEQ.
- 3. The permittee shall use the EPA guidance manual *Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Guidance for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants*, 1999 (EPA/833/B-99/002) in preparing a TRE plan. The TRE plan shall include, at a minimum, the following:
  - a. Further actions to investigate and identify the causes of toxicity, if unknown. The permittee may initiate a TIE as part of the TRE process using the following EPA manuals as guidance: *Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I,* 1992 (EPA/600/6-91/005F); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I, Toxicity Characterization Procedures,* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1991 (EPA/600/6-91/003); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase II, Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity,* 1993 (EPA/600/R-92/080); and *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase III, Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity,* 1993 (EPA/600/R-92/081).
  - b. Action the permittee will take to mitigate the impact of the discharge and to prevent the recurrence of toxicity; and
  - c. A schedule for implementing these actions.



## E. WET Reporting

- 1. The permittee shall report chronic toxicity results on DMRs in Chronic Toxicity Units (TUc). The TUc for DMR reporting shall be calculated as TUc= 100/NOEC.
- In addition to reporting WET results on DMRs, the permittee shall submit a copy of the full lab report(s) for all WET testing conducted during the monitoring period covered by the DMR. The lab report should report TUc as 100/NOEC and as 100/IC<sub>25</sub>. If the lab report does not contain any of the following items, then these must also be supplied in a separate attachment to the report: 1) sample collection and test initiation dates, 2) the results of the discharge analyses for all parameters required to be tested concurrently with WET testing as defined in Part I.A and B, Tables 1 and 2, and Part IV.A.3 of this permit.
- 3. WET lab reports and any required additional attachments shall be submitted to ADEQ by the 28<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the end of the WET monitoring period, or upon request.

## PART IV – SPECIAL CONDITIONS

## A. Reopener

This permit may be modified per the provisions of A.A.C. R18-9-B906, and R18-9-A905 which incorporates 40 CFR Part 122. This permit may be reopened based on newly available information; to add conditions or limits to address demonstrated discharge toxicity; to implement any EPA-approved new Arizona water quality standard; or to re-evaluate reasonable potential (RP), if Assessment Levels in this permit are exceeded.



## Appendix A - Part A: Acronyms

A.A.C.	Arizona Administrative Code
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
ADHS	Arizona Department of Health Services
EQ	Exceptional Quality (biosolids)
AZPDES	Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
A.R.S.	Arizona Revised Statutes
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CFU	Colony Forming Units
Director	The Director of ADEQ or any authorized representative thereof
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
EPA	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
kg/day	Kilograms per day
MGD	Million Gallons per Day
mg/L	Milligrams per Liter, also equal to parts per million (ppm)
MPN	Most Probable Number
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
PFU	Plaque-Forming Unit
QA	Quality Assurance
SSU	Sewage Sludge Unit
TBEL	Technology-based Effluent Limitation
μg/L	Micrograms per Liter, also equal to parts per billion (ppb)
WQBEL	Water quality-based Effluent Limitation

## Appendix A - Part B: Definitions

Acute Toxicity Test	A test used to determine the concentration of discharge or ambient waters that produces an adverse effect (lethality) on a group of test organisms during a short-term exposure 9e.g., 24, 48, or 96 hours). Acute toxicity is measured using statistical procedures (e.g., pint estimate techniques or hypothesis testing) and is reported as PASS/FAIL or in TUas, where TUa = $100LC_{50}$ .
Annual Pollutant Loading Rate	The maximum amount of a pollutant that can be applied to an acre or hectare of land during a 365-day period.
Applicator	A person who arranges for and controls the site-specific land application of biosolids in Arizona.
Base Flood	A flood that has a one percent chance of occurring in any given year (or a flood that is likely to occur once in 100 years).
Chronic Toxicity Test	A test in which sublethal effects (e.g., reduced growth or reproduction) are measured in addition to lethality. Chronic toxicity is measured as TUc = 100/NOEC or TUc = 100/Ecp or 100/ICp. The ICp and ECp value should be the approximate equivalent of the NOEC calculated by hypothesis testing for each test method.
Daily Maximum Concentration Limit	The maximum allowable discharge of a pollutant in a calendar day as measured on any single discrete sample or composite sample.
Daily Maximum Mass Limit	The maximum allowable total mass of a pollutant discharged in a calendar day.



