

# State of Arizona Air Monitoring Network Plan For the Year 2023

**Arizona Department of Environmental Quality** 

**Air Quality Division** 

**Air Monitoring and Assessment Section** 

May, 2023

Draft

# **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION	
1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1.2 MONITORING NETWORK EVALUATION	4
ADEQ PROGRAM AND NETWORK DESCRIPTIONS	5
2.1 NAAQS COMPLIANCE NETWORK	8
2.2 STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SIP) AND MAINTENANCE AREA NETWORK	18
2.3 Source Monitoring Network	20
2.4 NCore Network	21
2.5 METEOROLOGICAL NETWORK	22
2.6 PHOTOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT MONITORING STATIONS (PAMS)	23
2.7 NATIONAL AIR TOXICS TREND SITES (NATTS)	24
2.8 CHEMICAL SPECIATION NETWORK (CSN)	24
2.9 CLASS 1 AREA NETWORK AND IMPROVE PROGRAM	25
2.10 Urban Haze Network	26
2.11 E-BAM NETWORK OF PM <sub>2.5</sub> SPECIAL PURPOSE MONITORS	
2.12 ARIZONA / MEXICO BORDER NETWORK	
2.13 AIRNOW REPORTING	28
QUALITY ASSURANCE	
3.1 EPA QA REPORTS AND NETWORK PERFORMANCE	30
3.2 EPA Data Reports	
Appendix A – Definitions and Abbreviations	
Appendix B – Network Maps	
Appendix C – Current Monitors by Program or Network	
Appendix D – Site Information Data Tables	
Appendix E – Letters to EPA	
Appendix F – ADEQ's Air Quality Monitoring Role in Arizona	
Appendix G– Annual SO <sub>2</sub> Modeling Report	
List of Figures	
Figure 1 An overview of the networks that are operated by ADEQ	6
Figure 2 Air Monitoring Instrumentation Operating by Site in 2022	7
Figure 3 Chart of AOI Levels	28

# **List of Tables**

Table 1.0-1 Network Names and Descriptions	1
Table 1.1-1 Appendix Titles and Description	3
Table 1.2-1 Instrument Changes Made from July 2022 through June 2023	4
Table 1.2-2 Instrument Changes Planned for July 2023 to December 2024	4
Table 2.0-1 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (2021 Population Estimate)	
Table 2.0-2 Micropolitan Statistical Areas (2021 Population Estimate)	5
Table 2.1-1 Current NAAQS (Source: USEPA TTN NAAQS)	9
Table 2.1-2 Minimum Number of PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)	10
Table 2.1-3 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for PM <sub>2.5</sub> SLAMS	10
Table 2.1-4 PM <sub>2.5</sub> Design Values and Sampling Frequencies at ADEQ Sites	10
Table 2.1-5 PM <sub>2.5</sub> FRM/FEM Collocation Details	11
Table 2.1-6 Minimum Number of PM <sub>10</sub> Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)	12
Table 2.1-7 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for PM <sub>10</sub>	
Table 2.1-8 PM <sub>10</sub> Design Values (Estimated Exceedances) and Annual Means for ADEQ Sites	13
Table 2.1-9 PM <sub>10</sub> FRM/FEM Collocation Details	13
Table 2.1-10 Minimum Number of O₃ Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)	14
Table 2.1-11 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for O <sub>3</sub>	14
Table 2.1-12 ADEQ O₃ Sites and Design Values	14
Table 2.1-13 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Source-Oriented Pb Monitoring above 0.5 Tons per Year	
(including airports)	15
Table 2.1-14 Pb Design Values at ADEQ Sites	15
Table 2.1-15 Pb FRM/FEM Collocation Details	15
Table 2.1-16 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for SO₂	16
Table 2.1-17 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for Source SO₂ Monitoring	16
Table 2.1-18 SO₂ Design Values at ADEQ Sites	17
Table 2.1-19 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for NO2	17
Table 2.1-20 NO₂ Design Values at ADEQ Sites	17
Table 2.1-21 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for CO	18
Table 2.1-22 CO Maximum Values at ADEQ Sites	18
Table 2.2-1 SIP Network Monitoring Requirements	19
Table 2.3-1 Source Compliance Monitoring Network	20
Table 2.4-1 JLG Supersite NCore Requirements	21
Table 2.5-1 Meteorology Monitoring Network	
Table 2.6-1 Current JLG Supersite PAMS Instrumentation	23
Table 2.7-1 NATTS and UATMP Requirements	24
Table 2.8-1 CSN Requirements	
Table 2.9-1 Arizona Class 1 Visibility Monitoring Network	26
Table 2.10-1 Urban Haze Monitoring Network	26
Table 2.11-1 Current Locations of E-BAM Monitors	27

## INTRODUCTION

This document fulfills the obligation, under the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 40, § 58.10(a), requiring the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to complete and submit to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regional Administrator an annual monitoring network plan for the year 2023.

This plan informs EPA Region 9 of the monitoring activities ADEQ has implemented since July 2022, as well as activities ADEQ will undertake through December 2024. However, some changes may occur after the plan is published and approved due to unforeseen events at monitoring sites, funding changes, or changes in EPA monitoring requirements. Data from ADEQ's monitors are reported to EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) database and to EPA's public air quality information website, AirNow. In 40 CFR Part 51, EPA requires states to create, submit, and adopt State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to address the various issues and responsibilities involved with creating and implementing air quality programs. 40 CFR Part 51 Subpart J specifies that 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix C contains the requirements for establishing air quality surveillance systems to monitor ambient air quality.

Air quality surveillance systems consist of networks of monitors located at carefully selected physical locations referred to as sites or stations. The annual network review and planning are performed for the purpose of improving the monitoring networks and ensuring that they provide adequate, representative, and regulatory compliant air quality data. The results of this annual network review and planning are used to determine how well the networks are achieving their required air monitoring objectives, how well they meet data users' needs, and how they should be modified to continue meeting their objectives and data needs. Modifications can include the termination of existing stations, relocation of stations, establishment of new stations, monitoring of additional parameters, and/or changes to the sampling schedule.

**Table 1.0-1 Network Names and Descriptions** 

Network Name	Network Description
NAAQS (National Ambient Air Quality Standards)	Compliance network or the State and Local Air Monitoring Stations Network (SLAMS) – measures the criteria pollutants for demonstrating compliance to their standards
State Implementation Plan (SIP) specific network	Tracks compliance in areas that are currently in nonattainment or in areas where on-going demonstration of maintenance is required
Source-Oriented network	Requires several major point sources in the state to conduct ambient monitoring for criteria pollutants as outlined in their permit
NCore (National core multipollutant monitoring stations) Network	A nationwide multipollutant network that integrates several advanced measurement systems for particulates, pollutant gases, and meteorology (MET)
Meteorological Network	Supports the analysis of ambient air quality data
Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations Network (PAMS)	Enhanced monitoring of ozone (O₃) to obtain comprehensive and representative O₃ and precursor data
National Air Toxics Trends Station (NATTS) Network	Monitors and records the concentrations of EPA-identified air toxics on a national scale
Chemical Speciation Network (CSN)	Monitor speciated PM <sub>2.5</sub> (particulate matter < 2.5 microns) to determine the particulate chemical composition on a national scale
The Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) Network	Tracks visual impairment in specified national parks and wilderness areas

Phoenix Urban Haze Network	Provides State and local policy-makers and the public with information regarding the urban haze levels
ADEQ's Smoke Management Network	Provides continuous, real-time particulate concentration data that is useful for making smoke management decisions related to prescribed burns and wildfire monitoring
Arizona / Mexico Border Network	Provides air quality monitoring data and air monitoring networks in rural and urban areas along the border

This Annual Air Monitoring Network Plan identifies the purpose(s) of each monitor and provides evidence that both the siting and the operation of each monitor meets the EPA requirements as follows:

- 40 CFR 50 National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards
- 40 CFR 58 Appendix A Quality Assurance Requirements for Monitors used in Evaluations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards
- 40 CFR 58 Appendix C Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Methodology
- 40 CFR 58 Appendix D Network Design Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring
- 40 CFR 58 Appendix E Probe and Monitoring Path Siting Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

## 1.1 Executive Summary

ADEQ continually strives to protect and enhance public health and the environment through ambient air quality monitoring. ADEQ supports or operates many different state and national networks which help improve air quality in Arizona and nationwide. ADEQ's main monitoring objective is to measure criteria pollutants regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA) for compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

ADEQ fulfills all the monitoring requirements as stated in 40 CFR Part 58, in any State or Local laws, and according to the EPA administrator with regards to data quality and assurance, minimum monitoring requirements for all networks, siting and sampling criteria, and annual data certification. Data Certification for 2021 was submitted on May 1, 2023. The data certification sections of AQS were also updated reflecting ADEQ's recommendations for certifying the data.

ADEQ is also committed to the principles of environmental justice as established by the EPA. ADEQ supports equal access, meaningful involvement and fair treatment of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to developing, implementing and enforcing environmental laws, regulations and policies. As stated in our 2020 5-Year Network Assessment, ADEQ conducts spatial raster analysis using a variety of spatial and demographic indicators to identify areas of interest for further assessment. Furthermore, ADEQ utilizes EPA's EJSCREEN mapping and screening tool for air permitting purposes and grant programs. Arizona EJ concerns will dictate the deployment of future regulatory instruments and influence the trajectory of future air quality program planning.

Changes not outlined in this plan will be submitted to EPA Region 9 for approval. ADEQ may change plans according to new rules or direction from ADEQ management or the EPA Administrator, and will include these changes in the subsequent Annual Monitoring Network Plan. ADEQ provides notice of and invites public participation in decision making, including tools and resources to increase accessibility to data. For more information about ADEQ's EJ efforts and how our performance data compares to Federal Environmental, Climate & Economic Justice Screens (Federal Screen Areas), please see our website here: <a href="Public Health and Environmental Protection for All Arizonans">Public Health and Environmental Protection for All Arizonans</a>

Table 1.1-1 Appendix Titles and Description

Appendix	Title	Appendix Description				
А	Definitions and Abbreviations	Definitions and abbreviations for this document				
В	Network Maps	Maps of monitoring locations by network type				
С	Current Monitors by Program or Network  Meta-data for each monitor showing detailed information monitors operated by ADEQ or monitors that ADEQ has s association with (e.g. IMPROVE monitors).					
D	Site Information Data Tables	Meta-data for each monitoring location showing detailed information about sites that are fully or partially operated by ADEQ.				
E	Letters to EPA	Letters to EPA Region 9 for waivers or network changes that occurred outside of the annual monitoring network plan.				
F	ADEQ's Air Quality Monitoring Role in Arizona	A document that outlines the proposed responsibilities delineated to each monitoring agency in Arizona.				
G	Annual SO2 Modeling Report	Annual report for areas that were modeled for SO2 designations.				

#### 1.2 MONITORING NETWORK EVALUATION

This section provides a summary of changes to ADEQ's monitoring networks completed since the 2022-Network Plan submission, as well as changes planned for July 2023 through December 2024.

#### 1.2.1 Site Closures

**Tonopah and Spruce Mountain sites** 

#### 1.2.2 New Sites Planned

None

## 1.2.3 Past Network Change

Table 1.2-1 Instrument Changes Made from July 2022 through June 2023

Site Name	Monitors	Date of Change	Description				
Tonopah	O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> and VOCs	August 2022	Site closed and project ended.				
Spruce Mountain	O <sub>3</sub> and NO <sub>2</sub>	August 2022	Site closed and project ended.				
San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	O₃ and MET	March 7 <sup>th</sup> , 2023	Moved about 60ft. South within the same site.				
JLG	PM2.5	March 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2023	Switched from a Thermo Partisol 2000i to a Thermo Partisol 2025i.				

## 1.2.4 Planned Network Changes

Table 1.2-2 Instrument Changes Planned for July 2023 to December 2024

Site Name	Monitors	Ionitors Planned Date of Change Description								
San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	NOy and CO	Completed by end of 2023	ADEQ will install additional O <sub>3</sub> precursor analyzers to the site in San Luis, Mexico to better understand regional O <sub>3</sub> surrounding the Yuma planning area. The project currently does not have a set end date, as ADEQ would like it to remain indefinitely.							
Alamo Lake, Yuma, JLG, Rillito, and the Nogales PO	PM Instrumentation	Completed by June 2024	PM instruments with sub-hourly capacities will be installed at Alamo Lake, Yuma, JLG, Rillito, and the Nogales PO.							

## ADEQ PROGRAM AND NETWORK DESCRIPTIONS

ADEQ operates ambient air quality monitoring equipment for a variety of Federal and State monitoring programs (see figure 1 & 2). Detailed descriptions of the equipment deployed for each monitoring program are presented in Appendix C of this Network Plan. The equipment is grouped by monitoring program or network to easily compare instrument specifics. Appendix D of this Network Plan lists information on each of ADEQ's current monitoring sites, including those sites which ADEQ shares with other agencies or serves as the local site operator.

The minimum monitoring requirements for each pollutant are described in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and are typically based on the population of urban areas. Current minimum monitoring requirements are only associated with Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), and there are no minimum monitoring requirements for Micropolitan Statistical Areas. Tables 2.0-1 and 2.0-2 outline metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas in Arizona as identified by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Table 2.0-1 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (2021 Population Estimate)

Metropolitan Statistical Area	County	Population		
Flagstaff	Coconino	145,052		
Lake Havasu City – Kingman	Mohave	217,692		
Phoenix – Mesa – Chandler	Maricopa & Pinal	4,946,145		
Prescott Valley – Prescott	Yavapai	242,253		
Sierra Vista – Douglas	Cochise	126,050		
Tucson	Pima	1,052,030		
Yuma	Yuma	206,990		

**Table 2.0-2 Micropolitan Statistical Areas (2021 Population Estimate)** 

Micropolitan Statistical Area	County	Population
Nogales	Santa Cruz	47,883
Payson	Gila	53,589
Safford	Graham	39,050
Show Low	Navajo	108,147

Figure 1 An overview of the networks that are operated by ADEQ




Figure 2 Air Monitoring Instrumentation Operating by Site in 2022

										URBHAZE/							Baro		Mixing Layer		Active
SITE NAME	AQS Site ID	COUNTY	co	NO2 S	02 03	PM10	PM2.5	Pb	EBAM	Visibility	IMPROVE	WS/WD	Temp/RH	UV Rad	Solar Rad	Precip	Press	voc/svoc	Height	PM Speciation	Parameters
ADEQ Building	None	MARICOPA								1											1
Ajo	04-019-0001	PIMA	$\Box$			1						1	1								3
Alamo Lake	04-012-8000	LA PAZ	$\Box$		1	1	1					1	1								5
Banner Mesa Medical Center	None	MARICOPA								1											1
Bullhead City	04-015-1003	MOHAVE				1															1
Douglas Red Cross	04-003-1005	COCHISE				1	1					1	1								4
Estrella Mountain Community College	None	MARICOPA								1											1
Flagstaff Middle School	04-005-1008	COCONINO			1				1												2
Globe Highway	04-007-1002	GILA						1				1	1								3
Hayden Old Jail	04-007-1001	GILA			1	1						1	1								4
Hillcrest	04-007-1003	GILA						2													2
JLG Supersite	04-013-9997	MARICOPA	1	1	1 1	1	2			1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	3	23
Miami Golf Course	04-007-8000	GILA				1		1				1	1								4
Miami Jones Ranch	04-007-0011	GILA			1																1
Miami Town Site	04-007-0012	GILA			1																1
Nogales Mexico ITN	80-02-6006	SENORA			1	1	1					1	1			1	1				7
Nogales Post Office	04-023-0004	SANTA CRUZ				1	2				1	1	1								6
Nogales World Radio Network Inc.	None	SANTA CRUZ								1											1
North Mountain Summit	None	MARICOPA								2											2
Organ Pipe National Monument	04-019-0005	PIMA									1										1
Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	04-003-0011	COCHISE				1						1	1								3
Payson Well Site	04-007-0008	GILA				1			1			1	1								4
Prescott Pioneer Park	04-025-8034	YAVAPAI			1				1												2
Queen Valley	04-021-8001	PINAL			1							1	1								3
Rillito	04-019-0020	PIMA				1						1	1								3
Saguaro National Park West	04-019-9000	PIMA									1										1
San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	80-026-8012	SONORA			1							1	1								3
Sedona Fire Station	None	COCONINO							1												1
Show Low	None	NAVAJO							1												1
South Phoenix	04-013-4003	MARICOPA																1			1
Tonto NM	04-007-0010	GILA			1						1										2
Verde Ranger Station	None	YAVAPAI							1												1
Yuma Mountain Camera	None	YUMA								1											1
Yuma Supersite	04-027-8011	YUMA			1	1	1					1	1								5
Active Param	neters	•	1	1	4 9	13	8	4	6	8	6	15	15	1	1	2	2	4	1	3	104

Total # of Criteria Pollutant Monitors	40
Total # of Active Parameters	104
Total # of Active Sites	34

## 2.1 NAAQS Compliance Network

ADEQ's National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) compliance network, also called State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS), consists of monitoring sites operated for the purpose of demonstrating compliance with the NAAQS for the criteria pollutants carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and lead (Pb). For each of these pollutants, EPA has established national air quality standards to protect public health (see figure 2.1-1). The criteria pollutants are measured using instruments designated by EPA as Federal Reference Methods (FRM) or Federal Equivalent Methods (FEM). 40 CFR Part 58 specifies the minimum requirements for determining NAAQS compliance, including the following network and site criteria:

- Number and types of monitors required per Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) by pollutant
- Objectives and spatial scales
- Sampling frequency
- Collocation
- Special NCore-related requirements
- Meteorology
- Probe location and other restrictions within a site
- Periodic performance evaluations (PE)
- Quality Assurance
- Data reporting

Table 2.1-1 Current NAAQS (Source: USEPA TTN NAAQS)

Pollutant		Primary/ Secondary	Averaging Time	Level	Form		
Carbon Monoxide		Primary	8-hour	9 ppm	Not to be exceeded more		
(CO)		Timary	1-hour	35 ppm	than once per year		
Lead (Pb)		primary and secondary	Rolling 3 month average	0.15 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Not to be exceeded		
Nitrogen Di	oxide	Primary	1-hour	100 ppb	98 <sup>th</sup> percentile, averaged over 3 years		
(NO <sub>2</sub> )		primary and secondary	Annual	53 ppb	Annual Mean		
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )		primary and secondary	8-hour	0.070 ppm	Annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hr concentration, averaged over 3 years		
		Primary	Annual	12 μg/m³	annual mean, averaged over 3 years		
Particle	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Secondary	Annual	15 μg/m³	annual mean, averaged over 3 years		
Pollution		primary and secondary	24-hour	35 μg/m³	98 <sup>th</sup> percentile, averaged over 3 years		
PM <sub>10</sub>		primary and secondary	24-hour	150 μg/m³	Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years		
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )		Primary	1-hour	75 ppb	99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years		
		Secondary	3-hour	0.5 ppm	Not to be exceeded more than once per year		

#### 2.1.1 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ currently operates EPA-approved FEM monitors at four PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring sites. One was deployed to the Alamo Lake site and designated as the PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring network's Background site. Yuma Supersite is designated as the required PM<sub>2.5</sub> Transport site. The other two sites are to meet minimum monitoring requirements. The annual primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS of 12.0  $\mu$ g/m³ and 24-hour primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS of 35.0  $\mu$ g/m³ was met in 2021 by all four sites operated by ADEQ.