The mass loading reported against the daily maximum massilimit. The masured daily pollutant discharges by mass. Use the flow observed on the day of sample collection. If there are multiple samples collected within the monitoring period, calculate the daily mass loading as above for each day sampling occurred. Report the highest mass value.           Discrete or Grab Sample         An individual sample of at least 100 mL collected from a single location; or over a period of time not exceeding 15 minutes.           Dry-Weight Basis         The weight of biosolids calculated after the material has been dried at 105 "C until reaching a constant mass.           Effect Concentration Point (ECP)         Ap point estimate of the toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., survival or fertilization) in a given per cent of the test organisms, calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Probit Model).           Flow Proportional Composite Sample         A sample that combines discrete samples collected over time, based on the flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volume at time intervals that are proportioned into aliquots of varying volumes based on stream flow, at constant (CACO) in milligrams per iter.           Hardness         Calcium carbonate (CACO) in milligrams per iter.           A statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOCC and LOCC. The two hypotheses commonly tested in WET are: Null hypothesis (H_j): The discharge is toxic.           A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would caus	Environmental Quality	
Discrete of Grab Sample         over a period of time not exceeding 15 minutes.           Dry-Weight Basis         The weight of biosolids calculated after the material has been dried at 105 'L until reaching a constant mass.           Effect Concentration Point (ECP)         A point estimate of the toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., survival or fertilization) in a given percent of the test organisms, calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Probit Model).           A sample that combines discrete samples collected over time, based on the flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volumes based on stream flow, at constant time intervals (i.e. flow-weighted composite sample).           Hardness         The sum of the calcum and magnesium concentrations, expressed as calcum carbonate (CACO <sub>2</sub> ) in milligrams per liter.           A statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two hypothesis (H <sub>0</sub> ): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H <sub>0</sub> ): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H <sub>0</sub> ): The discharge is not toxic. A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Inhibition Concentration (IC)           Inheibition function (LOQ)         The toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms. The toxicant (or discharge) concentration in a non-lethal biological	Daily Mass Loading	measured daily pollutant discharges by mass. Use the flow observed on the day of sample collection. If there are multiple samples collected within the monitoring period, calculate the daily mass loading as above for each
DTY-Weight Basis       *C until reaching a constant mass.            Effect Concentration Point (ECP)        A point estimate of the toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would         cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., survival or fertilization) in a given         percent of the test organisms, calculated from a continuous model (e.g.,         USEPA Probit Model).             Flow Proportional Composite Sample        A sample that combines discrete samples collected over time, based on       the flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to         collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volume at time         intervals that vary based on stream flow. The other collects discrete         sample).          Hardness       The sum of the calcium and magnesium concentrations, expressed as         calcium carbonate (CACO_) in milligrams per liter.             Hypothesis Testing        A statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what         concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints         determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two         hypothesis (H_a): The discharge is not toxic.         Alternative hypothesis (H_a): The discharge is not toxic.         A traitstical technique (e.g., concentration that would cause a given         percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g.,         reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA         Interpolation Method). (22 is a point estimate of the toxicant         concentration in a sample that concentration in a non-lethal biological         measurement.          LC50       The toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause death in 5	Discrete or Grab Sample	An individual sample of at least 100 mL collected from a single location, or
Effect Concentration Point (ECP)         cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., survival or fertilization) in a given percent of the test organisms, calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Probit Model).           Flow Proportional Composite Sample         A sample that combines discrete samples collected over time, based on the flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volume at time intervals that vary based on stream flow. The other collects discrete samples that are proportioned into aliquots of varying volumes based on stream flow, at constant time intervals (i.e. flow weighted composite sample).           Hardness         The sum of the calcium and magnesium concentrations, expressed as calcium carbonate (CACO <sub>2</sub> ) in milligrams per liter.           A statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis test) flat determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis (H <sub>a</sub> ): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H <sub>a</sub> ): The discharge is not toxic.           Inhibition Concentration (IC)         A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms.           Linki of Quantitation (LOQ)         The toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the toxignation, a sanalyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidenc	Dry-Weight Basis	°C until reaching a constant mass.