Six non-FEM continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitors are also in operation throughout the state, most of which are associated with the Smoke Management E-BAM network. See Section 2.11 for additional details regarding the E-BAM network.

The number of PM<sub>2.5</sub> samplers required in urban areas is based on population and design values. Maricopa, Pinal, Pima Counties, and the tribes in Arizona have delegated authority for their monitoring networks and AQS

reporting. ADEQ's PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring network includes the MSAs and nonattainment areas in all other Arizona counties.

Table 2.1-2 Minimum Number of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)

Population (MSA)	Most Recent 3-Yr Design Value ≥ 85% of any PM <sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS *	Most Recent 3-Yr Design Value <85% any PM <sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS * or no Design Value Available		
>1,000,000	3 monitors	2 monitors		
500,000 - <1,000,000	2 monitors	1 monitor		
50,000 - <500,000	1 monitor	0 monitors		

Table 2.1-3 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for PM<sub>2.5</sub> SLAMS

(FRM/FEM/ARM, see 40 CFR Part 58 App D Section 4.7.1 and Table D-5)

MSA	County	2021 Census Population Estimates	2020-2022 PM <sub>2.5</sub> Annual Design Value (μg/m³)	Annual Design Value Site	2020- 2022 Daily Design Value (µg/m³)	Daily Design Value Site	# of Required Monitors	# of Required Continuous Monitors	# of Active Continuous Monitors	# of Additional Monitors Needed
Flagstaff	Coconino	145,052	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	1*	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	Yavapai	242,253	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	1*	0
Yuma	Yuma	206,990	8.9	Yuma Supersite	22	Yuma Supersite	0	0	1	0
Lake Havasu- Kingman	Mohave	217,692	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0
Sierra Vista – Douglas	Cochise	126,050	N/A	Douglas Red Cross	N/A	Douglas Red Cross	0	0	1	0

<sup>\*</sup> Continuous monitors are not FRMs, FEMs, or ARMs

Monitors required for SIP or Maintenance Plan: Nogales Post Office.

Table 2.1-4 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Design Values and Sampling Frequencies at ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2020-2022 24-Hour Design Value (μg/m³)	2020-2022 Annual Design Value (μg/m³)	Sample Frequency
04-012-8000	Alamo Lake <sup>1</sup>	11	4.1	Continuous
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	22	8.8	Continuous
04-023-0004	Nogales Post Office	30	10.2	Continuous
04-027-8011	Yuma Supersite <sup>2</sup>	22	8.9	Continuous

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,\mbox{Alamo}$  Lake is designated as the Background site for the  $PM_{\rm 2.5}$  Network

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Yuma Supersite is designated as the Transport site in the PM $_{\rm 2.5}$  Network

#### 2.1.2 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Collocation Requirements

The ADEQ  $PM_{2.5}$  network is required to have collocated monitoring at one site. The Nogales Post Office site has the highest  $PM_{2.5}$  design value in ADEQ's  $PM_{2.5}$  network and is therefore a  $PM_{2.5}$  collocated site.

Additionally, ADEQ collocates  $PM_{2.5}$  instruments at the JLG Supersite for NCore requirements.

Table 2.1-5 PM<sub>2.5</sub> FRM/FEM Collocation Details

Method Code (Instrument Type)	# of Sites	# of Primary Monitors	# of Required Collocated Monitors	# of Active Collocated Monitors
143 (Partisol 2000i)	2	0	0	0
170 (Met One BAM 1020)	4	5	1	2

#### 2.1.3 Relocating Any Violating PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitors

ADEQ does not have any violating PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitors that are being considered for relocation as described in 40 CFR Part 58.10 (c). It requires the annual monitoring network plan to document how state and local agencies provide for the review of changes to a PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring network that impact the location of a violating PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitor. The analysis includes a description of the proposed use of spatial averaging for purposes of making comparisons to the annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS as set forth in Appendix N to CFR Part 50. The affected agency must document the process for obtaining public comment and include any comments received through the public notification process within their submitted plan. ADEQ does not intend to establish community monitoring zones as described in the rule or utilize spatial averaging for comparison to the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. A public comment procedure is required prior to relocation of a violating monitor and ADEQ will utilize the following procedure:

- 1. Evaluation of the potential replacement site will include review and comparison of available pollutant data, meteorology, climatology, terrain, and siting characteristics.
- 2. Make notice of such a change in the annual monitoring network plan.
- 3. If the change must be accomplished prior to annual monitoring network plan submittal, ADEQ will make appropriate notice via the agency Web page and invite participation from the public prior to relocation.
- 4. Relocation of the monitor.

## 2.1.4 PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ operates a network of twelve  $PM_{10}$  monitors throughout Arizona. The 24-hour primary  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS of 150  $\mu g/m^3$  has been exceeded at Rillito and Yuma Supersite in the 2019 to 2021 time period.

The number of  $PM_{10}$  monitors required in urban areas is based on the population of the area and design values. Maricopa, Pinal, and Pima Counties have delegated authority for their monitoring networks and AQS reporting. ADEQ's  $PM_{10}$  monitoring network includes the MSAs in all other Arizona counties, as well as nonattainment areas in those counties.

Table 2.1-6 Minimum Number of PM<sub>10</sub> Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)

MSA Population	High Concentration Exceeds 24-Hour NAAQS by 20% or more (>180µg/m³)	Medium Concentration Exceeds 80% of 24-Hour NAAQS (>120µg/m³)	Low Concentration Less than 80% of 24-Hour NAAQS (<120 µg/m³) or no Design Value Available	
>1,000,000	6-10 monitors	4-8 monitors	2-4 monitors	
500,000 - <1,000,000	4-8 monitors	2-4 monitors	1-2 monitors	
250,000 - <500,000	3-4 monitors	1-2 monitors	0-1 monitors	
100,000 - <250,000	1-2 monitors	0-1 monitors	0 monitors	

Table 2.1-7 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for PM<sub>10</sub>

MSA	County	2021 Census Population Estimates	2021 PM <sub>10</sub> Max Concentration [μg/m³]	Max Concentration Site	# of Required Monitors	# of Active Monitor s	# of Additional Monitors Needed
Flagstaff	Coconino	145,052	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	Yavapai	242,253	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
Yuma	Yuma	206,990	238	Yuma Supersite	1-2	1	0
Lake Havasu- Kingman	Mohave	217,692	183	Bullhead City	0	1	0
Sierra Vista - Douglas	Cochise	126,050	130	Douglas Red Cross	0-1	2	0

Monitors required for SIP or Maintenance Plan: Bullhead City, Douglas Red Cross, Hayden Old Jail, JLG Supersite, Miami Golf Course, Nogales Post Office, Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant, Payson Well Site, Rillito, and Yuma Supersite.

Table 2.1-8 PM<sub>10</sub> Design Values (Estimated Exceedances) and Annual Means for ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2020-2022 Average Estimated Days PM <sub>10</sub> >150 μg/m <sup>3</sup> Excluding Concurred Events	2021 Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m³)
04-003-0011	Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	0.3	18.8
04-003-1005	Douglas Red Cross	0	26.2
04-007-0008	Payson Well Site	0 <del>1</del>	18.7*
04-007-1001	Hayden Old Jail	2	21.3
04-007-8000	Miami Golf Course <sup>1</sup>	0.4	23.1
04-012-8000	Alamo Lake <sup>1</sup>	1 <sup>4</sup>	15.1
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	0	27.4
04-015-1003	Bullhead City	1	21.1
04-019-0001	Ajo	0	19.2
04-019-0020	Rillito	7.4	45.1
04-023-0004	Nogales Post Office	0	34.6
04-027-8011	Yuma Supersite	4.1	43.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Design value does not meet validity criteria due to not meeting annual data completeness requirements.

**Bold** denotes exceedances and sites in violation of the 2012 NAAQS of 150  $\mu g/m^3$ .

#### 2.1.5 PM<sub>10</sub> Collocation Requirements

There are no collocation requirements for EPA-approved PM<sub>10</sub> FEM monitors.

Table 2.1-9 PM<sub>10</sub> FRM/FEM Collocation Details

Method Code	# of Sites	# of Primary Monitors	# of Required Collocated Monitors	# of Active Collocated Monitors
122 (BAM)	12	12	0	0

#### 2.1.6 O<sub>3</sub> Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ operates a network of seven  $O_3$  monitors throughout Arizona, one in San Luis, Mexico, and one in Nogales, Mexico. Tonto National Monument, JLG Supersite, and Queen Valley are sites in violation of the current 0.070 ppm  $O_3$  NAAQS.

The minimum monitoring requirements for  $O_3$  are based on population of the area and design values. Maricopa, Pinal, and Pima Counties have delegated authority for their monitoring networks and AQS reporting. ADEQ's  $O_3$  monitoring network includes the MSAs and other areas in all other Arizona counties.

The \* indicates that the mean does not satisfy summary criteria.

Table 2.1-10 Minimum Number of O₃ Monitors Required (40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D)

Population (MSA)	Most recent 3 year 8-hour Design Value ≥ 85% of NAAQS (0.0595 ppm)	Most recent 3 year 8-hour Design Value <85% NAAQS (0.0595 ppm) or no Design Value available		
>10 Million	4 monitors	2 monitors		
4 – <10 Million	3 monitors	1 monitor		
350,000 – <4 Million	2 monitors	1 monitor		
50,000 - <350,000	1 monitor	0 monitors		

Table 2.1-11 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for O₃

(Note: Refer to section 4.1 and Table D-2 of Appendix D to 40 CFR Part 58)

MSA	County	2021 Census Population Estimates	2020-2022 O <sub>3</sub> 8-hr Design Value (ppb)	Design Value Site	# of Required Monitors	# of Active Monitors	# of Additional Monitors Needed
Flagstaff	Coconino	145,052	63	Flagstaff Middle School	1	1	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	Yavapai	242,253	62	Prescott Pioneer Park	1	1	0
Yuma	Yuma	206,990	68	Yuma Supersite	1	1	0
Lake Havasu- Kingman	Mohave	217,692	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
Sierra Vista - Douglas	Cochise	126,050	65	Chiricahua National Monument	1	1	0

Monitors required for SIP or Maintenance Plan: Alamo Lake, JLG Supersite, Queen Valley, and Tonto National Monument.

Table 2.1-12 ADEQ O₃ Sites and Design Values

AQS Site ID	Site	Current Operating Schedule	2020-2022 Design Value (ppm)
04-005-1008	Flagstaff Middle School	January – December	0.063
04-007-0010	Tonto National Monument	January – December	0.076
04-012-8000	Alamo Lake	January – December	0.065
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	January – December	0.079
04-021-8001	Queen Valley	January – December	0.076
04-025-8034	Prescott Pioneer Park	January – December	0.062
04-027-8011	Yuma Supersite	January – December	0.068
80-026-8012	San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10 <sup>1</sup>	January – December	0.062 <sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Site does not require data certification

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Design value does not meet validity criteria due to not meeting annual data completeness requirements in 2020 (40%) and 2021 (28%). **Bold** denotes exceedances and sites in violation of the 2015 NAAQS of 0.070 ppm.

#### 2.1.7 Pb Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ operates three source-oriented total suspended particulates (TSP) Hi-Vol Pb monitors throughout Arizona. Globe Highway met the 2016 NAAQS of 0.15  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D states that at a minimum, there must be one source-oriented SLAMS site located to measure the maximum Pb concentration in ambient air resulting from each non-airport source which emits 0.50 or more tons per year and each airport source which emits 1.0 or more tons per year. Per the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) 2017, there are two non-airport sources above the 0.5 ton per year threshold. There is no longer an NCore requirement for Pb, but ADEQ will continue to report Pb data using the same PM<sub>10</sub> metals speciation sample that is used for the NATTS program.

Table 2.1-13 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Source-Oriented Pb Monitoring above 0.5 Tons per Year (including airports)

(Note:	Refer to	section 4.5	of An	nendix D	to 40	CFR P	art 58)

Source Name	Address	Pb Emissions (tons per year) <sup>1</sup>	Max 3-Month Design Value [μg/m³]	# of Required Monitors	# of Active Monitors	# of Additional Monitors Needed
ASARCO LLC		0.02	0.03	1	2	0
Freeport McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc.		14.45	0.03	1	1	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> data taken from the 2020 NEI

Table 2.1-14 Pb Design Values at ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2020-2022 Design Value (μg/m³)
04-007-1002	Globe Highway	0.01 <sup>4</sup>
04-007-1003	Hillcrest	0.03
04-007-8000	Miami Golf Course	0.03 <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Design value does not meet validity criteria due to not meeting annual data completeness requirements.

#### 2.1.8 Pb Collocation Requirements

ADEQ's Pb network requires only one collocated site. The Hillcrest site located in Hayden, AZ is the current collocated site.

Table 2.1-15 Pb FRM/FEM Collocation Details

Method Code	# of Sites	# of Primary Monitors	# of Required Collocated Monitors	# of Active Collocated Monitors
191 (Pb-TSP ICP/MS)	3	3	1	1

**Bold** denotes value above the standard of the 2016 NAAQS of 0.15 ug/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### 2.1.9 SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ operates a network of four SO<sub>2</sub> monitors throughout Arizona. Additionally, **A**merican **S**melting **A**nd **R**efining **CO**mpany (ASARCO) operates an SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring network in Gila County for permit compliance and to support SIP rule requirements. There are currently no monitors in violation of the 2019 NAAQS of 75 ppb.

The  $SO_2$  monitoring requirements in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D are based on a Population Weighted Emissions Index (PWEI) calculated for each core-based statistical area (CBSA). CBSAs with PWEIs greater than 5,000 require at least one  $SO_2$  monitor, PWEIs greater than 100,000 require a minimum of two  $SO_2$  monitors, and PWEIs greater than 1,000,000 require three  $SO_2$  monitors. The two required  $SO_2$  monitors in Phoenix-Mesa-Chandler are operated by Maricopa County and there is one  $SO_2$  monitor in Tucson operated by Pima County. Both of these CBSAs are not under ADEQ jurisdiction.

Table 2.1-16 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for SO<sub>2</sub>

(Note: Refer to section 4.4 of Appendix D to 40 CFR Part 58)

CBSA	County	2021	2020 Total	Population Weighted	# of	# of	# of
		Census Population	SO <sub>2</sub> 1 [tons/year]	Emissions Index <sup>2</sup> [million persons-tons	Required Monitors	Active Monitors	Additional Monitors
		Estimates	[, ,]	per year]			Needed
Flagstaff	Coconino	145,052	653.46	94.8	0	0	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	Yavapai	242,253	2722.75	659.6	0	0	0
Yuma	Yuma	206,990	105.65	21.9	0	0	0
Lake Havasu City – Kingman	Mohave	217,692	145.76	31.7	0	0	0
Sierra Vista - Douglas	Cochise	126,050	215.78	27.2	0	0	0
Show Low	Navajo	108,147	1888.94	204.3	0	0	0
Payson	Gila	53,589	1763.24	94.5	0	0	0
Nogales	Santa Cruz	47,883	8.09	0.4	0	0	0
Safford	Graham	39,050	213.15	8.3	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Using 2017 NEI data

Monitors required for SIP or Maintenance Plan: Hayden and Miami Planning Areas

EPA Regional Administrator-required monitors per 40 CFR 58, App. D 4.4.3: None

Table 2.1-17 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for Source SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring

Source Name	SO₂ 2020	Emission	Monitoring	SO₂ Maximum	# of	# of	# of
	Emissions	Inventory	or Modeling	Design Value	Required	Active	Additional
	(tons per	Source &		(in ppb)	Monitors	Monitors	Monitors
	year)	Data Year					Needed
ASARCO LLC	0.03	ADEQ 2020	Monitoring	282	1	1	0
TEP CO -	6082.37	ADEQ 2020	Modeling	N/A	0	0	0
Springerville							
AEPCO –	95.59	ADEQ 2017	Modeling	N/A	0	0	0
Apache							
FMMI Inc.	329.09	ADEQ 2017	Monitoring	175	1	2	0
APS – Cholla	1860.78	ADEQ 2017	Modeling	N/A	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Calculated by multiplying CBSA population and total SO<sub>2</sub> and dividing product by one million

Table 2.1-18 SO₂ Design Values at ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2020-2022 1-Hour Design Value (ppb)
04-007-0011	Miami Jones Ranch	63
04-007-0012	Miami Townsite	50
04-007-1001	Hayden Old Jail	3
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	4

**Bold** denotes exceedances and sites in violation of the 2019 NAAQS of 75 ppb.

#### 2.1.10 NO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ currently operates one  $NO_2$  monitor in Arizona located at the JLG Supersite to fulfill a PAM's requirement and this monitor is also classified as an ambient area-wide monitor. The annual  $NO_2$  mean at JLG Supersite complies with the NAAQS of 53 ppb. The  $NO_2$  three-year average of the one-hour averages at the 98th percentile was approximately half of the 100 ppb standard at JLG Supersite and complies with the NAAQS.

The NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring requirements set forth in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D are based on a combination of CBSA population and Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) counts. Two CBSAs within Arizona (Phoenix and Tucson Metro areas) contain populations requiring ambient and near-road monitoring. Pima and Maricopa Counties will operate the required monitors in Tucson and Phoenix, respectively.

ADEQ will continue to monitor NO<sub>2</sub> at JLG Supersite as required by the PAMS program.

Table 2.1-19 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for NO<sub>2</sub>

(Note: Refer to section 4.3 of Appendix D to 40 CFR Part 58)

CBSA	2021 Census Population Estimates	2021 ax AADT Counts	# of Required Near-road Monitors	# of Active Near-road Monitors	# of Additional Near-road Monitors Needed	# of Required Area-wide Monitors	# of Active Area-wide Monitors	# of Additional Area-wide Monitors Needed
Flagstaff	145,052	51,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	242,253	51,975	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuma	206,990	48,563	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake Havasu City – Kingman	217,692	42,316	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra Vista – Douglas	126,050	26,562	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2.1-20 NO₂ Design Values at ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2020-2022 1-Hour Design Value (ppb)	2021Annual Mean (ppb)
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	49	12.78

#### 2.1.11 CO Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ currently operates one CO monitor in Arizona. The monitor is located at JLG Supersite and fulfills NCore requirements. No exceedances of the one-hour or eight-hour standards were recorded in 2022.

Table 2.1-21 ADEQ Responsible Minimum Monitoring Requirements for CO

(Note: Refer to section 4.2 of Appendix D to 40 CFR Part 58)

CBSA	2021 Census Population Estimates	# of Required Near-Road Monitors	# of Active Near-Road Monitors	# of Additional Monitors Needed
Flagstaff	145,052	0	0	0
Prescott Valley- Prescott	242,253	0	0	0
Yuma	206,990	0	0	0
Lake Havasu City – Kingman	217,692	0	0	0
Sierra Vista – Douglas	126,050	0	0	0

Table 2.1-22 CO Maximum Values at ADEQ Sites

AQS Site ID	Site Name	2022 CO 1-Hour	2022 CO 8-Hour	
AQ3 Site ID	Site Wallie	Max. Value (ppm)	Max. Value (ppm)	
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	2.001	1.6	

## 2.2 State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Maintenance Area Network

ADEQ maintains several air monitoring sites for the purpose of tracking compliance in areas that are currently in nonattainment for one or more of the NAAQS, and in areas where the NAAQS have been met but on-going demonstration of maintenance is required. Specific monitoring requirements for each of these areas are described in their respective SIPs and/or Maintenance Plans.

#### 2.2.1 SIP Monitoring Network Requirements

ADEQ, along with other delegated agencies, is responsible for the preparation and submittal of SIPs for nonattainment and maintenance areas in Arizona. ADEQ is responsible for conducting ambient air monitoring to help ensure monitoring requirements are met throughout Arizona and in areas not covered by other agencies within Maricopa, Pima, and Pinal Counties. Permitted sources are also responsible for monitoring air quality, if it is included in their air quality permit. Some monitoring sites are specifically named in the area's SIP; other monitoring sites are not specifically named, but are representative of the air quality in that SIP area. Table 2.2-1 lists the ADEQ and source-operated monitors used to determine SIP compliance.

**Table 2.2-1 SIP Network Monitoring Requirements** 

Area and	Pollutant	Dosignation	Classification	ADEO SID Sitos
County	Pollutant	Designation	Classification	ADEQ SIP Sites
Phoenix, Maricopa	СО	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	JLG Supersite
Tucson, Pima	СО	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	No network or commitment
Phoenix-Mesa-	O <sub>3</sub> 8-hr	Nonattainment for the 2008 and	Marginal for the 2015	Sites in Maricopa, Pinal, and Gila
Scottsdale,		2015 ozone NAAQS.	ozone NAAQS;	Counties
Maricopa, Pinal,			Moderate for the 2008	
Gila		Attainment for the 1997 8 hour	ozone NAAQS	
		ozone NAAQS (for both		
		Maricopa and Pinal portions)		
Yuma, Yuma	O <sub>3</sub> 8-hr	Nonattainment (2008 ozone NAAQS)	Marginal	Yuma Supersite
Ajo, Pima	PM <sub>10</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	Ajo
Bullhead City,	PM <sub>10</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	Bullhead City (Post Office)
Mohave				
Douglas-Paul Spur,	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Douglas Red Cross, Paul Spur
Cochise				Chemical Lime Plant
Hayden, Gila and Pinal	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Hayden Old Jail
Miami, Gila	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Miami Golf Course
Nogales, Santa	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Nogales Post Office
Cruz				
Payson, Gila	PM <sub>10</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	Payson Well Site
Phoenix,	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Serious	JLG Supersite
Maricopa, and				
Pinal (Apache				
Junction portion)				
Phoenix (Salt River				
Area)				
Rillito, Pima	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Rillito
Yuma, Yuma	PM <sub>10</sub>	Nonattainment	Moderate	Yuma Supersite
Nogales, Santa	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Nonattainment (2006 PM2.5	Moderate	Nogales Post Office
Cruz		NAAQS)		
West Central Pinal	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Nonattainment (2006 PM2.5 NAAQS)	Moderate	No network or commitment
Ajo, Pima	SO <sub>2</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	No network or commitment
Douglas, Cochise	SO <sub>2</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	No network or commitment
Hayden, Gila and	SO <sub>2</sub>	Nonattainment – Primary for	N/A	ADEQ (SO <sub>2</sub> , MET): Hayden Old Jail
Pinal		1971 NAAQS		
				ASARCO (5 SO <sub>2</sub> , 3 MET [no MET at Jail
		Nonattainment for 2010 NAAQS		or Garfield]): Globe Hwy, Garfield
				Ave., Montgomery Ranch, Hayden Old
				Jail, Hayden Junction
Miami, Gila	SO <sub>2</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment for	N/A	ADEQ: Miami Jones Ranch, Miami
		1971 NAAQS		Ridgeline, Miami Townsite
		Nonattainment for 2010 NAAQS		FMMI (SO <sub>2</sub> , MET) Miami Jones Ranch,
		1		Miami Townsite
Morenci, Greenlee	SO <sub>2</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	No network or commitment

Area and County	Pollutant	Designation	Classification	ADEQ SIP Sites
San Manuel, Pima and Pinal	SO <sub>2</sub>	Maintenance/Attainment	N/A	No network or commitment
Hayden (Gila and Pinal)	Pb	Nonattainment	N/A	No network or commitment
Regional Haze, 12 Class 1 areas	Visibility Impairing pollutants, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> species)	Statewide – IMPROVE monitors	N/A	ADEQ Protocol sites: Nogales Post Office, Organ Pipe National Monument, JLG Supersite, Saguaro National Park West  NPS / USFS sites: Chiricahua Entrance Station, Greer Water Treatment Plant, Grand Canyon - Hance Camp, Ike's Backbone, Petrified Forest National Park, Saguaro National Park-East, Sycamore Canyon, Tonto National Monument

**Note:** Sites in italics are specifically required in SIPs; others meet the general SIP requirement that representative monitoring be conducted (no specific monitoring sites are named in SIP).

## 2.3 Source Monitoring Network

ADEQ requires select major and minor point sources in the state to conduct ambient monitoring for selected pollutants in and around their sources. Some requirements are for prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) monitoring prior to operation of the facility. Other monitoring requirements are for the duration of the permit or timeframe specified therein. ADEQ serves as the governing body for these sites and performs semi-annual and annual air monitoring performance audits on the sources according to permit requirements. Sources are required to review and validate their data and submit quality assurance documents to ADEQ with the data. Table 2.3-1 lists the monitors operated by ADEQ permitted sources.

**Table 2.3-1 Source Compliance Monitoring Network** 

Site Name	City	Pollutant(s)	AQS Submittal	
Globe Highway	Winkelman	SO <sub>2</sub>	No	
ASARCO – Hayden – Garfield Ave.	Hayden	SO <sub>2</sub>	No	
ASARCO – Montgomery Ranch	Hayden	SO <sub>2</sub>	No	
ASARCO – Hayden Junction	Hayden	SO <sub>2</sub>	No	
	Junction		_	
Hayden Old Jail <sup>1</sup>	Hayden	SO <sub>2</sub>	No	
Drake Cement	Sycamore	PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass and ammonium	No	
	Canyon	speciation, Meteorology	INO	
Carlota Mine – Sanctuary	Globe	PM <sub>10</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , Meteorology	No	
Rosemont Monitoring Site	Vail	PM <sub>10</sub> Meteorology	No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ADEQ also operates an SO<sub>2</sub> monitor at this site. The ADEQ data are submitted to AQS while the facility data are not.

#### 2.4 NCore Network

EPA describes the nationwide NCore network, which is composed of approximately 70 urban and 20 rural sites, as a multipollutant network that integrates several advanced measurement systems for particulates, pollutant gases, and meteorology. Some objectives of the NCore network include:

- Tracking long-term trends of criteria and non-criteria pollutants;
- Support for long-term health assessments which contribute to ongoing reviews of the NAAQS;
- Support to scientific studies ranging across technological, health, and atmospheric process disciplines; and
- Support to ecosystem assessments recognizing that national air quality networks benefit ecosystem assessments and, in turn, benefit from data specifically designed to address ecosystem analyses.

As required by 40 CFR Part 58.13, ADEQ's NCore site, JLG Supersite, was operational by January 1, 2011. However, JLG Supersite has been a multipollutant monitoring site since its establishment in 1993. In addition to the above missions and the NCore monitoring requirements set forth in 40 CFR Part 58.13, ADEQ will use the JLG Supersite to test new technologies in various ADEQ monitoring networks. Examples include advanced communications and serial data collection, remote zero/span/precision (Z/S/P) checks and calibrations, high sensitivity instruments, and instruments that monitor additional pollutants that may be added to current CFR requirements. Additional NCore information is available from the EPA website: https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/ncore.html

#### 2.4.1 NCore Monitoring Network Requirements

EPA has identified JLG Supersite as the required NCore site for the Phoenix metropolitan area. The required NCore parameters are listed in Table 2.4-1.

**Table 2.4-1 JLG Supersite NCore Requirements** 

Required Measurement	Frequency/Duration
PM <sub>2.5</sub> FEM mass	Hourly
PM <sub>2.5</sub> FRM mass	1-in-3
PM <sub>10</sub> FEM mass	Hourly
PM <sub>coarse</sub> FEM mass	Hourly
PM <sub>2.5</sub> speciation	1-in-3
O <sub>3</sub>	Hourly
CO (Trace Level)	Hourly
SO <sub>2</sub> (Trace Level)	Hourly
NO/NOy	Hourly
Surface meteorology	Hourly

## 2.5 Meteorological Network

ADEQ collects meteorological data at sites throughout the state to support the analysis of ambient air quality data and to provide support for exceptional event reporting (see Table 2.5-1). Meteorological measurements are also required for the NCore and PAMS networks. Some sites were originally established because other meteorological networks (NWS, AZMet, etc.) were not located near ADEQ's ambient air quality sites. ADEQ continues to add meteorological instrumentation (wind speed, wind direction, temperature, and relative humidity) to most of ADEQ's monitoring sites that were not previously equipped, and for which there are adequate facilities to support the meteorological tower and equipment. ADEQ currently meets the meteorological monitoring requirements for the NCore and PAMS networks.

#### 2.5.1 Meteorology Monitoring Network

Except for the items mentioned above, ADEQ does not have any specific plans to make changes to the meteorological network, but may add additional meteorological equipment at existing SLAMS sites as resources permit. At this time, ADEQ plans to only submit meteorological data that are required by 40 CFR Part 58.16 to EPA's AQS database. If future resources allow additional meteorological data submittals to the AQS database, ADEQ may do so on a voluntary basis. A spatial representation of ADEQ's meteorological monitoring network can be found in Appendix B.

**Table 2.5-1 Meteorology Monitoring Network** 

Site	Temp	Relative Humidity	Wind	Total Horizontal Solar Radiation	Ultraviolet Solar Radiation	Atmospheric Pressure	Precipitation	Mixing Layer Height	Report to AQS
Alamo Lake	Х	Х	Х						No
Ajo	Х	Х	Х						No
Douglas Red Cross	Х	Х	Х						No
Globe Highway	Х	Х	Х						No
Hayden Old Jail	Х	Х	Х						No
JLG Supersite	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Yes
Miami Golf Course	Х	Х	Х						No
Nogales Mexico ITN	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х		No
Nogales Post Office	Х	Х	Х						No
Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	х	х	Х						No
Payson Well Site	Х	Х	Х						No
Queen Valley	Х	Х	Х						No
Rillito	Х	Х	Х						No
San Luis Rio Colorado	х	х	Х						No
Yuma Supersite	Х	Х	Х						No

## 2.6 Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS)

Section 182(c)(1) of the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments requires the Administrator to promulgate rules for enhanced monitoring of  $O_3$  that includes concurrent monitoring of  $O_3$ , oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), total reactive nitrogen (NO<sub>y</sub>), speciated volatile organic compounds (VOC), carbonyls, and meteorology to obtain comprehensive and representative  $O_3$  data. The principal reasons for requiring the collection of additional ambient air pollutants and meteorological data are the widespread nonattainment of the  $O_3$  NAAQS and the need for a more comprehensive air quality database for  $O_3$  and its precursors.

EPA issued a final rule for a reengineering of the PAMS program in October 2015 as part of the 2015 O<sub>3</sub> NAAQS Revision. ADEQ will continue to operate a PAMS program under this new rule at JLG Supersite, which is collocated with the JLG Supersite NCore site as required. Additional monitoring for O<sub>3</sub> precursors may be addressed in an enhanced monitoring plan for the Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA.

#### 2.6.1 PAMS Monitoring Network Requirements

On October 26, 2015 EPA promulgated a new  $O_3$  standard along with final changes to the PAMS program. Starting on June 1, 2021, PAMS measurements will be required at all NCore sites in CBSAs with a population of 1,000,000 people or more, irrespective of  $O_3$  attainment status. Required monitoring at this site includes hourly VOC (volatile organic compounds) measurements, three 8-hour carbonyl samples, a direct  $NO_2$  measurement, hourly mixing height, atmospheric pressure, precipitation, solar radiation, UV radiation, wind speed, wind direction, temperature, and relative humidity. Additionally, the EPA is requiring enhanced monitoring plans (EMP) in areas classified as Moderate or above  $O_3$  nonattainment. ADEQ will continue to monitor under the PAMS program at JLG Supersite, which is the NCore site in the Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA. Queen Valley was a legacy PAMS type 3 site that is not required under the 2015 PAMS requirements, therefore, the PAMS specific instruments were shut down after the 2016 PAMS season.

**Table 2.6-1 Current JLG Supersite PAMS Instrumentation** 

Parameter	Frequency and Duration	
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	Hourly average of speciated VOCs	
Carbonyl	• 1 in 3, three - 8 hr. samples of carbonyl samples	
	3 sequential 8-hour samples on a 1-in-3 days schedule	
O <sub>3</sub>	Hourly average	
NO	Hourly average	
NO <sub>2</sub>	Hourly average	
NO <sub>y</sub>	Hourly average	
Ambient Temperature	Hourly average	
Wind speed/direction	Hourly average	
Atmospheric Pressure	Hourly average	
Relative Humidity	Hourly average	
Precipitation	Hourly	
Mixing Layer Height	Hourly average	
Solar Radiation	Hourly average	
Ultraviolet Radiation	Hourly average	

## 2.7 National Air Toxics Trend Sites (NATTS)

The NATTS network was designed to monitor and record the concentrations of EPA-identified air toxics on a national scale. Data from EPA's national monitoring activities are used to estimate national average concentrations for these air toxics compounds and to detect trends. Using this information, EPA, states, and local agencies can estimate changes to human exposure from air toxics. Detection of increased human toxicity risk can then be used to support changes in environmental policy. As part of the National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) process, ambient air quality data are used to assess the national toxics inventory and long-term hazardous air pollutant (HAP) trends. ADEQ's JLG Supersite is the designated NATTS site for the Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA, with an additional site at South Phoenix designated as an Urban Air Toxics Monitoring Program (UATMP) site, whose purpose is to characterize the composition and magnitude of air toxics pollution.

## 2.7.1 NATTS Monitoring Network Requirements

The primary purpose of the NATTS Monitoring Network is to track trends to facilitate measuring progress towards emission and risk reduction goals. EPA designated JLG Supersite to be part of the 27-site national network of air toxics monitoring stations. There are currently 187 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), or air toxics, regulated under the CAA that have been associated with a wide variety of adverse health effects. The NATTS and UATMP programs were developed by EPA to fulfill the need for long-term HAP monitoring data of consistent quality. The required NATTS and UATMP parameters are listed in Table 2.7-1.

Site	Required Measurement	Frequency/Duration	Status
JLG Supersite	Carbonyl	1-in-6	ATEC 8000 multi-port cartridge
			sampler
JLG Supersite	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	1-in-6	ATEC 2200 canister sampler
JLG Supersite	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	1-in-6	Tisch Polyurethane Foam (PUF)
	(PAH) or Semi-Volatile Organic		sampler
	Compounds (SVOC)		
JLG Supersite	Metals Speciation	1-in-6	Thermo 2000i PM sampler, local
			conditions
South Phoenix	VOC	1-in-12	ATEC 2200 canister sampler

**Table 2.7-1 NATTS and UATMP Requirements** 

# 2.8 Chemical Speciation Network (CSN)

The purpose of the CSN is to identify, over a period of several years, trends in concentration levels of selected ions, metals, carbon species, and organic compounds in the  $PM_{2.5}$  samples collected at select sites throughout the country. The CSN was established to meet the regulatory requirements for monitoring speciated  $PM_{2.5}$  to determine the chemical composition of these particulates.  $PM_{2.5}$  speciation monitoring at JLG Supersite includes two CSN  $PM_{2.5}$  speciation samplers

#### 2.8.1 CSN Monitoring Network Requirements

Each state shall conduct chemical speciation monitoring at sites designated to be part of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> Speciation Trends Network (STN). The selection and modification of these STN sites must be approved by the

Administrator. Samples must be collected using approved monitoring methods and the EPA sampling schedules. ADEQ operates a CSN station at JLG Supersite. The required CSN parameters and frequencies are listed in Table 2.8-1.

**Table 2.8-1 CSN Requirements** 

Required Measurement	Frequency/Duration	Status
PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation, Teflon and Nylon	1-in-3	MetOne SuperSASS
Filters for Metals and Ions		
PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation, Quartz Filter for	1-in-3	URG 3000N
Carbon		

## 2.9 Class 1 Area Network and IMPROVE Program

The rural visibility monitoring network tracks visual impairment in specified national parks and wilderness areas. These parks and wilderness areas are called federally mandatory Class 1 areas and were designated based on an evaluation required by Congress in the 1977 Federal CAA Amendments. The evaluation, performed by the United States Forest Service (USFS) and National Park Service (NPS), included review of selected parks and national forests, which were designated as wilderness before 1977, were larger than 6,000 acres, and to which visibility was an important resource for the visitor experience. Of the 156 Class 1 areas designated across the nation, 12 are located in Arizona. Nine Class 1 areas are located in USFS land and three in NPS land. EPA initiated the nationally-operated IMPROVE monitoring network in 1987, whose purpose is to characterize broad regional trends and visibility conditions using monitoring data collected in or near Class 1 wilderness areas across the United States. Ten Class 1 IMPROVE sites were originally placed in and around these Class 1 areas. Additionally, ADEQ has added four other IMPROVE sites identified as Protocol sites. Refer to the map in Appendix B for additional details regarding ADEQ's Class 1 Visibility and IMPROVE networks. Additional resources can be found at <a href="https://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/">https://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/</a>.