Flow Proportional Composite Samplethe flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volume at time intervals that vary based on stream flow. The other collects discrete sample).HardnessThe sum of the calcium and magnesium concentrations, expressed as calcium carbonate (CACO <sub>3</sub> ) in milligrams per liter.Hypothesis TestingA statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two hypotheses commonly tested in WET are: Null hypothesis (H_a): The discharge is not toxic.Inhibition Concentration (IC)A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a 25% reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement.LC50The toxicant (or discharge) concentrations, or quantities of a target variable such as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOO. The LOQ is the concentration framing that all of the method-specifie asmigned, such as assuming that all of the method-specifie dample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.Limit of Detection (LOD)An analyte and matrix-specific estimate of the minimu amount of a substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed according to 89014-615(C)(7).	Effect Concentration Point (ECP)	cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., survival or fertilization) in a given percent of the test organisms, calculated from a continuous model (e.g.,
Hardnesscalcium carbonate (CACO_3) in milligrams per liter.Hypothesis TestingA statistical technique (e.g., Dunnetts test) that determines what concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two hypotheses commonly tested in WET are: Null hypothesis (H_0): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H_1): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H_2): The discharge is toxic.Inhibition Concentration (IC)A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a 25% reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement.LC50The toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms.Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)The minimum levels, concentrations, or quantities of a target variable such as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all of the method-specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.Limit of Detection (LOD)An analyte and matrix-specific estimate of the minimum amount of a substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed according to R9014-615(C)(7).	Flow Proportional Composite Sample	the flow of the discharge being sampled. There are two methods used to collect this type of sample. One collects a constant sample volume at time intervals that vary based on stream flow. The other collects discrete samples that are proportioned into aliquots of varying volumes based on stream flow, at constant time intervals (i.e. flow-weighted composite
Hypothesis Testingconcentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two hypotheses commonly tested in WET are: Null hypothesis (H_0): The discharge is not toxic. Alternative hypothesis (H_0): The discharge is toxic.Inhibition Concentration (IC)A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause death in 50 percent reduction that would cause a 25% reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement.LC50The toxicant (or discharge) concentrations, or quantities of a target variable such as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the 	Hardness	
Inhibition Concentration (IC)percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a 25% reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement.LC50The toxicant (or discharge) concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms.Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)The minimum levels, concentrations, or quantities of a target variable such as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all of the method-specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.Limit of Detection (LOD)An analyte and matrix-specific estimate of the minimum amount of a substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed according to R9014-615(C)(7).	Hypothesis Testing	concentration is statistically different from the control. Endpoints determined from hypothesis testing are NOEC and LOEC. The two hypotheses commonly tested in WET are: Null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The discharge is not toxic.
LCS0percent of the test organisms.Image: Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)The minimum levels, concentrations, or quantities of a target variable such as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, 	Inhibition Concentration (IC)	percent reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., USEPA Interpolation Method). IC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a 25% reduction in a non-lethal biological measurement.
Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all of the method-specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.Limit of Detection (LOD)An analyte and matrix-specific estimate of the minimum amount of a substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed according to R9014-615(C)(7).	LC50	
Limit of Detection (LOD) substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed according to R9014-615(C)(7).	Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)	as an analyte that can be reported with a specific degree of confidence. The calibration point shall be at or below the LOQ. The LOQ is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all of the method-specified sample weights, volumes, and
Method Detection Limit (MDL) See LOD	Limit of Detection (LOD)	substance that the analytical process can reliably detect with a 99% confidence level. This may be laboratory dependent and is developed
	Method Detection Limit (MDL)	See LOD

Mixing Zone A	An area where a discharge undergoes initial dilution and may be extended
---------------	--



invironmental Quality	
	to cover the secondary mixing in the ambient waterbody. A mixing zone is
	an allocated impact zone where water quality criteria can be exceeded as
	long as acutely toxic conditions are prevented.
	Other than for bacteriological testing, means the highest allowable
	average calculated as an arithmetic mean of consecutive measurements
	made during calendar month or week, respectively. The "monthly or
Manthly or Maakly Ayaraga	weekly average concentration limit" for <i>E. coli</i> bacteria means the highest
Monthly or Weekly Average Concentration Limit	allowable average calculated as the geometric mean of a minimum of four
Concentration Limit	(4) measurements made during a calendar month or week, respectively.
	The geometric mean is the nth root of the product of n numbers. For
	either method (CFU or MPN), when data are reported as "0" or non-detect
	then input a "1" into the calculation for the geometric mean.
	The highest allowable value that shall be obtained by taking the total mass
Monthly Average Mass Limit	discharged during a calendar month divided by the number of days in the
	month that the facility was discharging.
	The mass loading reported against the monthly average mass limit. The
	monthly average value shall be determined by the summation of all the
	measured pollutant discharges by mass divided by the number of days
Monthly Average Mass Loading	during the month when the measurements were made. If monitoring is
	required less frequently than monthly, calculate the average monthly mass
	loading for any month that sampling occurred. Report the highest monthly
	average within the monitoring period.
	The highest tested concentration of discharge or toxicant, that causes no
No Observed Effect Concentration	observable adverse effect on the test organisms (i.e., the highest
(NOEC)	concentration of toxicant at which the values for the observed responses
()	are <u>not</u> statistically significant different from the controls).
	As Probit, Interpolation Method, Spearman-Karber are used to determine
	the discharge concentration at which adverse effects (e.g., fertilization,
Point Estimate Techniques	growth or survival) occurred. For example, concentration at which a 25
	percent reduction in fertilization occurred.
	A toxicity test conducted with the addition of a known toxicant to indicate
	the sensitivity of the organisms being used and demonstrate a laboratory's
Reference Toxicant Test	ability to obtain consistent results with the test method. Reference
	toxicant data are part of the routine QA/QC program to evaluate the
	performance of laboratory personnel and test organisms.
	Rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over any part of a land
Runoff	surface and runs off of the land surface.
Significant Difference	Defined as statistically significant difference (e.g., 95% confidence level) in
	the means of two distributions of sampling results.
	A statistical analysis comparing only two sets of replicate observations. In
Single Concentration Acute Test	the case of WET, comparing only two test concentrations (e.g., a control
	and 100% discharge). The purpose of this test is to determine if the 100%
	discharge concentration differs from the control (i.e., the test passes or
	fails).
Source Water	Is defined as untreated water from streams, rivers, lakes or underground
	aquifers that is used to provide public drinking water as well as to supply
	private wells used for human consumption.
Submit	Used in this permit, means post-marked, documented by other mailing
JUDITIL	receipt, or hand-delivered to ADEQ.



Test Acceptability Criteria (TAC)	Specific criteria for determining whether toxicity tests results are
	acceptable. The discharge and reference toxicant must meet specific
	criteria as defined in the test method.
Ton	A net weight of 2000 pounds and is known as a short ton.
Toxic Unit (TU)	A measure of toxicity in a discharge as determined by the acute toxicity
	units or chronic toxicity units measured. Higher the TUs indicate greater
	toxicity.
Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE)	A set of procedures used to identify the specific chemical(s) causing
	discharge toxicity.
Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)	A site-specific study conducted in a stepwise process designed to identify
	the causative agents of discharge toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity,
	evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the
	reduction in discharge toxicity.
Toxicity Test	A procedure to determine the toxicity of a chemical or a discharge using
	living organisms. A toxicity test measures the degree of effect of a specific
	chemical or discharge on exposed test organisms.
Whole Effluent Toxicity	The total toxic effect of a discharge measured directly with a toxicity test.