#### 2.9.1 Class 1 Visibility Network

Visibility monitoring networks track impairment in specified national parks and wilderness areas called Class 1 areas. For the Class 1 area designations, EPA initiated a nationally operated monitoring network in 1987 called the Interagency Monitoring of PROtected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) program. The purpose of this network is to characterize broad regional trends in visibility conditions using monitoring data collected in or near Class 1 areas across the United States ADEQ, Pima County, and federal land managers at Arizona's Class 1 areas cooperatively operate the visibility monitoring network in Arizona. The current network is listed in Table 2.9-1. Additionally, ADEQ operates protocol IMPROVE monitors at the Nogales Post Office site, two collocated IMPROVE monitors at the JLG Supersite, one at the west side of the Saguaro National Park, and one at the Organ Pipe National Monument. The Douglas Red Cross protocol site was relocated to the Nogales Post Office site in October, 2015. The Queen Valley protocol site was shut down starting January 1, 2016 after an EPA network assessment determined it was not necessary. The Meadview protocol site was shut in February, 2021. The JLG Supersite serves as an urban IMPROVE monitor and has been used to provide comparative analysis with data from the CSN network. Refer to the IMPROVE map in Appendix B for the IMPROVE monitoring network and Class 1 areas within the state of Arizona.

**Table 2.9-1 Arizona Class 1 Visibility Monitoring Network** 

Geographic Area Represented	Monitoring Location	
Background	Organ Pipe National Monument	
Chiricahua National Monument, Chiricahua	Chiricahua Entrance Station	
Wilderness Area and Galiuro USFS Wilderness	Chilicanda Entrance Station	
Grand Canyon National Park	Hance Camp	
Mazatzal and Pine Mountain USFS Wilderness	Ike's Backbone	
Mount Baldy	Greer Water Treatment Plant	
Petrified Forest National Park	Petrified Forest	
Saguaro National Park	East District and West District	
Superstition USFS Wilderness	Tonto National Monument	
Sycamore Canyon USFS Wilderness	Sycamore Canyon (Garland Prairie)	
Protocol Sites	JLG Supersite, Nogales Post Office, Organ Pipe	
	National Monument, Saguaro West	

#### 2.10 Urban Haze Network

The purpose of the Urban Haze Network is to provide State and Local policy-makers and the public with information regarding urban haze levels, track short-term and long-term trends, assess source contributions, and better evaluate the effectiveness of air pollution control strategies. ADEQ utilizes, particulate monitors, and/or digital camera systems to evaluate urban visibility. Currently, the Phoenix metropolitan area, Nogales, and Yuma urban visibility are monitored using high resolution digital cameras.

## 2.10.1 Urban Haze Monitoring Network

The current urban haze sites (and their status) are described in Table 2.10-1. ADEQ continues to evaluate the Urban Haze program. The high-resolution images from these cameras can be viewed online at <a href="http://www.phoenixvis.net">http://www.phoenixvis.net</a>.

**Table 2.10-1 Urban Haze Monitoring Network** 

Site Name	Parameter(s) Measured
ADEQ Building	High Resolution Digital Camera
Banner Mesa Medical Center	High Resolution Digital Camera
Estrella Mountain Community College	High Resolution Digital Camera
JLG Supersite	IMPROVE
Nogales World Radio Network Inc.	High Resolution Digital Camera
North Mountain Summit	2 High Resolution Digital Cameras
Yuma Mountain Camera —Telegraph Peak	2 High Resolution Digital Cameras

## 2.11 E-BAM Network of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Special Purpose Monitors

Environment-proof beta attenuation monitors (E-BAM) are special purpose monitors (SPM) which provide continuous, real-time particulate PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration data that are useful for making informed smoke management decisions related to prescribed burns and wildfire monitoring. The current network is listed in Table 2.11-1. They are not classified as FRMs or FEMs and may not be used to demonstrate NAAQS compliance. ADEQ uses these monitors primarily in populated areas that could be impacted by smoke from prescribed burns and wildfires. Hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> data from the E-BAM monitors can be viewed at: http://www.phoenixvis.net/PPMmain.aspx.

Site NameAddressFlagstaff Middle School755 N. Bonito, Flagstaff, AZ 86001Payson Well Site204 W. Aero Dr., Payson, AZ 85541Prescott Pioneer Park1200 Commerce Dr, Prescott, AZ 86035Sedona Fire Station AQD310 Forest Road, Sedona, AZ, 86336Show Low200 W. McNeil, Show Low, AZ 85901Verde Ranger Station300 E. Highway 260, Camp Verde, AZ 86322

**Table 2.11-1 Current Locations of E-BAM Monitors** 

## 2.12 Arizona / Mexico Border Network

ADEQ works with the EPA Border Program as part of the U.S.—Mexico Border Air Monitoring Working Group. This working group's primary priority is reviewing the air quality monitoring data and air monitoring networks in rural and urban areas along the border, and evaluating the adequacy of these networks. The secondary priority of this group is to identify operational and maintenance needs, plan for future capabilities, and develop recommendations to resolve any inadequacies. Through this effort, relationships between EPA, ADEQ, Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT), and Commission for Ecology and Sustainable Development (CEDES) are expected to develop, such that data are shared across the border and capacity is built to meet the needs of the air monitoring program objectives. Starting in 2017, ADEQ placed a Teledyne API 400 O<sub>3</sub> monitor in San Luis Rio Colorado, Mexico for the purpose of studying regional O<sub>3</sub>. On May 1<sup>st</sup> 2021, a special purpose Teledyne T400 O<sub>3</sub> monitor was deployed to the Nogales ITN site in Nogales, Mexico for population exposure research purposes. Furthermore, as of January 1<sup>st</sup> 2022, a special purpose Teledyne T640X instrument for monitoring PM2.5 and PM10 population exposure has been added to the site. The Nogales ITN site also measures temperature, wind, precipitation, and ambient pressure on a neighborhood spatial scale.

## 2.13 AirNow Reporting

ADEQ reports near real-time data from its continuous air quality monitors to the AirNow system. The AirNow system is a set of near real-time public maps which report an Air Quality Index (AQI) for the six major air pollutants regulated by the CAA. These pollutants are: ground-level O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub>. The purpose of the AQI is to help understand how air quality affects human health. To make it easier to understand, the AQI is divided into six color-coded categories: Good, Moderate, Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups, Unhealthy, Very Unhealthy, and Hazardous in Figure 3. The AQI format is used by local weather forecasters, medical facilities, schools, and the general public to make health-related activity decisions based on the reported local AQI.



Figure 3 Chart of AQI Levels

## **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

ADEQ sustains a quality system as required by EPA to ensure high quality data are produced that meet the users' needs. The EPA primarily specifies the quality assurance (QA) requirements for operating SLAMS, SPM, CSN, NCore, NATTS, PAMS, and prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) air monitors in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A, the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems: Volume II: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program, technical assistance documents (TADs), and other supporting guidance documents. In response, ADEQ develops quality assurance project and program plans (QAPP) for air monitoring networks, which provide detailed information regarding the specifics of each air monitoring network and how data will be managed. Components of ADEQ's quality system include, but are not limited to:

- ADEQ being established as the primary quality assurance organization (PQAO) for the criteria and noncriteria pollutant air monitoring data collected and reported to EPA's air quality system (AQS).
- An agency-level Quality Management Plan (QMP), which is an "umbrella" document that details, in broad terms, the strategies used to carry out QA/QC in environmental data collection activities.
- Division-level quality assurance project and program plans (QAPPs) for each major, ongoing air monitoring network. Each QAPP describes:
  - o purpose for operating the monitoring station or network;
  - data quality objectives (DQOs) and measurement quality objectives (MQOs) along with data quality indicators (DQIs) that specify the amount of tolerable error in the data using statistical metrics;
  - variety of regularly occurring quality control (QC) checks along with pass/fail criteria;
  - o types of QA assessments and reports needed from the network;
  - data validation processes and data reporting requirements.
- Unit-level standard operating procedures (SOPs) that document procedures to assure that work products
  are reliable, reproducible, and consistent in quality. SOPs also serve to clearly communicate any process
  customizations in-use, providing a means of attesting that work products are credible, legally defensible,
  and meet or exceed our customers' and/or stakeholders' needs or requirements.
- A comprehensive quality control (QC) system
  - One point QC checks on all gas analyzers every two weeks submitted to AQS;
  - One point flow rate QC checks on all PM monitors every 30 days submitted to AQS.
- A comprehensive audit and data assessment program.
  - Performance Evaluations on a quarterly, semi-annual, or annual basis submitted to AQS;
  - Technical system audits (TSA) performed every three years by EPA Region 9;
  - Quality Management System Internal TSAs or Audits of Data Quality;
  - Data quality assessments;
  - Countermeasure processes.

ADEQ uses a multi-tiered approach to data validation to ensure consistent quality. It requires all data to move through different levels of QA by separate reviewers. ADEQ has five different stages at which data may be categorized.

- Raw Original unchanged data recorded by the sampler or produced by laboratory analysis.
- QA Level 1 Data are reviewed programmatically using software written to flag data. The data are flagged valid or invalid based on instrumentation parameters.

- QA Level 2 Data are reviewed manually on a daily to weekly basis by an initial data reviewer to flag any discrepancies found. This gives the data a preliminary verification decision and identifies outliers, anomalous data and instrumentation/laboratory problems.
- QA Level 3 Data are reviewed manually on a monthly to quarterly basis by the final data reviewer by looking at the data spatially and temporally. QC measures are incorporated, environmental events are identified, and a final determination on the validity of data is made.
- Certified Data are uploaded to AQS and are certified annually by ADEQ.

## 3.1 EPA QA Reports and Network Performance

Periodically, EPA publishes reports for some of the criteria pollutant networks, and potentially non-criteria pollutant networks, that rate and/or rank monitoring organizations' performance over a three-year period. ADEQ's air monitoring and assessment value stream personnel review these reports to gauge how well our networks are performing with those across the nation. If needed, corrective actions are taken to ensure data of the highest quality possible are collected.

## 3.2 EPA Data Reports

The 2022 Data Certification was submitted on May 1, 2023. Precision and Accuracy reports were submitted to the EPA as the AMP600 report during annual data certification. The data certification sections of AQS were also updated reflecting ADEQ's recommendations for certifying the data.

# Appendix A – Definitions and Abbreviations

AADT Annual Average Daily Traffic

ADEQ Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

AQI Air Quality Index

ARM Approved Regional Methods

ASARCO American Smelting and Refining Company, LLC

ATEC Atmospheric Technologies, Inc.

AQS Air Quality System (EPA database)

BAM Beta Attenuation Monitor

Bext Total Light Extinction

Bscat Light Scattering

CAA Clean Air Act

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area

CEDES Commission for Ecology and Sustainable Development

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CO Carbon Monoxide

COTL Carbon Monoxide Trace Level

CSN Chemical Speciation Network

DQO Data Quality Objective

E-BAM Environment Proof - Beta Attenuation Monitor

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERG Eastern Research Group, Inc.

FEM Federal Equivalent Method

FMMI Freeport McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc.

FRM Federal Reference Method

HAP Hazardous Air Pollutant

ICP-MS Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry

IMPROVE Interagency Monitoring of PROtected Visual Environments

MCAQD Maricopa County Air Quality Department

MET Meteorological Measurements (wind, temperature, relative humidity, etc.)

MQO Measurement Quality Objective

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area

μg/m<sup>3</sup> Micrograms per Cubic Meter

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standard

NATA National Air Toxics Assessment

NATTS National Air Toxics Trends Station

NCore National Core multipollutant monitoring stations

NEI National Emissions Inventory

NM National Monument

NO<sub>2</sub> Nitrogen Dioxide

NOx Nitrogen Oxides

NOy Reactive Nitrogen Oxides

NPAP National Performance Audit Program

NPEP National Performance Evaluation Program

NPS National Park Service

NWS National Weather Service

O<sub>3</sub> Ozone

PAHs Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PAMS Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station

Pb Lead

PE Performance Evaluation

PEP Performance Evaluation Program

PM Particulate Matter

PM<sub>10</sub> Particulate Matter  $\leq$  10 microns

PM<sub>coarse</sub> Coarse Particulate Matter between 2.5 to 10 micrometers aerodynamic diameter, may also be

denoted as PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>

PM<sub>2.5</sub> Particulate Matter ≤ 2.5 microns

POC Parameter Occurrence Code

ppb Parts Per Billion

ppm Parts Per Million

PQAO Primary Quality Assurance Organization

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

PUF Polyurethane Foam Sampler

PWEI Populated Weighted Emissions Index

QA Quality Assurance

QAPP Quality Assurance Program Plan

QC Quality Control

QMP Quality Management Plan

RH Relative Humidity

SEMARNAT Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources

SIP State Implementation Plan

SLAMS State and Local Air Monitoring Stations

SO<sub>2</sub> Sulfur Dioxide

SOP Standard Operating Procedure

SPM Special Purpose Monitor

SR State Route

STN Speciation Trends Network

SVOC Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

TAD Technical Assistance Document

TEOM Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance

TSA Technical System Audit

TSP Total Suspended Particulates

UATMP Urban Air Toxics Monitoring Program


USFS United States Forest Service


VOC Volatile Organic Compound


## **Appendix B – Network Maps**


There are twelve maps in this section illustrating the location of ADEQ monitors:


- CO Network
- NO<sub>2</sub> Network
- O<sub>3</sub> Network
- SO<sub>2</sub> Network
- Pb Network
- PM<sub>10</sub> Network
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> Network
- Meteorological Network
- Urban Visibility Network
- IMPROVE Network & Class I Wilderness areas
- E-BAM Network
- Air Toxics and Chemical Speciation Networks
































## **Appendix C – Current Monitors by Program or Network**

This appendix contains detailed information about monitors operated by ADEQ, or monitors that ADEQ has a strong association with (e.g. IMPROVE monitors). Only those monitors that were at some point in operation during January 1, 2021—July 1, 2022 are included in this appendix. Monitors that are proposed to be installed or those that were discontinued prior to the creation of this network plan are not included in this appendix. Since individual pollutants or networks have specific monitoring or siting criteria, this appendix was created so that siting criteria can be easily identified and evaluated throughout a program or network. See Appendix D for detailed information on specific monitoring sites.

NON-REGULATORY NETWORKS	
Meteorology	
Temp/RH	Appendix C Page 4
Wind	Appendix C Page 6
Special Purpose Monitors (SPM)	Appendix C Page 8
NAAQS-RELATED NETWORKS	
State & Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS)	
CO	Appendix C Page 10
NO <sub>2</sub>	Appendix C Page 11
O <sub>3</sub>	Appendix C Page 12
SO <sub>2</sub>	Appendix C Page 13
Pb	Appendix C Page 14
PM <sub>10</sub>	Appendix C Page 15
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Appendix C Page 17
Chemical Speciation Network (CSN)	Appendix C Page 18
National Core Multi-Pollutant Monitoring Stations (NCore)	Appendix C Page 19
Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS)	Appendix C Page 21
Air Toxics (NATTS/UATMP)	Appendix C Page 23
Urban Haze	Appendix C Page 24
ADEQ Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROV	E) ProtocolAppendix C Page 25

## Definitions for Appendix C – Current Monitors by Program or Network

Metadata Type	Description
Local site name	Official name for the site as written in ADEQ's AirVision Database
Pollutant (POC)	The pollutant(s) or parameter(s) being collected or measured at the site and the POC is the Primary Occurrence Code for the instrument
Parameter code	The AQS code representing a specific pollutant being measured or monitored
Basic monitoring objective	Purpose of monitoring for the parameter at the site (Public Information, NAAQS Comparison, or Research)
Site type(s)	A brief description of the intended purpose of the monitor's measurements (Extreme Downwind, Highest Concentration, Max Ozone Concentration, Max Precursor Impact, Population Exposure, Source Oriented, Upwind Background, General / Background, Regional Transport, Welfare-Related Impacts, Quality Assurance, or Other)
Monitor type(s)	The associated monitoring type for the monitor (SLAMS, SPM, Industrial, Non-EPA Federal, Tribal, EPA, Other)
Network affiliation(s)	The associated network affiliations for the monitor (Border Grant, CASTNET, CSN STN, CSN Supplemental, IMPROVE, NATTS, NCore, Near Road, PAMS, Proposed NCore, PSD, School Air Toxics, Unofficial PAMS, Voluntary School Air Toxics)
Collocation designation	For all PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> , Pb, and NO <sub>2</sub> monitors, the associated collocation designation (Primary, QA Collocated, or Other)
Instrument manufacturer and model	The specific make and model of the monitor or instrument used in the network
Method code	The AQS code representing the particular method for collecting samples of the specified instrument
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	Denotes if the instrument is a Federal Reference Method, Federal Equivalency Method, Approved Regional Method (for continuous PM <sub>2.5</sub> only), or other according to the Federal Registry
Collecting agency	Name of agency collecting data
Analytical Lab (weight, toxics, other)	Name of laboratory performing sample analysis
Reporting Agency	Name of agency reporting the data
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Area represented by an air quality monitor (microscale: $0-100$ m, middle scale: $0.1-0.5$ km, neighborhood: $0.5-4$ km, urban: $4-50$ km, regional: $\sim 50-500$ km, or national/global)
Monitoring start date by pollutant (MM/DD/YYYY)	Date that the monitor was started at the site by pollutant. Does not indicate when the specific POC was started
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Frequency the instrument collects samples or measurements (e.g. hourly, daily, 1:3, 1:6, etc.)
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)	Theoretical frequency for particular matter instrument based on Ratio to Standard Figure in 40 CFR Part 58.12 (e.g. hourly, daily, 1:3, 1:6)
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	Period that the instrument collects samples or measurements throughout a given year (expressed as a range of months)
Probe height (meters)	Distance the probe is from the ground in meters ( $O_3$ and $SO_2$ probes must be between 2 and 15 meters; others pollutants must be between 2 and 7 meters; meteorology typically 2 or 10 meters)
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	For rooftop probe(s) only. The separation distance is in reference to walls, parapets, or penthouses located on roof
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)	Distance the instrument inlet is from the closest obstruction on the roof in meters (probes and inlets must be at least 1 meter from obstructions)

Distance from obstructions	Distance the instrument inlet is from the closest obstruction not on the roof in meters
not on roof (meters)	(probes and inlets must be at least 1 meter from obstructions)
Distance from trees (meters)	Distance the instrument inlet is from the nearest tree in meters (must be a minimum of 10 meters from drip line)
Obstruction Height above Probe (meters)	Height the obstruction is above the inlet (distance from the obstruction to the inlet must be at least 2x the height that the obstacle protrudes above the inlet). Trees can be considered obstructions depending on density of foliage, therefore the same obstruction requirements apply to trees
Tree Height above Probe (meters)	Height the tree is above the inlet. Trees that are within 10 meters of inlet may not cause issue if the tree height is at or below the inlet height. Furthermore, as trees grow they may become obstructions, therefore it is important to capture the height of trees
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)	Distance the instrument inlet is from the nearest furnace or incinerator flue in meters (for Pb and SO <sub>2</sub> ; designed to avoid undue influences from minor sources)
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)	Distance between the centers of collocated instruments in meters (must be between 1 and 4 meters)
Distance to closest monitor	Distance to closest monitor for all PM and Hi-vol instruments
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	Angular measure (in degrees) of the area around an instrument that is free from obstructions (minimum of 180°)
Restricted airflow (degrees)	Direction the airflow is restricted in degrees (i.e. $90^{\circ}$ = E) (must not be in the direction of the prevailing winds)
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)	Direction the wind predominately comes from in degrees during the season of greatest pollutant concentration. Used to determine if restricted airflow is in the direction of the prevailing wind
Probe material for reactive gases	Type of probe material ( $SO_2$ , $NO_2$ , $O_3$ must have FEP Teflon or borosilicate glass; PAMS and VOCs must be borosilicate glass or stainless steel)
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	Number of seconds it takes a sample of air to travel from the inlet to the instrument (reactive gases must be less than 20 seconds)
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	Are there any planned changes to the monitor in the next 18 months? (Y or N)
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	Are the data being compared against the annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS? (Y or N)
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers	Frequency at which flow rate verifications occur for manual particulate matter and lead instruments (daily, weekly, bi-weekly, monthly)
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Frequency at which flow rate verifications occur for automated particulate matter instrument (daily, weekly, bi-weekly, monthly)
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Frequency at which zero/span/precision checks occur for gaseous instruments (daily, weekly, bi-weekly, monthly)
Last Annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	Date the last Performance Evaluation audit was performed on the gaseous instrument. (SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO, etc.) (MM/DD/YYYY)
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	Dates of the last two audits on the particulate matter and lead instruments flow rate (MM/DD/YYYY, MM/DD/YYYY)
SPM Meets requirements in Appendices A & E	For SPM monitors only. States whether requirements in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendices A $\&$ E are being met.

	Meteorology - Temp/RH								
		1	<u> </u>						
Local site name	Ajo	Alamo Lake	Douglas Red Cross	Globe Highway	Hayden Old Jail	JLG Supersite	Miami Golf Course		
Pollutant (POC)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)		
Parameter code	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201		
Basic monitoring objective									
				Highest Concentration,					
	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Source Oriented		
Site type(s)						61.44.46			
Monitor type						SLAMS			
Network affiliation(s)						NCore, PAMS			
Collocation designation	 Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155	 Vaisala HMP 155		 Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155		
Instrument manufacturer and model	Probe	Probe	Probe	Vaisala HMP 155 Probe	Probe	Probe	Probe		
Method code	040	040	040	040	040	040	040		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other									
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood		
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	02/11/2014	07/09/2015	08/16/2012	04/15/2011	02/02/2011	07/01/1993	06/08/2011		
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)			==						
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	2.3	2.2	2.8	2	2.1	2.4	2		
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.2	1.0	1.0		0.5	1			
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)									
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)									
Distance from trees (meters)	14		11.5	3.6	12	20	6		
Obstruction height above probe (meters)									
Tree height above probe (meters)									
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)									
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters) Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	270	360	330		
,	360				250-340	360	310-350		
Restricted airflow (degrees) Prevailing wind direction (degrees)					250-340				
Probe material for reactive gases									
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)									
	N	 N	N	 N	 N	 N	N.		
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)						N			
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)									
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers									
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments									
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters					==				
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb									

	Non	-Regulatory - Mete	eorology - Temp/RF	l continued			
Local site name	Nogales Post Office	Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	Payson Well Site	Queen Valley	Rillito	San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	Yuma Supersite
Pollutant (POC)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Temp/RH (1)
Parameter code	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201	62101, 62201
Basic monitoring objective		02101, 02201					
Basic Monitoring objective							
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Downwind	Source Oriented	Source Oriented	Population Exposure
Monitor type							
Network affiliation(s)					1		
Collocation designation							
	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155 Probe	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155	Vaisala HMP 155
Instrument manufacturer and model	Probe	Probe	Probe		Probe	Probe	Probe
Method code	040	040	040	040	040	040	040
FRM/FEM/ARM/other							
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)							
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood	Regional	Middle	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	08/11/2011	12/01/2011	05/30/1991	06/23/2003	03/30/2010	05/10/2017	03/17/2010
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)							
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)	5.2	2.4	2	2.6	2.4	3.7	2
Distance from supporting structure (meters)		1.0		0.50			1
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)			5				1
Distance from trees (meters)	5		1	1.2	19	8.5	
Obstruction height above probe (meters)							
Tree height above probe (meters)							
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)							
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)							
Distance to closest monitor (meters)							
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	250	180	290	360	270
Restricted airflow (degrees)				90-270	35-105		0-90
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)							
Probe material for reactive gases							
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)							
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)							
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers							
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers							
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments							
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters							
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb							

	Meteorology - Wind								
	Ajo	Alamo Lake	Douglas Red Cross	Globe Highway	Hayden Old Jail	JLG Supersite	Miami Golf Course		
Local site name			ŭ	Ů,	•	· ·			
Pollutant (POC)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)		
Parameter code	61103, 61104	61103, 61103	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104		
Basic monitoring objective									
	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Highest Concentration, Source	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Source Oriented		
Site type(s)	.,	.,	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Oriented					
Monitor type						SLAMS			
Network affiliation(s)						NCore, PAMS			
Collocation designation									
	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305		
Instrument manufacturer and model	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer		
Method code	065	065	065	065	065	065	065		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other					-				
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood		
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	07/01/1969	07/09/2015	08/06/2012	04/15/2011	02/02/2011	07/01/1993	06/08/2011		
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)									
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	10	11	10	10	10	10.5	10.5		
Distance from supporting structure (meters)									
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)									
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)									
Distance from trees (meters)	14		11.5			20			
Obstruction height above probe (meters)									
Tree height above probe (meters)									
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)									
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)									
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	360		
Restricted airflow (degrees)					_				
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)									
Probe material for reactive gases									
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)									
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)									
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers									
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments									
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters		-							
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb					-				

	Non-Regulatory - Meteorology - Wind continued								
Local site name	Nogales Post Office	Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	Payson Well Site	Queen Valley	Rillito	San Luis Rio Colorado	Yuma Supersite		
Pollutant (POC)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)	Wind (1)		
Parameter code	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104	61103, 61104		
Basic monitoring objective									
basic monitoring objective									
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Downwind	Source Oriented	Source Oriented	Population Exposure		
Monitor type									
Network affiliation(s)									
Collocation designation									
	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305	RM Young 5305		
Instrument manufacturer and model	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer	Anemometer		
Method code	065	065	065	065	065	065	065		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other									
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood	Regional	Middle	Middle	Neighborhood		
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/1980	12/01/2011	05/30/1991	06/23/2003	01/08/2004	05/10/2017	03/17/2010		
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)									
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	8.5	10	10	9	10.4	10.4	10		
Distance from supporting structure (meters)				6.5			6.3		
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)									
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)									
Distance from trees (meters)			2		20	8.5			
Obstruction height above probe (meters)									
Tree height above probe (meters)									
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)									
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)									
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	270	360	360	360	360		
Restricted airflow (degrees)									
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)									
Probe material for reactive gases					-				
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)					-				
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)				-					
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers									
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments									
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters									
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb									

SPM								
Local site name	Flagstaff Middle School	Payson Well Site	Prescott Pioneer Park	Sedona Fire Station AQD	Show Low	Verde Ranger Station	Hillcrest	
Pollutant (POC)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (1)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (1)	PM2.5 (1)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (1)	PM2.5 (1)	PM2.5 (1)	Continuous Pb (1)	
Parameter code								
Basic monitoring objective	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	
Monitor type	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	
Network affiliation(s)							-	
Collocation designation								
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One E-BAM	Met One E-BAM	Met One E-BAM	Met One E-BAM	Met One E-BAM	Met One E-BAM	Cooper Environmental XACT 625i	
Method code								
FRM/FEM/ARM/other								
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)								
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Micro	
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	09/09/1999	05/16/2012	01/01/2017	12/16/2011	05/25/2011	12/29/2009	11/07/2018	
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)							-	
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	2.4	2		3.6	2.3	3	2.77	
Distance from supporting structure (meters)							1.2	
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)	5							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)		6			4.6	6		
Distance from trees (meters)	9	4			6.4			
Obstruction height above probe (meters)							-	
Tree height above probe (meters)								
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)							526	
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)								
Distance to closest monitor (meters)							3.4	
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	270	90	360	300	300	300	360	
Restricted airflow (degrees)								
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)								
Probe material for reactive gases								
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)								
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb							-	
samplers						-		
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly		
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments							-	
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters								
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb								
SPM Meets requirements in Appendices A & E	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	

SPM continued									
Local site name	San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	Nogales Mexico ITN	Nogales Mexico ITN	Nogales Mexico ITN	Nogales Mexico ITN	Nogales Mexico ITN	Nogales Mexico ITN		
Pollutant (POC)	03 (1)	PM <sub>2.5/</sub> PM <sub>10</sub>	O3 (1)	Temp/RH (1)	Wind (1)	Precipitation	Barometric Pressure		
Parameter code	44201	88101, 88102	44201	62101, 62201	61103, 61104	65102	64101		
Basic monitoring objective	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research		
Site type(s)	Max O3 Concentration	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure		
Monitor type	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose	Special Purpose		
Network affiliation(s)									
Collocation designation									
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne T640X	Teledyne T400	R.M. Young 41382	R.M. Young 5305	R.M. Young 50202	R.M. Young 61302		
Method code	087	238,239	087	040	065	014	014		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM						
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood		
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	05/10/2017	05/01/2021	05/01/2021	05/01/2021	05/01/2021	05/01/2021	05/01/2021		
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)									
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	10	4.6	3.6	3.6	10	3.6	3.6		
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	6.1	2	1	1		1	1		
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)									
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)									
Distance from trees (meters)	5								
Obstruction height above probe (meters)									
Tree height above probe (meters)	Below Inlet by 3m								
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)									
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)		>1	>1	>1	>1	>1	>1		
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	360		
Restricted airflow (degrees)									
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)									
Probe material for reactive gases	Teflon		Teflon						
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	<20 seconds		<20						
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)		N	N	N	N	N	N		
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb									
samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers		Quarterly							
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly		Weekly						
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	10/11/2018								
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb									
SPM Meets requirements in Appendices A & E	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No		

NAAQS - SLAMS - CO					
Local site name	JLG Supersite				
Pollutant (POC)	CO (1)				
Parameter code	42101				
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison				
Site type(s)	Highest Concentration				
Monitor type	SLAMS				
Network affiliation(s)	NCore				
Collocation designation					
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne T300U				
Method code	693				
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM				
Collecting agency	ADEQ				
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)					
Reporting agency	ADEQ				
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood				
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/1999				
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous				
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31				
Probe height (meters)	4.1				
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.2				
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)					
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20				
Distance from trees (meters)	20				
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6				
Tree height above probe (meters)	6				
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)					
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)					
Distance to closest monitor (meters)					
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360				
Restricted airflow (degrees)					
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)					
Probe material for reactive gases	Glass, Teflon				
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	2.098				
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N				
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)					
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers					
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly				
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	11/28/2022				
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb					

NAAQS - SLAMS - NO <sub>2</sub>					
Local site name	JLG Supersite				
Pollutant (POC)	NO <sub>2</sub> (1)				
Parameter code	42602				
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison				
Site type(s)	Highest Concentration				
Monitor type	SLAMS				
Network affiliation(s)	NCore				
Collocation designation	Primary				
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne T500U				
Method code	212				
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM				
Collecting agency	ADEQ				
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)					
Reporting agency	ADEQ				
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood				
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/1999				
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous				
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31				
Probe height (meters)	4.1				
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.2				
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)					
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20				
Distance from trees (meters)	20				
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6				
Tree height above probe (meters)	6				
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)					
·					
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)					
Distance to closest monitor (meters)	360				
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360				
Restricted airflow (degrees)					
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)	Glass, Teflon				
Probe material for reactive gases	6.555				
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	6.555 N				
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N				
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	-				
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers					
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly				
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	11/30/2022				
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb					

	NAAQS - SLAMS - O <sub>3</sub>								
		Flagstaff Middle				Tonto National			
Local site name	Alamo Lake	School	JLG Supersite	Prescott Pioneer Park	Queen Valley	Monument	Yuma Supersite		
Pollutant (POC)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)	O <sub>3</sub> (1)		
Parameter code	44201	44201	44201	44201	44201	44201	44201		
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison		
Site type(s)	Regional Transport	Max O <sub>3</sub> Concentration	Max O₃ Concentration	Max O <sub>3</sub> Concentration	Extreme Downwind	Extreme Downwind	Max O <sub>3</sub> Concentratio		
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS		
Network affiliation(s)		-	NCore, PAMS		-		-		
Collocation designation									
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne API 400		
Method code	087	087	087	087	087	087	087		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM		
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ		
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Regional	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Regional	Regional	Neighborhood		
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	05/20/2005	04/01/2008	07/01/1993	01/01/2017	05/23/2001	05/23/2002	05/06/2008		
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous		
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)							-		
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31		
Probe height (meters)	4.1	9.5	4.1	3.6	4.5	4.1	4.3		
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.5	2.0	1.4	1.6		
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)		0							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)			20				65		
Distance from trees (meters)		21.5	20	11.1					
Obstruction height above probe (meters)			6				0		
Tree height above probe (meters)		3	6	3.0					
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)									
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)									
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	360		
Restricted airflow (degrees)									
,									
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)	Teflon	Teflon	Glass, Teflon	Teflon	Teflon	Teflon	Teflon		
Probe material for reactive gases	3.369	7.689	8.276	3.235	3.645	5.94	4.11		
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	3.369 N	7.689 N	8.276 N	3.235 N	3.645 N	5.94 N	4.11 N		
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)									
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N) Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb		-					-		
samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers					-				
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly		
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	03/01/2022	08/16/2022	11/28/2022, 05/04/2022, 03/16/2022	03/08/2022	04/15/2022	04/15/2022	12/15/2022		
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb									
Last two semi-dimudi now rate addits PIVI and PD						I			

NAAQS - SLAMS - SO <sub>2</sub>									
Local site name	Hayden Old Jail	JLG Supersite	Miami Jones Ranch	Miami Townsite					
Pollutant (POC)	SO <sub>2</sub> (1)	SO <sub>2</sub> (1)	SO <sub>2</sub> (1)	SO <sub>2</sub> (1)					
Parameter code	42401	42401	42401	42401					
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison					
Site type(s)	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Source Oriented					
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS					
Network affiliation(s)		NCore							
Collocation designation									
	Teledyne T100	Teledyne T100	Teledyne T100	Teledyne T100					
Instrument manufacturer and model	100	500	100	100					
Method code	100	600	100	100					
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM					
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)									
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood					
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/05/1979	03/04/2005	02/01/2013	02/01/2013					
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous					
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)									
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31					
Probe height (meters)	4.7	4.1	3.5	3.7					
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2.1	1.2	1	1					
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)									
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)		20		26.4					
Distance from trees (meters)	12	20		14					
Obstruction height above probe (meters)		6		10.9					
Tree height above probe (meters)	0	6		7					
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)	280		3081	2300					
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)									
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360					
Restricted airflow (degrees)									
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)									
Probe material for reactive gases	Teflon	Glass, Teflon	Teflon	Teflon					
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	4.591	5.425	5.472	3.144					
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N					
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)									
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers									
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers									
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly					
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	03/15/2022	05/20/2022, 11/28/2022	08/09/2022	11/03/2022					
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb									

NAAQS - SLAMS - Pb										
Local site name	Globe Highway	Hillcrest	Hillcrest	Miami Golf Course						
Pollutant (POC)	Pb (1)	Pb (1)	Pb (2)	Pb (1)						
Parameter code	14129	14129	14129	14129						
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison						
Basic Monitoring objective	Highest	Highest	Highest							
	-	_	Concentration, Source	Source Oriented						
Site type(s)	Oriented	Oriented	Oriented							
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS						
Network affiliation(s)										
Collocation designation	Primary	Primary	QA Collocated	Primary						
Instrument manufacturer and model	Tisch TE-8550-BL TSP	Tisch TE-8550-BL TSP	Tisch TE-8550-BL TSP	Tisch TE-8550-BL TSP						
Method code	191	191	191	191						
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM						
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ						
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)	PCRWRD	PCRWRD	PCRWRD	PCRWRD						
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ						
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood						
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/2011	01/01/2016	09/24/2019	01/01/2011						
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	1:6	1:6	1:6	1:6						
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)										
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31						
Probe height (meters)	2	3	3	3						
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1						
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)										
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	65									
Distance from trees (meters)	3			10						
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	23									
Tree height above probe (meters)	-1			0						
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)	1043	526	526	2635						
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)		2	2							
Distance to closest monitor (meters)		2	2	3.5						
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360						
Restricted airflow (degrees)										
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)										
Probe material for reactive gases										
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)										
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N						
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)										
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb										
samplers	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly						
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers										
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments										
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters										
	01/24/2022,	01/18/2022,	04/14/2022,							
	04/14/2022,	04/14/2022,	07/07/2022,	01/18/2022, 04/14/2022						
	07/07/2022,	07/07/2022, 10/03/2022	07/11/2022, 10/03/2022	07/07/2022, 07/11/2022						

NAAQS - SLAMS - PM <sub>10</sub>											
	Ajo	Alamo Lake	Bullhead City	Douglas Red Cross	Hayden Old Jail	JLG Supersite					
Local site name	•		· ·	-	· ·	·					
Pollutant (POC)	PM <sub>10</sub> (3)										
Parameter code	81102	81102	81102	81102	81102	81102					
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison										
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Background	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Population Exposure					
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS					
Network affiliation(s)						NCore					
Collocation designation	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary					
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One BAM 1020										
Method code	122	122	122	122	122	122					
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM					
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)											
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Regional	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood					
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	12/01/1986	01/01/2014	11/01/1997	09/02/1998	12/01/1986	07/01/1993					
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous					
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:6	1:6	1:2					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31					
Probe height (meters)	3.7	4.4	8	3.1	4.6	5.1					
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2.6	2	2	2.1	2	2.1					
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)			6.3								
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)						15					
Distance from trees (meters)	12			10	12	15					
Obstruction height above probe (meters)			0			5					
Tree height above probe (meters)	0			1	0	5					
Tree neight above probe (meters)											
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)	-										
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)											
Distance to closest monitor (meters)		1.0		1.0		1.0					
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360					
Restricted airflow (degrees)											
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)											
Probe material for reactive gases											
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)											
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N					
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)											
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers	-										
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments											
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	-										
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	12/15/2022, 06/13/2022	08/02/2022, 03/01/2022	12/20/2022, 06/17/2022	08/04/2022, 02/17/2022	09/13/2022, 03/15/2022	11/18/2022, 05/03/2022					