## **Appendix B - Standard AZPDES Permit Conditions & Notifications**

(Updated as of February 2, 2004)

- Duty to Reapply [R18-9-B904(C)]
   Unless the Permittee permanently ceases the discharging activity covered by this permit, the Permittee shall submit a new application 180 days before the existing permit expires
- 2. Applications [R18-9-A905(A)(1)(C) which incorporates 40CFR 122.22]
  - a. All applications shall be signed as follows:
    - i. For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means:
      - A. A president, secretary, treasure, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principle business function, or any other person who performs similar policy-or decision-making functions for the corporation, or
      - B. The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures;
    - ii. For partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
    - iii. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes: (i) The chief executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrators of EPA).
  - b. All reports required by permits and other information requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described in paragraph (a) of this Section, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
    - i. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in paragraph (a) of this section;
    - ii. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) and,
    - iii. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
  - c. Changes to Authorization. If an authorization under paragraph (b) of this section is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section must be submitted to the Director prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.



d. Certification. Any person signing a document under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section shall make the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

- 3. Duty to Comply [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(a)(i) and A.R.S. §49- 262, 263.01, and 263.02.]
  - a. The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit and any standard and prohibition required under A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1 and A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 9, Articles 9 and 10. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act; A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1; and A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 9, Articles 9 and 10, and is grounds for enforcement action, permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or denial of a permit renewal application.
  - b. The issuance of this permit does not waive any federal, state, county, or local regulations or permit requirements with which a person discharging under this permit is required to comply.
  - c. The Permittee shall comply with the effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulation that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
  - d. Civil Penalties. A.R.S. § 49-262(C) provides that any person who violates any provision of A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1 or a rule, permit, discharge limitation or order issued or adopted under A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1 is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day per violation.
  - e. Criminal Penalties. Any a person who violates a condition of this permit, or violates a provision under A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1, or A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 9, Articles 9 and 10 is subject to the enforcement actions established under A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 4, which may include the possibility of fines and/or imprisonment.
- 4. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(c)]

It shall not be a defense for a Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

5. Duty to Mitigate - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(d)]

The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

6. Proper Operation and Maintenance - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(e)]

The Permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a Permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.



7. Permit Actions - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(f)]

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

8. Property Rights - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(g)]

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

9. Duty to Provide Information - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(h)]

The Permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The Permittee shall also furnish to the Director upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

10. Inspection and Entry [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(i)]

The Permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and such other documents as may be required by law, to:

- a. Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the terms of the permit;
- c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring equipment or control equipment), practices or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 3.1, and A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 9, Articles 9 and 10, any substances or parameters at any location
- 11. Monitoring and Records [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(j)]
  - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
  - b. The Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application, except for records of monitoring information required by this permit related to the Permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by 40 CFR Part 503). This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.
  - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
    - i. The date, exact place and time of sampling or measurements;
    - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
    - iii. The date(s) the analyses were performed;
    - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
    - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
    - vi. The results of such analyses.



- d. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures specified in this permit. If a test procedure is not specified in the permit, then monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under A.A.C. R18-9-A905(B) including those under 40 CFR Part 136 unless otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 503 (for sludge).
- e. The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained in this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two years per violation, or by both for first conviction. For a second conviction, such a person is subject to a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than four years, or both.

Any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained in this permit is subject to the enforcement actions established under A.R.S. Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 4, which includes the possibility of fines and/or imprisonment.

- 12. Signatory Requirement [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(k)]
  - a. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(1)(c))
  - b. The CLEAN WATER ACT provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two years per violation, or by both for a first conviction. For a second conviction, such a person is subject to a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than four years, or both.
- 13. Reporting Requirements [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(I)]
  - a. Planned changes. The Permittee shall give notice to the Director as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations of additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:
    - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b) (incorporated by reference at R18-9-A905(A)(1)(e)); or
    - The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42(a)(1) (incorporated by reference at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(b)).
    - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the Permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan.
  - b. Anticipated noncompliance. The Permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
  - c. Transfers. (R18-9-B905) This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Director. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the Permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under Arizona Revised Statutes and the Clean Water Act.
  - d. Monitoring reports. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified elsewhere in this permit.i. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) or forms provided or



specified by the Director for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices.

- ii. If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit, then the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR, or sludge reporting form specified by the Director.
- iii. Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified by the Director in the permit.
- e. Compliance schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- f. Twenty-four hour reporting.
  - i. The Permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger human health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.
  - ii. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
    - A. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit. (See 40 CFR 122.41(g) which is incorporated by reference at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a))
    - B. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
    - C. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Director in the permit to be reported within 24 hours. (See 40 CFR 122.44(g) which is incorporated by reference at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(d))
- g. Other noncompliance. The Permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph (f) of this section.
- h. Other information. Where the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
- 14. Bypass [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(m)]
  - a. Definitions
    - i. "Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
    - ii. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
  - b. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provision of paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.