NAAQS - SLAMS - PM <sub>10</sub> continued											
	Miami Golf Course	Nogales Post Office	Paul Spur Chemical	Payson Well Site	Rillito	Yuma Supersite					
Local site name			Lime Plant								
Pollutant (POC)	PM <sub>10</sub> (3)										
Parameter code	81102	81102	81102	81102	81102	81102					
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison										
Site type(s)	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Population Exposure	Source Oriented	Population Exposure					
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS					
Network affiliation(s)											
Collocation designation	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary					
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One BAM 1020										
Method code	122	122	122	122	122	122					
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FRM	FEM	FEM					
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)											
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood	Middle	Neighborhood					
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	7/26/2012	12/01/1986	12/01/1986	05/31/1991	03/01/1986	02/08/2006					
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continous	Continuous	Continuous					
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)	1:6	1:1	1:2	1:6	1:6	1:6					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31					
Probe height (meters)	4	8	3.1	4.75	4.3	5					
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2	2.7	2	2.1	2	2.2					
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)	-	11		-							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)					3	65					
Distance from trees (meters)	10	10		17.4	20						
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	-	2		-	0	0					
Tree height above probe (meters)	0	0		1	0						
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)	-			-							
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)		3									
Distance to closest monitor (meters)		1.0				1.0					
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360					
Restricted airflow (degrees)											
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)											
Probe material for reactive gases											
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)											
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N					
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)											
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers											
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments											
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters											
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	09/13/2022, 03/18/2022	07/15/2022, 02/03/2022	08/04/2022, 02/17/2022	11/07/2022, 05/19/2022	12/22/2022, 06/13/2022	12/23/2022, 06/13/2022					

NAAQS - SLAMS - PM <sub>2.5</sub>											
						Vous Companyity					
Local site name	Alamo Lake	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	Nogales Post Office	Nogales Post Office	Yuma Supersite					
Pollutant (POC)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (3)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (3)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (1)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (3)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (1)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (3)					
Parameter code	88101	88101	88101	88101	88101	88101					
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison										
Site type(s)	Background	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Highest Concentration	Highest Concentration	Regional Transport					
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS					
Network affiliation(s)		NCore	NCore								
Collocation designation	Primary	Primary	QA Collocated	Primary	QA Collocated	Primary					
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One BAM 1020	Met One BAM 1020	Thermo Partisol 2000i	Met One BAM 1020	Thermo Partisol 2000i	Met One BAM 1020					
Method code	170	170	143	170	143	170					
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FRM	FEM	FRM	FEM					
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)			IML		IML						
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ					
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Regional	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood					
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/2014	01/01/2011	01/06/1999	04/01/2013	01/06/1999	01/01/2010					
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	1:3	Continuous	1:6	Continuous					
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)	1:3	1:3	1:3	1:3	1:3	1:3					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31					
Probe height (meters)	4.5	5.2	4.7	8.3	8.3	5.1					
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2.1	2.2	2	3	3	2.3					
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)				12	9						
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)		15	15			65					
Distance from trees (meters)		15	15	10	10						
Obstruction height above probe (meters)		5	6	1.7	1.7	0					
Tree height above probe (meters)		5	6	0	0						
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)											
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)		1.3	1.3	2.2	2.2						
Distance to closest monitor (meters)	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	3.0	1.0					
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360					
Restricted airflow (degrees)											
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)				180	180						
Probe material for reactive gases											
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)											
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N					
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ					
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers			Monthly		Monthly						
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Monthly	Monthly		Monthly		Monthly					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments											
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters											
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	08/02/2022, 03/01/2022	11/18/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022	07/15/2022, 02/03/2022	07/15/2022, 02/03/2022	12/15/2022, 06/13/2022					

NAAQS - CSN								
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite						
Pollutant (POC)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (7)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (7)						
Parameter code	Multiple	Multiple						
Basic monitoring objective	Research	Research						
	Population Exposure	Population Exposure						
Site type(s)	SLAMS	SLAMS						
Monitor type	SLAIVIS	SLAIVIS						
Network affiliation(s)	CSN STN, NCore	CSN STN, NCore						
Collocation designation								
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One SuperSASS	URG 3000N						
Method code	Various	Various						
FRM/FEM/ARM/other								
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ						
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)	RTI	RTI						
Reporting agency	RTI	RTI						
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood						
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	02/21/2000	02/21/2000						
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	1:3	1:3						
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)								
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31						
Probe height (meters)	4.7	4.9						
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2	2.2						
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)								
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20	20						
Distance from trees (meters)	20	20						
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6	5						
Tree height above probe (meters)	6	5						
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)								
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)								
Distance to closest monitor (meters)								
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360						
Restricted airflow (degrees)								
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)								
Probe material for reactive gases								
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)								
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N						
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)								
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers	Monthly	Monthly						
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers								
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	_							
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters								
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022						

NAAQS - NCore											
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite						
Pollutant (POC)	CO (1)	NOy (1)	03 (1)	SO2 (1)	PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> (1)						
Parameter code	42101	42600	44201	42401	86101						
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	Research						
Site type(s)	Highest Concentration	Population Exposure	Max O3 Concentration	Population Exposure	Population Exposure						
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS						
Network affiliation(s)	NCore	NCore, PAMS	NCore, PAMS	NCore	NCore						
Collocation designation					Primary						
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne T300U	Teledyne T200U/Noy	Teledyne API 400	Teledyne T100	Met One BAM 1020						
Method code	693	699	087	600	185						
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM	FEM						
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ						
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)											
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ						
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood						
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	1/1/1999	01/01/2011	7/1/1993	3/4/2005	11/10/2010						
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous						
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)											
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31						
Probe height (meters)	4.1	10	4.1	4.1	4.9						
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.2	7	1.2	1.2	2.5						
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)											
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20	20	20	20	15						
Distance from trees (meters)	20	20	20	20	15						
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6	0	6	6	5						
Tree height above probe (meters)	6	0	6	6	5						
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)											
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)											
Distance to closest monitor (meters)					2.0						
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360						
Restricted airflow (degrees)											
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)											
Probe material for reactive gases	Glass, Teflon	Glass, Teflon	Glass, Teflon	Glass, Teflon							
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	2.098	8.52	8.276	5.425							
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N						
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)											
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb											
samplers											
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers					Monthly						
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly							
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	11/28/2022	11/30/2022	11/28/2022, 05/04/2022, 03/16/2022	05/20/2022, 11/28/2022							
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb											

	N	NAAQS - NCore con	tinued			
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite
Education of the Hame			·	·	·	
Pollutant (POC)	PM2.5 (3)	PM2.5 (1)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (7)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (7)	Temp/RH (1)	Wind (1)
Parameter code	88101	88101	Multiple	Multiple	62101, 62201	61103, 61104
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	Research	Research		
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS
Network affiliation(s)	NCore	NCore	CSN STN, NCore	CSN STN, NCore	NCore, PAMS	NCore, PAMS
Collocation designation	Primary	QA Collocated				
Instrument manufacturer and model	Met One BAM 1020	Thermo Partisol 2000i	Met One SuperSASS	URG 3000N	Vaisala HMP 155 Probe	RM Young 5305 Anemometer
Method code	170	143	Various	Various	040	065
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FRM				
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)		IML	RTI	RTI		
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	RTI	RTI	ADEQ	ADEQ
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	1/1/2011	1/6/1999	02/21/2000	02/21/2000	7/1/1993	7/1/1993
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	1:3	1:3	1:3	Continuous	Continuous
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)	1:3	1:3				
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)	5.2	4.7	4.7	4.9	2.4	10.5
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2.2	2	2	2	1	
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)						
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	15	15	20	15		
Distance from trees (meters)	15	15	20	15	20	20
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	5	6	6	5		
Tree height above probe (meters)	5	6	6	5		
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)						
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)	1.3	1.3				
Distance to closest monitor (meters)	1.0	1.3				
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360
Restricted airflow (degrees)						
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)						
Probe material for reactive gases						
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)						
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	Υ	Υ				
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb	_	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly		
samplers		Widnesing	Wionany	ivionitiny		
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers	Monthly					
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments						
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters						
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	11/18/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022		

			PAMS					
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite
Local site name	,	·			·		·	·
Pollutant (POC)	NO2 (1)	O3 (1)	Carbonyl (30,31)	Carbonyl (32)	VOC (6)	VOC (7)	Auto-GC	Mixing Layer Height
Parameter code	42602	44201	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	61301
Basic monitoring objective	NAAQS Comparison	NAAQS Comparison	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research
Site type(s)	Highest Concentration	Max O3 Concentration	Max Precursor Impact	QA Collocated	Max Precursor Impact	QA Collocated	Population Exposure	Population Exposure
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS
Network affiliation(s)	NCore	NCore, PAMS	PAMS	PAMS	PAMS, NATTS	PAMS, NATTS	PAMS	PAMS
Collocation designation	Primary			-				
Instrument manufacturer and model	Teledyne T500U	Teledyne API 400	ATEC 8000	ATEC 8000	ATEC 2200	ATEC 2200	CAS-Chromatotec FID	Lufft CHM15K
Method code	212	087	202	202	126	126		
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	FEM	FEM		-				
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)			ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG		
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ADEQ	ADEQ
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	01/01/1999	07/01/1993	05/15/1999	05/15/1999	05/15/1999	05/15/1999	06/01/2021	06/01/2021
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	1:6	1:6	1:6	1:6	Continuous	Continuous
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)								
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	06/01-08/31	06/01-08/31	06/01-08/31	06/01 - 08/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)	4.1	4.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.1
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1.2	1.2	2	2	2	2	2	1.4
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)								
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20	20	20	20	20	20		
Distance from trees (meters)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6	6	6	6	6	6		
Tree height above probe (meters)	6	6	6	6	6	6		
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)								
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)								
Distance to closest monitor (meters)								
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	360	360
Restricted airflow (degrees)								
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)								
Probe material for reactive gases	Glass, Teflon	Glass, Teflon	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	6.555	8.276	1.69	1.67	15.89	15.89		
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)								
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers								
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers								
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments	Bi-Weekly	Bi-Weekly						
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters	11/30/2022	11/28/2022, 05/04/2022, 03/16/2022						
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb								
							1	

		PAM	S continued				
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite
Education Communication Commun	·	·	Horizontal Solar	Ultraviolet Solar	·	,	·
Pollutant (POC)	Temp/RH (1)	Wind (1)	Radiation (1)	Radiation (1)	Precipitation	Barometric Pressure	NOy (1)
Parameter code	62101, 62201	61103, 61104	63301	63302, 63304	65102	64101	42600
Basic monitoring objective			Research	Research	Research	Research	NAAQS Comparison
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure
Monitor type	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS
Network affiliation(s)	NCore, PAMS	NCore, PAMS	PAMS	PAMS	PAMS	PAMS	NCore, PAMS
Collocation designation							
Instrument manufacturer and model	Vaisala HMP 155 Probe	RM Young 5305 Anemometer	Kipp & Zonen CMP6 Pyranometer	Epply TUVR UV	RM Young 50202	RM Young 61302V	Teledyne T200U/Noy
Method code	040	065	011	011	014	014	699
FRM/FEM/ARM/other							FEM
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)							
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	07/01/1993	07/01/1993	04/29/2016	04/29/2016	07/01/2020	07/01/2020	1/1/2011
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)							
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)	2.4	10.5	5.4	5.5	1.5	2.0	10
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	1		2.4	2.5			7
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)						0.6	20
Distance from trees (meters)	20	20	25	25	15	20	20
Obstruction height above probe (meters)						1	0
Tree height above probe (meters)							0
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)				==			
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)				=			
Distance to closest monitor (meters)			0.33	0.33			
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	360
Restricted airflow (degrees)							
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)							
Probe material for reactive gases							Glass, Teflon
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)							8.517
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)							
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers							
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers							
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments							Bi-Weekly
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters							11/30/2022
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb							

		Air Toxics	-NATTS/UATMP				
Local site name	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	South Phoenix
Pollutant (POC)	Carbonyl (6)	Carbonyl (7)	VOC (6)	VOC (7)	HAP/SVOC/PAH (6)	PM <sub>10</sub> metals speciation (1)	VOC (6)
Parameter code	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple
Basic monitoring objective	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research
	Population Exposure	QA Collocated	Population Exposure	QA Collocated	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure
Site type(s)	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS
Monitor type	NATTS	NATTS	PAMS, NATTS	PAMS, NATTS	NATTS	NATTS	UATMP
Network affiliation(s)	NATTS	NAT15	PAIVIS, NATTS	PAIVIS, NATTS	NAT15	NAT15	UATMP 
Collocation designation							
Instrument manufacturer and model	ATEC 8000	ATEC 8000	ATEC 2200	ATEC 2200	Tisch PUF+	Thermo Partisol 2000	ATEC 2200
Method code	202	202	101	101	118	202	101
FRM/FEM/ARM/other							
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG
Reporting agency	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG	ERG
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	05/15/1999	05/15/1999	06/06/2001	06/06/2001	07/08/2007	01/01/2005	08/05/2001
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	1:6	Every other month	1:6	Every other month	1:6	1:6	1:12
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)		-					
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.1	4.7	4.6
Distance from supporting structure (meters)	2	2	2	2	1.2	2	1.6
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	20	20	20	20	20	20	8.0
Distance from trees (meters)	20	20	20	20	20	20	4.8
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	6	6	6	6	6	6	8.0
Tree height above probe (meters)	6	6	6	6	6	6	8.0
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)							
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)							
Distance to closest monitor (meters)					2.0		
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	360	335
Restricted airflow (degrees)							240-265
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)							250-260
Probe material for reactive gases	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel			Stainless Steel
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)	1.69	1.67	15.89	15.89			16.35
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)		=					
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers	==					Monthly	
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers							
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments							
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters							
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb					11/30/2022, 05/03/2022	11/15/2022, 05/03/2022	

Urban Haze							
							1
Local site name	ADEQ Building	Banner Mesa Medical Center	Estrella Mountain Community College	Nogales World Radio Network Inc.	North Mountain Summit	North Mountain Summit	Yuma Mountain Camera –Telegraph Peak
Pollutant (POC)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (2)	Visibility (1)
	VISIDIIITY (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	Visibility (1)	VISIDIIILY (2)	VISIDIIILY (1)
Parameter code							
Basic monitoring objective	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information	Public Information
Site type(s)	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure	Population Exposure
Monitor type							
Network affiliation(s)							
Collocation designation							
Instrument manufacturer and model	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i	CANON EOS Rebel T2i
Method code							
FRM/FEM/ARM/other							
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)							
Reporting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	07/01/2002	01/01/1993	01/01/1993	03/02/2020	01/01/1993	01/01/1993	06/22/2020
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.	Every 5 min.
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)							
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31
Probe height (meters)							
Distance from supporting structure (meters)							
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)							
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)							
Distance from trees (meters)							
Obstruction height above probe (meters)							
Tree height above probe (meters)							
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)							
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)							
Distance to closest monitor (meters)							
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)							
Restricted airflow (degrees)							
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)							
Probe material for reactive gases							
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)							
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)							
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers							
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers							
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments							
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters				-			
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb							

Visibility - ADEQ IMPROVE Protocol						
Organ Pipe National Saguaro National						
Lacalatha	JLG Supersite	JLG Supersite	Nogales Post Office	Monument	Park West	
Local site name Pollutant (POC)	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	
Parameter code	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	
Basic monitoring objective	Research	Research	Research	Research	Research	
Site type(s)	Other	Other	Background	Other	Other	
Monitor type						
Network affiliation(s)	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	IMPROVE	
Collocation designation						
Instrument manufacturer and model						
Method code	Various	Various	Various	Various	Various	
FRM/FEM/ARM/other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	
Collecting agency	ADEQ	ADEQ	ADEQ	NPS	NPS	
Analytical lab (weight, toxics, other)						
Reporting agency	UC Davis	UC Davis	UC Davis	UC Davis	UC Davis	
Spatial scale (micro, neighborhood)	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Regional	Regional	Regional	
Monitoring start date (MM/DD/YYYY)	04/25/2001	04/25/2001	10/24/2015	01/15/2003	04/19/2001	
Current sampling frequency (1:3, continuous)	1:3	1:3	1:3	1:3	1:3	
Calculated sampling frequency (1:3 / 1:1)						
Sampling season (MM/DD-MM/DD)	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	01/01-12/31	
Probe height (meters)	5.5	5.5	8.3	3.4	3.3	
Distance from supporting structure (meters)				1.4	1	
Distance from obstructions on roof (meters)			16.0			
Distance from obstructions not on roof (meters)	15	15				
Distance from trees (meters)	15	15		13	15	
Obstruction height above probe (meters)	5	5	1.7			
Tree height above probe (meters)	5	5			2	
Distance to furnace or incinerator flue (meters)						
Distance between collocated monitors (meters)	4	4				
Distance to closest monitor (meters)						
Unrestricted airflow (degrees)	360	360	360	360	360	
Restricted airflow (degrees)						
Prevailing wind direction (degrees)			==		==	
Probe material for reactive gases			==		==	
Residence time for reactive gases (seconds)						
Changes within the next 18 months? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	
Comparison against the annual PM2.5? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	
Frequency of flow rate verification manual PM and Pb samplers						
Frequency of flow rate verification automated PM analyzers						
Frequency of one-point QC check gaseous instruments						
Last annual PE audit for gaseous parameters						
Last two semi-annual flow rate audits PM and Pb	-				-	

# **Appendix D – Site Information Data Tables**

This appendix contains detailed information about sites that are fully or partially operated by ADEQ. All sites that were operational between January 1, 2020 and July 1, 2021 are included in this appendix. This appendix also contains general information about the air quality monitors at each site. See Appendix C for more detailed information on specific monitors and networks.

AQS ID	SITE NAME	Appendix D PAGE #
	ADEQ Building	3
04-019-0001	Ajo	4
04-012-8000	Alamo Lake	5
	Banner Mesa Medical Center	6
04-015-1003	Bullhead City	7
04-003-1005	Douglas Red Cross	8
	Estrella Mountain Community College	9
04-005-1008	Flagstaff Middle School	10
04-007-1002	Globe Highway	11
04-007-1001	Hayden Old Jail	12
04-007-1003	Hillcrest	13
04-013-9997	JLG Supersite	14
04-007-8000	Miami Golf Course	15
04-007-0011	Miami Jones Ranch	16
04-007-0012	Miami Townsite	17
80-026-0006	Nogales ITN	18
04-023-0004	Nogales Post Office	19
	Nogales World Radio Network Inc.	20
	North Mountain Summit	21
04-019-0005	Organ Pipe National Monument	22
04-003-0011	Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant	23
04-007-0008	Payson Well Site	24
04-025-8034	Prescott Pioneer Park	25
04-021-8001	Queen Valley	26
04-019-0020	Rillito	27
04-019-9000	Saguaro National Park West	28
08-026-8012	San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10	29
	Sedona Fire Station AQD	30
04-013-4003	South Phoenix	31
04-007-0010	Tonto National Monument	32
	Yuma Mountain Camera	33
04-027-8011	Yuma Supersite	34

## **Definitions for Appendix D – Site Information Data Tables**

Local Site Name	Official name for the site as written in ADEQ's AirVision Database
Site Narrative	Brief summary of the site location and surroundings

## **Site Information**

AQS ID	Unique identifier from EPA's Air Quality System database
Street Address	Physical Street Address or cross streets of the monitoring site
County	Arizona county the monitor is located within
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area that the site is located within. A CBSA is a U.S.
	geographic area defined by the Office of Management and Budget based
	around an urban center of at least 10,000 people and adjacent areas that
	are socioeconomically tied to the urban center by commuting
Surrounding Area	Description of area around monitoring site (residential, commercial,
	industrial, agricultural, desert, forest, mobile, blighted area, and military
	reservation)
Roadway Info	Distance and direction from the edge of the nearest roadway to the
	instrument in meters
Nearest Assessed	ADOT supplied traffic count for the nearest major roadway. Includes
Roadway Info	distance and direction of roadway if differs from the nearest roadway
Groundcover	Type of surface at the base of the instrument (e.g. sand, cement, rooftop,
	metal, asphalt, etc.)
Latitude	The North/South geographic location of a site in decimal degrees
Longitude	The East/West geographic location of a site in decimal degrees
Elevation	The vertical distance above sea level of the site in meters
Site Established Date	Date site was first used as a monitoring site

## **Parameters Monitored**

Bulleted list of all parameters monitored at the site

Aerial View	Image of site and the surrounding area (using Google Earth)
Site View	Most current photo of monitors at the site

# **ADEQ Building**

The high-resolution digital camera sits on the northeast corner of ADEQ's main campus building in Phoenix and points toward Camelback Mountain, which lies 13.4 km to the northeast. The pictures of the local view are updated every 15 minutes and can be viewed on the internet at <a href="http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx">http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx</a>. The area between the site and Camelback Mountain is primarily residential with some commercial areas. The camera is part of the Visibility network.

Site Information				
AQS ID	None			
Street Address	1110 W. Washington St. Phoenix,	1110 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007		
County	Maricopa	Maricopa Groundcover Rooftop		
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.4483	
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial	Longitude	-112.0878	
Adjacent Roadway	84 m – S – Washington St.	Elevation	329 m	
Info	AADT Count – 11,088		323	
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	07/01/2002	
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	07/01/2002	

#### **Parameters Monitored**

• Visibility (Camelback Mountain View)



Aerial view of ADEQ Building



Camera on rooftop of ADEQ Building – 04/2010

# Ajo

The site is located at the Pima County Maintenance Yard, with the wind system mounted to the north of the instruments. The closest structure to the site is an east-west oriented ADOT office/trailer to the southeast. To the east lies the stabilized tailings pile associated with the Ajo mining operation that closed in 1985. The parameters measured are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.


Site Information				
AQS ID	04-019-0001			
Street Address	1211 Well Rd. Ajo, AZ 85321			
County	Pima	Groundcover	Gravel	
CBSA	Tucson	Latitude	32.3820	
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial	Longitude	-112.8575	
Adjacent Roadway	109 m – E – Ajo Well Rd. 1	Elevation	515 m	
Info	AADT Count – Negligible	Elevation	212 111	
Nearest Assessed	700 m - W - Cedar St	Site Established Date	07/01/1969	
Roadway Info	AADT Count - 715	Site Established Date	07/01/1969	

## **Parameters Monitored**

- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Wind
- Temp/RH



Aerial view of Ajo



Ajo fenced area and meteorological tower 05/2014

## **Alamo Lake**

The site was established to replace the Hillside site and is located in Alamo Lake State Park, which is approximately 49 km north of Wenden, AZ. The surrounding area consists of mostly desert, with a lake about 1 km to the northeast. A small water pump/storage tank (1,000 gallon) lies 7 meters to the east of the shelter. The parameters measured are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-012-8000		
Street Address	Alamo Lake State Park		
County	La Paz	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	None	Latitude	34.2439
Surrounding Area	Desert	Longitude	-113.5586
Adjacent Roadway Info	80 m – NE – Alamo Rd. AADT Count – Negligible	Elevation	403 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	05/20/2005

Parameters Monitored		
• O <sub>3</sub>	• PM <sub>2.5</sub>	
• PM <sub>10</sub>	• Wind	
	• Temp/RH	



Regional view of Alamo Lake



Alamo Lake shelter with PM inlets - 02/2020

## **Banner Mesa Medical Center**

The high-resolution digital camera sits on the east side of the Banner Mesa Medical Center and points to the Superstition Mountains, which lie 32 km east of the site. The pictures of the local views are updated every 15 minutes and can be viewed on the internet at <a href="http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx">http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx</a>. The area between the site and the mountains is primarily residential with some commercial areas. The camera is part of the Visibility network.

Site Information			
AQS ID		None	
Street Address	525 W. Brown Rd. Mesa, AZ 85201		
County	Maricopa	Groundcover	Rooftop
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.4335
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-111.8428
Adjacent Roadway	170 m – N – W Brown St.	Elevation	454 m
Info	AADT Count – 9,345	Elevation	454 111
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1993
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1993

#### **Parameters Monitors**

• Visibility (Superstition Mountain View)



Aerial view of Banner Mesa Medical Center



Banner Mesa Medical Center Camera – 05/2013

# **Bullhead City**

The site is located on the rooftop of the U.S. Post Office Building, northeast of SR 95 and  $7^{th}$  Street. The surrounding area is commercial and residential to the west and south. The Colorado River lies to the west less than 300 meters. To the northeast/east, about 675 meters, is the Bullhead City Airport. The PM<sub>10</sub> monitored is part of the SLAMS network.

Site Information				
AQS ID	C	04-015-1003		
Street Address	990 Highway 95 Bullhead City, AZ	990 Highway 95 Bullhead City, AZ 86429		
County	Mohave	Groundcover	Rooftop	
CBSA	Lake Havasu City-Kingman	Latitude	35.1538	
Surrounding Area	Commercial/Residential	Longitude	-114.5668	
Adjacent Roadway	40 m – W – SR 95	Elevation	167 m	
Info	AADT Count – 25,611	Elevation	167 111	
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	11/01/1997	
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	11/01/1997	

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Monitors</b>
-------------------	-----------------

• PM<sub>10</sub>



Aerial view of Bullhead City



Roof of Bullhead City Post Office—06/2012

# **Douglas Red Cross**

The site is located at the Red Cross building on the south side of 15<sup>th</sup> Street. The surrounding area is a mix of residential and commercial land use. The site is about 1,685 meters from the Arizona/Mexico border. The IMPROVE protocol monitor was relocated to Nogales in 2015. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information				
AQS ID	0	04-003-1005		
Street Address	1445 E. 15 <sup>th</sup> St. Douglas, AZ 85607	7		
County	Cochise	Groundcover	Dirt/Grass	
CBSA	Sierra Vista-Douglas	Latitude	31.3492	
Surrounding Area	Commercial/Residential	Longitude	-109.5397	
Adjacent Roadway	30 m − N − 15th St.	Elevation	1 224 m	
Info	AADT Count – 5,622	Elevation	1,224 m	
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	09/01/1998	
Roadway Info	Saine	Site Established Date	03/01/1336	

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- $\bullet$  PM<sub>10</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Douglas Red Cross



Douglas Red Cross fenced site – 03/2016

# **Estrella Mountain Community College**

The high-resolution digital camera points to the White Tanks mountain range, which is 20 km to the northeast. The pictures of the local views are updated every 15 minutes and can be viewed on the internet at <a href="http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx">http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx</a>. The area between the site and the mountain ranges is a mixture of residential, commercial, and agricultural uses. The camera is part of the Visibility network.

Site Information					
AQS ID	None				
Street Address	3000 N. Dysart Rd. Avondale, AZ	85323			
County	Maricopa	Maricopa Groundcover Rooftop			
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.4836		
Surrounding Area	Residential Longitude -112.3503				
Adjacent Roadway	155 m – S – Thomas Rd.	Elevation	305 m		
Info	AADT Count – 9,858	Elevation	303 111		
Nearest Assessed	Samo	Sita Established Data	01/01/1002		
Roadway Info	Same Site Established Date 01/01/1993				


### **Parameters Monitors**

• Visibility (White Tanks View)



Aerial view of Estrella Mountain Community

College



View of Camera on Rooftop


# **Flagstaff Middle School**

The site is west of Bonito Street on the west side of the rooftop on the Flagstaff Middle School building. The surrounding area is generally residential, with Thorpe Park located about 800 meters to the west, and US Route 180 approximately 415 meters to the east. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and SPM networks.

Site Information				
AQS ID	0	04-005-1008		
Street Address	755 N. Bonito St. Flagstaff, AZ 860	001		
County	Coconino	Groundcover	Rooftop	
CBSA	Flagstaff	Latitude	35.2061	
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-111.6528	
Adjacent Roadway	80 m – E – N. Bonito St.	Elevation	2,126 m	
Info	AADT Count – 1,216	Licvation	2,120 111	
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	10/29/1996	

### **Parameters Monitors**

- O<sub>3</sub>
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> (E-BAM)



Aerial view of Flagstaff Middle School



O<sub>3</sub> sample cane at Flagstaff – 02/2020

## **Globe Highway**

ASARCO mine also maintains an SO<sub>2</sub> analyzer, Pb sampler, PM sampler, wind monitor, rain gage, and temp/RH at the site. The site is located on the southwest end of a small canyon and may be influenced by both broad and local meteorological conditions. Approximately 10m to the west lies State Route 77. The site is located approximately 1km to the east/southeast of the ASARCO smelting facility and 300 m to the east of the ASARCO slag pile. A roadway AADT count of 2,700 that is 10 meters from the closest monitor would classify the Pb monitor as a micro or middle scale but the neighborhood scale more accurately represents the siting of the monitor. The roadway is not the predominant source of Pb in the area and therefore does not impact area concentrations. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-007-1002		
Street Address	SR 77 Winkelman, AZ 85292		
County	Gila	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.002
Surrounding Area	Desert/Residential	Longitude	-110.765
Adjacent Roadway	10 m – W – SR 77	Elevation	602 m
Info	AADT Count – 1,443	Elevation	602 111
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1975
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/19/3

### **Parameters Monitors**

- Pb
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Globe Highway



Meteorological tower and TSP monitor at Globe Highway – 03/2020

## **Hayden Old Jail**

The site is located in a shelter next to the old Hayden Jail building near the center of town. The instruments were previously located in the jail building and were moved to a shelter next to the jail due to safety and siting concerns. The surrounding area consists mainly of residential and commercial buildings. The site is located approximately 1 km to the west of the ASARCO smelting facility. ASARCO mine also maintains an  $SO_2$  analyzer in the old Hayden Jail building next to the new shelter. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-00	07-1001	
Street Address	Canyon Dr. & Kennecott Ave. Hayde	en, AZ 85235	
County	Gila	Groundcover	Shelter
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.0062
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-110.7864
Adjacent Roadway Info	10 m – E – Canyon Dr. AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	625 m
Nearest Assessed	49 m – W – Kennecott Rd. AADT	Site Established	01/01/1969
Roadway Info	Count – 503	Date	01/01/1909

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- SO<sub>2</sub>
- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Hayden Old Jail



Hayden Old Jail shelter, PM inlet and meteorological tower – 05/2014


### Hillcrest

This site is the location of the collocated TSP Pb monitors in Hayden, AZ. The site is located just outside the ASARCO smelter property line. The site is a micro scale specifically located for Pb maximum concentration determination and was chosen in response to elevated readings from an EPA superfund monitor. To the east 10m is the ASARCO smelting operations property with an overhead conveyer belt located 15m to the west. The area to the south and west is residential neighborhood. Directly to the south is a mine operated Superfund site. The Pb instruments are part of the SLAMS network. A continuous Pb monitor was added in November 2018.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-00	07-1003	
Street Address	123 S. Hillcrest Ave. Hayden, AZ 852	235	
County	Gila	Groundcover	Dirt
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.0035
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-110.7822
Adjacent Roadway Info	18 m – W – S. Hillcrest Ave. AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	643 m
Nearest Assessed	226 m – W – Velasco Ave. AADT	Site Established	01/01/2016
Roadway Info	Count – 655	Date	01/01/2016

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- Pb
- Pb-Secondary
- Continuous Pb



Aerial view of Hillcrest



Hillcrest Pb samplers and stand. The ASARCO stack is in the background – 09/2019

# **JLG Supersite**

The site was established to represent air quality in the central core of the Phoenix metropolitan area. The surrounding area is primarily residential neighborhoods, with I-17 approximately 1.6 km to the west. The parameters measured cover multiple networks including SLAMS, NCore, PAMS, NATTS, CSN, meteorology, and IMPROVE. This is ADEQ's main test site for various instruments and networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-	-013-9997	
Street Address	4530 N. 17 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Phoenix, AZ 8501	5	
County	Maricopa	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.5038
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-112.0957
Adjacent Roadway	10 m − E − 17 <sup>th</sup> Ave.	Elevation	354 m
Info	AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	334 III
	158 m – S – Campbell Ave. AADT		
Nearest Assessed	Count – 2,282	Site Established Date	07/01/1993
Roadway Info	367 m – W – N 19 <sup>th</sup> Ave. AADT		07/01/1993
	Count – 21,784		

Parameters Monitors			
• CO	<ul> <li>VOC Continuous</li> </ul>	• PM <sub>2.5</sub> Filter	
• NO	VOC Filter	<ul> <li>PM<sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (SASS)</li> </ul>	
• NO <sub>2</sub>	• SVOC (PUF)	<ul> <li>PM<sub>2.5</sub> Speciation (URG)</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>NOy</li></ul>	<ul> <li>PM<sub>10</sub> metals speciation</li> </ul>	• Temp/RH	
• O <sub>3</sub>	• PM <sub>10</sub>	• Wind	
• SO <sub>2</sub>	• PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> (Coarse)	<ul> <li>IMPROVE Primary</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Carbonyl</li></ul>	<ul> <li>PM<sub>2.5</sub> Continuous</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IMPROVE Secondary</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Mixing Layer</li> </ul>	Precipitation	<ul> <li>Ambient Pressure</li> </ul>	
Height			



Aerial view of JLG Supersite



Eastern side of JLG Supersite two shelters, roof top, and meteorological tower – 06/2020

### **Miami Golf Course**

This site is the location of the TSP-Pb and  $PM_{10}$  monitors in Miami, AZ. The site is located near the Cobre Valley Country Club with residential areas to the south and east and the Freeport McMoRan facility approximately 2 km to the west/southwest and tailings ponds less than 1 km to the west. Surrounding trees are below inlet height and have no vegetation. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information				
AQS ID		04-007-8000		
Street Address	SR 188 and US 60 Miami, AZ 8	35539		
County	Gila	Groundcover	Gravel	
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.4190	
Surrounding Area	Residential Longitude -110.8296			
Adjacent Roadway Info	220 m – SE – SR 188 AADT Count – 3,094	Elevation	1000 m	
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1997	

### **Parameters Monitors**

- Pb
- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Miami Golf Course




Fenced Miami Golf Course site – 03/2020

## **Miami Jones Ranch**

This site is one of three  $SO_2$  sites in the Miami area. Freeport McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc. operate an  $SO_2$  instrument at this site as well. The site is located south of the town of Miami and is over 3 km south/southwest of the smelter. The site located in the desert hills overlooking the town and is off a gravel/dirt road. The  $SO_2$  instrument is part of the SLAMS network.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-00	07-0011	
Street Address	Cherry Flats Rd. Miami, AZ 85539		
County	Gila	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.3853
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-110.8673
Adjacent Roadway Info	15 m – SE – Cherry Flats Rd. AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	1,242 m
Nearest Assessed	1300 m – NW – US 60	Site Established	01/01/1997
Roadway Info	AADT Count – 6,976	Date	01/01/1997

Parameters Monitors	
• SO <sub>2</sub>	



Aerial view of Miami Jones Ranch



Fenced Miami Jones Ranch site - 05/2014

## **Miami Townsite**

This site is one of three  $SO_2$  sites in the Miami area. Freeport McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc. run a  $SO_2$  instrument at this site as well. This site is located on the western side of Miami, near the center of the town. There is a church and a police station to the west with residential to the north and south. The road is located to the south of the site. The smelter is over 2 km to the northeast of the site. The  $SO_2$  instrument is part of the SLAMS network.

Site Information				
AQS ID	04-007-0012			
Street Address	Sullivan ST & Davis Canyon M	iami, AZ 85539		
County	Gila Groundcover Gravel			
CBSA	Payson Latitude 33.3973			
Surrounding Area	Residential Longitude -110.8744			
Adjacent Roadway Info	16.5 m – SE – Sullivan St.  AADT Count - 351  Elevation 1,042 m			
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	113 m – SE – US 60 AADT Count – 6,976 Site Established Date 01/01/		01/01/1997	

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Monitors</b>
-------------------	-----------------

• SO<sub>2</sub>



Aerial view of Miami Townsite



Fenced Miami Townsite - 05/2014

# **Nogales ITN**

All instrumentation and equipment are located in and on the sampling shelter at ITN, which is located South of the Arizona/Mexico Border in Sonora County, Mexico. The surrounding area is a mixture of commercial and residential land use. The parameters monitored are classified as SPM.

Site Information				
AQS ID	80-026-0006			
Street Address	Avenida Instituto Tecnológico #93	11, Granja		
County	Sonora	Groundcover	Rooftop	
CBSA	Latitude 31.291294			
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial Longitude -110.951			
Adjacent Roadway Info	10m from Tecnológico, 422m NE of Blvd. Luis Donaldo Colosio	Elevation	1,264m	
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	May 1, 2021	

Parameters Monitors		
• O₃ • Temp/RH		
● PM <sub>10</sub> ● Wind		
• PM <sub>2.5</sub> • Precipitation		
Ambient Pressure		



Aerial view of Nogales ITN



Ozone and meteorological monitors on roof of Nogales ITN- 04/12/2023

# **Nogales Post Office**

The site is located on the rooftop of the U.S. Post Office building, which lies approximately 670 meters north from the Arizona/Mexico Border. The surrounding area is a mixture of commercial and residential land use. This site is used to meet the  $PM_{2.5}$  collocation requirement. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS, IMPROVE, and meteorological networks.