- c. Notice.
  - i. Anticipated bypass. If the Permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of bypass.
  - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The Permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in paragraph (f)(ii) of section 13 (24-hour notice).
- d. Prohibition of bypass.
  - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Director may take enforcement action against a Permittee for bypass, unless:
    - A. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
    - B. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment down time. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgement to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
    - C. The Permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph (c) of this section.
  - ii. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.
- 15. Upset [A.R.S.§§49-255(8) and 255.01(E), R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.41(n)]
  - a. Definition. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
  - b. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
  - c. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A Permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defenses of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
    - i. An upset occurred and that the Permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
    - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
    - iii. The Permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in paragraph (f)(ii) of Section 13 (24-hour notice).
    - iv. The Permittee has taken appropriate measure including all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sewage sludge use or disposal that is in violation of the permit and that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment per A.R.S. § 49-255.01(E)(1)(d).
  - d. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.
- 16. Existing Manufacturing, Commercial, Mining, and Silvicultural Dischargers [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(b) which



#### incorporates 40 CFR 122.42(a)]

In addition to the reporting requirements under 40 CFR 122.41(I) (which is incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(a)), all existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Director as soon as they know or have reason to believe:

- a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
  - i. One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/l);
  - Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μg/l) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/l) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
  - iii. Five times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7) (which is incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(1)(b)); or
  - iv. The level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f) (which is incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(d)).
- b. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a nonroutine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
  - i. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/l);
  - ii. One milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
  - iii. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7)(which is incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(1)(b));
  - iv. The level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f) (which is incorporated at R18-9-A905(A)(3)(d)).
- 17. Publicly Owned Treatment Works [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(b) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.42(b)]

This section applies only to publicly owned treatment works as defined at ARS § 49-255(5).

- a. All POTW's must provide adequate notice to the Director of the following:
  - i. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of the CLEAN WATER ACT if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
  - ii. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
  - iii. For the purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and (ii) any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharge from the POTW.

Publicly owned treatment works may not receive hazardous waste by truck, rail, or dedicated pipe except as provided under 40 CFR 270. Hazardous wastes are defined at 40 CFR 261 and include any mixture containing any waste listed under 40 CFR 261.31 - 261.33. The Domestic Sewage Exclusion (40



CFR 261.4) applies only to wastes mixed with domestic sewage in a sewer leading to a publicly owned treatment works and not to mixtures of hazardous wastes and sewage or septage delivered to the treatment plant by truck.

18. Reopener Clause - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(d) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.44(c)] This permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to incorporate any applicable effluent standard or limitation or standard for sewage sludge use or disposal under sections 301(b)(2)(C), and (D), 304(b)(2), 307(a)(2) and 405(d) which is promulgated or approved after the permit is issued if that effluent or sludge standard or limitation is more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit, or controls a pollutant or sludge use or disposal practice not limited in the permit.

## 19. Privately Owned Treatment Works - [R18-9-A905(A)(3)(d) which incorporates 40 CFR 122.44]

This section applies only to privately owned treatment works as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

- a. Materials authorized to be disposed of into the privately owned treatment works and collection system are typical domestic sewage. Unauthorized material are hazardous waste (as defined at 40 CFR Part 261), motor oil, gasoline, paints, varnishes, solvents, pesticides, fertilizers, industrial wastes, or other materials not generally associated with toilet flushing or personal hygiene, laundry, or food preparation, unless specifically listed under "Authorized Non-domestic Sewer Dischargers" elsewhere in this permit.
- b. It is the Permittee's responsibility to inform users of the privately owned treatment works and collection system of the prohibition against unauthorized materials and to ensure compliance with the prohibition. The Permittee must have the authority and capability to sample all discharges to the collection system, including any from septic haulers or other unsewered dischargers, and shall take and analyze such samples for conventional, toxic, or hazardous pollutants when instructed by the permitting authority. The Permittee must provide adequate security to prevent unauthorized discharges to the collection system.
- c. Should a user of the privately owned treatment works desire authorization to discharge non-domestic wastes, the Permittee shall submit a request for permit modification and an application, pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(m), describing the proposed discharge. The application shall, to the extent possible, be submitted using ADEQ Forms 1 and 2C, unless another format is requested by the permitting authority. If the privately owned treatment works or collection system user is different from the Permittee, and the Permittee agrees to allow the non-domestic discharge, the user shall submit the application and the Permittee shall submit the permit modification request. The application and request for modification shall be submitted at least 6 months before authorization to discharge non-domestic wastes to the privately owned treatment works or collection system.
- 20. Transfers by Modification [R18-9-B905]

Except as provided in section 21, a permit may be transferred by the Permittee to a new owner or operator only if the permit has been modified or revoked and reissued, or a minor modification made under R18-9-B906, to identify the new Permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary.

## 21. Automatic Transfers [R18-9-B905]

An alternative to transfers under section 20, any AZPDES permit may be automatically transferred to a new Permittee if:

- a. The current Permittee notifies the Director at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;
- b. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new Permittee containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and



- c. The Director does not notify the existing Permittee and the proposed new Permittee of his or her intent to modify or revoke and reissue the permit. A modification under this subparagraph may also be a minor modification under R18-9-B906(B).
- 22. Minor Modification of Permits [R18-9-B906(B)]

Upon the consent of the Permittee, the Director may modify a permit to make the corrections or allowances for changes in the permitted activity listed in this section, without following public notice procedures under R18-9-A907 or A908. Minor modifications may only:

- a. Correct typographical errors;
- b. Update a permit condition that changed as a result of updating an Arizona water quality standard;
- c. Require more frequent monitoring or reporting by the Permittee;
- Change an interim compliance date in a schedule of compliance, provided the new date is not more than 120 days after the date specified in the existing permit and does not interfere with attainment of the final compliance date requirement;
- e. Allow for a change in ownership or operational control of a facility where the Director determines that no other change in their permit is necessary, provided that a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between the current and new Permittee has been submitted to the Director.
- f. Change the construction schedule for a discharger which is a new source. No such change shall affect a discharger's obligation prior to discharge under 40 CFR 122.29 (which is incorporated by reference in R18-9-A905(A)(1)(e)).
- g. Delete a point source outfall when the discharge from that outfall is terminated and does not result in discharge of pollutants from other outfalls except in accordance with the permit limits.
- h. Incorporate conditions of a POTW pretreatment program that has been approved in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR 403.11 and 403.18 as enforceable conditions of the POTW's permit.
- i. Annex an area by a municipality.
- 23. Termination of Permits [R-9-B906(C)]

The following are causes for terminating a permit during its term, or for denying a permit renewal application:

- a. Noncompliance by the Permittee with any condition of the permit;
- b. The Permittee's failure in the application or during the permit issuance process to disclose fully all relevant facts, or the Permittee's misrepresentation of any relevant facts at any time;
- c. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment and can only by regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination; or
- d. A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or a permanent reduction or elimination of any discharge controlled by the permit (for example, a plant closure or termination of discharge by connection to a POTW).
- 24. Availability of Reports [Pursuant to A.R.S § 49-205]

Except for data determined to be confidential under A.R.S § 49-205(A), all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at ADEQ offices. As required by A.R.S. § 49-205(B) and (C), permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

25. Removed Substances - [Pursuant to Clean Water Act Section 301]



Solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering navigable waters.

26. Severability - [Pursuant to A.R.S § 49-324(E)]

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

27. Civil and Criminal Liability - [Pursuant to A.R.S § 49-262, 263.01, and 263.02]

Except as provided in permit conditions on "Bypass" (Section 14) and "Upset" (Section 15), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the Permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

28. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability - [Pursuant to Clean Water Act Section 311].

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the operator from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State or Tribal law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

29. State or Tribal Law - [Pursuant to R 18-9-A904 (C)]. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the operator from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State or Tribal law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.