Site Information					
AQS ID	04-023-0004				
Street Address	300 N. Morley Ave. Nogales, AZ 8	5621			
County	Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Groundcover Rooftop			
CBSA	Nogales Latitude 31.3372				
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial Longitude -110.9367				
Adjacent Roadway	37.6 m – NW – Morley Ave.	Elevation	1 176 m		
Info	AADT Count – 3,397	Elevation	1,176 m		
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1980		
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1960		

Parameters Monitors		
<ul> <li>PM<sub>10</sub> (Continuous)</li> <li>Temp/RH</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Continuous)</li> <li>Wind</li> </ul>		
• PM <sub>2.5</sub> (Filter) • IMPROVE		



Aerial view of Nogales Post Office



Particulate and meteorological monitors on roof of Nogales Post Office – 03/2021

# **Nogales World Radio Network Inc.**

The site is located just West of Interstate 19 on W. 1<sup>st</sup> St. in Nogales, Santa Cruz County. The surrounding area is a mixture of commercial and residential land use. ADEQ installed a High Resolution Digital Camera on a tower at the site to capture visibility conditions in Nogales as part of the Urban Haze/Visibility Monitoring Network.

	Site Information			
AQS ID				
Street Address	150 W. 1 <sup>st</sup> St. Nogales, AZ 85621			
County	Santa Cruz Groundcover Rooft			
CBSA	Nogales	Latitude	31.3525	
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial	Longitude	-110.9291	
Adjacent Roadway		Elevation	1,178 m	
Info		Lievation	1,176111	
Nearest Assessed		Site Established Date	03/02/2020	
Roadway Info		Site Established Date	03/02/2020	

Parameters Monitors					
• Visibility					



Aerial view of Nogales World Radio Network Inc.



High Resolution Digital Camera on tower at Nogales World Radio Network Inc. – 06/06/2022

### **North Mountain Summit**

The site is located on a mountaintop in the North Mountain Recreation Area of Phoenix. One high-resolution digital camera faces South Mountain, which lies 27 km to the south. Another camera faces the Estrella Mountains, which lie 35 km to the southwest. The pictures of the local views are updated every 15 minutes and can be viewed on the internet at <a href="http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx">http://phoenixvis.net/index.aspx</a>. The surrounding area is desert recreation area to the north and west and residential with some commercial activity to the south and east. The cameras are part of the Visibility network.

	Site Information				
AQS ID	None				
Street Address	West side of 7 <sup>th</sup> St. in North Mou	ntain Recreation Area Pho	enix, AZ		
County	Maricopa	Maricopa Groundcover Dirt/Desert			
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale Latitude 33		33.5855		
Surrounding Area	Residential/Desert Longitude -112.07				
Adjacent Roadway	850 m – E – 7 <sup>th</sup> St.	Elevation 625 m			
Info	AADT Count – 34,088	Elevation 625 III			
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1993		

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- Visibility (South Mountain View)
- Visibility (Estrella Mountain View)



Aerial view of North Mountain Summit



Camera located on tower at North Mountain
Summit – 04/2013

# **Organ Pipe National Monument**

The site is owned by the NPS, who operates the monitor at the site. The site is located 1 km south/southwest of the national monument visitor center, which is about 35.4 km south of Why, AZ. The site is about seven meters from a water pump house and lies about 540 meters east of a small mountain range. The surrounding area is predominately desert. This is an IMPROVE protocol site.

Site Information					
AQS ID	04-019-0005				
Street Address	SR 85 & Puerto Blanco Rd. Ajo, Az	7 85321			
County	Pima	Pima Groundcover Gravel			
CBSA	Tucson Latitude 31.9499				
Surrounding Area	Desert Longitude -112.8010				
Adjacent Roadway	400 m – E – SR 85	Flouration FOF m			
Info	AADT Count – 2,670	Elevation 505 m			
Nearest Assessed	Samo Sita Established Data 01/01/107		01/01/1971		
Roadway Info	Same Site Established Date 01/01/1971				

Para	meters	Mon	itors
гага	IIIELEIS	IVIUI	IILUIS

• IMPROVE



Regional view of Organ Pipe NM



Shelter at Organ Pipe NM – 04/2014

# **Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant**

The site is located approximately 1 km to the northeast of the Chemical Lime Plant, just south of SR 80 between Bisbee and Douglas, and 3.5 km north of the Arizona/Mexico border. The surrounding area is predominately desert. The Chemical Lime Plant is not operational at this time. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information					
AQS ID	04-003-0011				
Street Address	SR 80 & Paul Spur Rd. Paul Spur, Az	2 85603			
County	Cochise	Cochise Groundcover Dirt			
CBSA	Sierra Vista-Douglas Latitude 31.3658				
Surrounding Area	Desert Longitude -109.7308				
Adjacent Roadway	107 m – S – Paul Spur Rd.	Florestian 1 200 m			
Info	AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation 1,280 m			
Nearest Assessed	230 m – N – SR 80	Site Established Date	01/01/1985		
Roadway Info	AADT Count – 4,270	Site Established Date	01/01/1965		

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant



Particulate monitors and meteorological tower at Paul Spur Chemical Lime Plant – 02/2016

# **Payson Well Site**

The site is located in the southern area of Payson, in a field at a well water site. To the south of the site are two tanks. In general, the surrounding area is commercial with some residential land use and 200 m to the southeast is SR 87. Site was moved 90m NE on the same parcel to meet siting requirements in 2014. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID		04-007-0008	
Street Address	204 W. Aero Dr. Payson, AZ	85541	
County	Gila	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	34.2297
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial Longitude -111.3295		-111.3295
Adjacent Roadway Info	134 m – S – Aero Dr.  AADT Count – 1,473  Elevation 1,501 n		1,501 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1991

### **Parameters Monitors**

- PM<sub>10</sub>
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> (EBAM)
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Payson Well Site



Payson Well Site continuous particulate monitor probe and shelter – 07/2014

### **Prescott Pioneer Park**

This site is the maximum concentration  $O_3$  site for the Yavapai County MSA. The Prescott College site was shut down on 12/31/2016 thus making this the sole site in Yavapai County. Prevailing wind direction is SW. To the NE is the predominant VOC and NOx point source in the area in Ernest Love Airfield.

	Site Information		
AQS ID	04-025-8034		
Street Address	1200 Commerce Drive, Prescott,	AZ 86305	
County	Vavanai	Groundcover	Rooftop /
County	Yavapai	Groundcover	Desert
CBSA	Prescott	Latitude	34.6121
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial Longitude		-112.4632
Adjacent Roadway	210 m – SW – Commerce Drive	Elevation	1 602 m
Info	– Negligible Count	Elevation	1,602 m
Nearest Assessed	600 m – N – Pioneer Parkway –	Site Established Date	1/1/2018
Roadway Info	AADT Count- 3,515	Site Established Date	1/1/2016

### **Parameters Monitors**

- O<sub>3</sub>
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> (E-BAM)



Aerial view of Prescott Pioneer Park



Prescott Pioneer Park – 01/2018

# **Queen Valley**

The site is located in northern Pinal County on the far east/southeastern outskirts of the Phoenix metropolitan area. It is located 635 m southeast of the small town of Queen Valley, AZ and the surrounding area is primarily desert. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-021-8001		
Street Address	10 S. Queen Anne Dr. Queen Vall	ey, AZ 85219	
County	Pinal	Pinal Groundcover Gravel	
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.2938
Surrounding Area	Desert Longitude -111.285		-111.2857
Adjacent Roadway Info	87 m – E – Queen Anne Dr.  AADT Count – 1,284  Elevation 668		668 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	01/01/1998

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- O<sub>3</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Regional view of Queen Valley



Shelter and meteorological tower at Queen Valley site – 08/2014

### **Rillito**

The site is located at a city water pumping station. The surrounding area is primarily residential and industrial, with I-10 approximately 260 meters to the northeast. The site is located within the small town of Rillito, AZ and is approximately 500 m to the north/northwest of the Cal Portland Rillito Cement Plant. The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-0	19-0020	
Street Address	8840 W. Robinson St. Rillito, AZ 856	53	
County	Pima	Groundcover	Dirt
CBSA	Tucson	Latitude	32.4143
Surrounding Area	Residential	Longitude	-111.1545
Adjacent Roadway Info	10 m – S – Robinson St. AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	626 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	240 m – NE – Frontage Rd. AADT Count – 1,878 260 m – NE – I10 AADT Count – 57,874	Site Established Date	01/01/1985

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Temp/RH
- Wind



Aerial view of Rillito



Rillito meteorological tower and particulate monitors on platform – 01/2015

# **Saguaro National Park West**

The site is located within the Saguaro National Park West. The site is operated by the NPS. The area surrounding the site is residential to the northwest and south/southeast and desert to the northeast. The site lies approximately 17 km southwest of I-10. This is an IMPROVE protocol site.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-019-9000		
Street Address	N. Sandario Rd. and W. Mile Wide	e Rd. Tucson, AZ	
County	Pima	Groundcover	Gravel
CBSA	Tucson	Latitude	32.2485
Surrounding Area	Desert	Longitude	-111.2175
Adjacent Roadway Info	27 m – W – Mile Wide Rd.  AADT Count – 786  Elevation 7		718 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date	12/29/1996

Parameters Monitors	
• IMPROVE	



Regional view of Saguaro NP West



Shelters at Saguaro NP West site - 07/2012

### San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10

This site is located in San Luis, Mexico. Its purpose is to monitor and provide ozone concentrations within the city of San Luis. Data will help gain a better understanding of ozone concentrations in the area. The parameters monitored are classified as SPM.

Site Information			
AQS ID		80-026-8012	
Street Address	Avenida Carranza and Calle 15, 9	San Luis Rio Colorado, Mexic	co
County	San Luis Rio Colorado	Groundcover	Rooftop
CBSA	None	Latitude	32.4665
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial	Longitude	-114.7688
Adjacent Roadway Info	12 m – E – Calle 15 – Negligible Count 42 m – S – Ave Carranza – Negligible Count	Elevation	41 m
Nearest Assessed Roadway Info	N/A	Site Established Date	5/9/17

Parameters Monitors		
• O <sub>3</sub> • Wind		
• Temp/RH		



Aerial view of San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10



San Luis Rio Colorado Well 10 – 05/2018

# **Sedona Fire Station AQD**

In 2011, the E-BAM instrument in Sedona was moved from the Sedona Post Office site to the Sedona Fire Station site. The Sedona Fire Station site is located approximately 300 m to the northeast of the Sedona Post Office site and 150 m west of State Route 89A. The surrounding area is composed of residential and commercial use. The E-BAM instrument is part of the SPM network.

Site Information			
AQS ID		None	
Street Address	310 Forest Rd, Sedona, AZ 86336		
County	Coconino	Groundcover	Rooftop
CBSA	Flagstaff	Latitude	34.8683
Surrounding Area	Commercial/Residential	Longitude	-111.7633
Adjacent Roadway	50 m – S – Forest Rd	Elevation	1 226 m
Info	AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	1,326 m
Nearest Assessed	150 m – E – SR 89A	Site Established Date	12/16/2011
Roadway Info	AADT Count – 17,871	Site Established Date	12/10/2011

	Parameters Monitors
• PM <sub>2.5</sub> (E-BAM)	



Aerial view of Sedona Fire Station



E-BAM at Sedona Fire Station – 09/2020

## **South Phoenix**

The site is owned by MCAQD. ADEQ operates the toxics sampler at the site as part of the Urban Air Toxics Monitoring Program (UATMP). The site is situated in South Phoenix, at the edge of a high population area, bordering a mixture of residential and commercial properties. Two high population areas are located north and west of the site.

	Site Information		
AQS ID	04-013-4003		
Street Address	33 W. Tamarisk St. Phoenix, AZ 850	)41	
County	Maricopa	Groundcover	Asphalt
CBSA	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	Latitude	33.4030
Surrounding Area	Residential/Commercial Longitude -112.		-112.0750
Adjacent Roadway	83 m – N – Tamarisk St.	Elevation	330 m
Info	AADT Count – Negligible Count	Elevation	330 III
Nearest Assessed	165 m – E – Central Ave. AADT	Site Established Date	01/01/1997
Roadway Info	Count – 24,856	Site Established Date	01/01/1997

	Parameters Monitors
• VOC	



Aerial view of South Phoenix



Shelter and meteorological tower at South
Phoenix site – 04/2005

## **Tonto National Monument**

The site is jointly operated by ADEQ and USFS. The site is located within the Tonto National Forest at the base of Tonto National Monument, about 58 m south of SR 188. The area surrounding the site is desert with Roosevelt Lake about 1 km to the north. The  $O_3$  instrument is part of the SLAMS network.

Site Information			
AQS ID	04-007-0010		
Street Address	South of SR 188 Roosevelt, AZ 85545		
County	Gila	Groundcover	Dirt/Rock
CBSA	Payson	Latitude	33.6547
Surrounding Area	Desert	Longitude	-111.1075
Adjacent Roadway	17 m – NE – SR 188	Elevation	730 m
Info	AADT Count – 860		
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	04/23/1988
Roadway Info	Same		

#### **Parameters Monitors**

- O<sub>3</sub>
- IMPROVE (not a protocol site)



Regional view of Tonto NM



Shelter at Tonto NM site - 01/2016

# Yuma Mountain Camera –Telegraph Peak

This site is located at Telegraph Peak, Northeast of the Telegraph Pass Trailhead and North of Interstate 8 in the Gila Mountains, Yuma County. ADEQ received a Right-of-Way Grant from the BLM in 2020 to install two High Resolution Digital Camera at Telegraph Peak to capture visibility conditions in Yuma as part of the Urban Haze/Visibility Monitoring Network.

Site Information			
AQS ID			
Street Address			
County	Yuma	Groundcover	Dirt/Rock
CBSA	Yuma	Latitude	32.6700
Surrounding Area	Mountain	Longitude	-114.3357
Adjacent Roadway		Elevation	479 m
Info			
Nearest Assessed		Site Established Date	06/22/2020
Roadway Info		Site Established Date	00/22/2020

Para	meters	Mor	nitors

Visibility



Aerial view of Telegraph Peak



High Resolution Digital Camera on tower at Telegraph Peak – 03/23/2023

# **Yuma Supersite**


The site is located on the southeast corner of the Rural Metro Administration Facility property. The surrounding area is commercial and industrial, with a dirt lot adjacent to the south and I-8 1 km to the northeast. In addition to NAAQS compliance, the site is also used to help understand transport of PM and  $O_3$ . The parameters monitored are part of the SLAMS and meteorological networks.

Site Information				
AQS ID	04-027-8011			
Street Address	2029 S. Arizona Ave. Yuma, AZ 85364			
County	Yuma	Groundcover	Gravel	
CBSA	Yuma	Latitude	32.6903	
Surrounding Area	Commercial/Industrial	Longitude	-114.6144	
Adjacent Roadway	91 m – W – Arizona Ave.	Elevation	60 m	
Info	AADT Count – 9,103	Lievation	00 111	
Nearest Assessed	Same	Site Established Date	02/01/2006	
Roadway Info	Same	Site Established Date 02	02/01/2000	

Parameters Monitors		
• O <sub>3</sub>	• Wind	
• PM <sub>10</sub>	• Temp/RH	
• PM <sub>2.5</sub>		




Aerial view of Yuma Supersite



Shelter and Meteorological Tower at Yuma Supersite – 04/2014

#### Appendix E – Letters to EPA

This appendix may contain letters to EPA that have occurred during current Network Plan time period. The letters may include siting waivers, requests for system modifications, and other communications outside of the Annual Network Plan.



# Arizona Department of Environmental Quality



May 17, 2022

Gwen Yoshimura Manager, Air Quality Analysis Office U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Subject: ADEQ Request for Siting Criteria Waiver JLG Supersite

Dear Ms. Yoshimura:

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) is requesting a siting criteria waiver from EPA for the placement of the WS/WD anemometer at the JLG Supersite (AQD site ID: 04-013-9997). It currently does not meet the distance from obstructions listed in the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems Volume IV: Meteorological Measurements Version 2.0.

The required distance from obstruction is 10X the height of obstruction. The anemometer cannot be raised enough to eliminate the impact by adjacent trees. ADEQ has found that this probe cannot reasonably be relocated so as to meet the siting criteria because of the physical constraints of the site.

The WS/WD anemometer is representative of the sampling conditions at JLG and general Phoenix Metropolitan Area. ADEQ is requesting a siting criteria waiver from EPA for the placement of WS/WD anemometer located at JLG Supersite regarding the distance from obstruction siting criteria listed in the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems Volume IV: Meteorological Measurements Version 2.0.

We appreciate the effort by EPA in the review of this waiver request for air monitoring siting. Please contact Bradley Busby with any questions regarding this request, (602) 771-7676.

Sincerely,

Dallano

Brad Busby, Value Stream Manager Air Monitoring and Assessment Value Stream ADEQ Air Quality Division

#### Appendix F – ADEQ's Air Quality Monitoring Role in Arizona

This appendix contains a statement to outline the responsibilities delineated to each monitoring agency in Arizona.

ADEQ assumes full responsibility for the minimum monitoring requirements outlined in Table 1 listed for ADEQ. We are currently working to establish agreements with other monitoring organizations in Arizona per recent EPA audit findings. However, state-wide minimum monitoring requirements are being met across the state. ADEQ is also committed to augmenting its monitoring network to fulfill future needs in all of its areas.

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D(e) states that "Full monitoring requirements apply separately to each affected State or local agency in the absence of an agreement between the affected agencies and the EPA Regional Administrator." EPA Region 9 indicated in their response to the 2013 Network Plan that an interagency document should be in place to delineate the shard monitoring requirements, and to support requirements for the monitoring networks in Arizona. The following table outlines Minimum Monitoring Requirements in the State of Arizona as required in 40 CFR 58 Appendix D. This does not take into account the breath of monitoring that is required by the EPA Regional Administrator for the design of a complete monitoring program. Each State or local agency must work with EPA Regional Administrator to develop a monitoring program for their area.

Table 1: Minimum Monitoring Requirements in Arizona

Pollutant/	CFR Reference	CBSA/ Source required to	Requirement Type	Minimum #	Agency fulfillment	
Station		monitor		Required		
Ncore 40 part 58 app D 3.0		State Requirement	1 Per State	1	ADEQ and PDEQ	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Population/Design Value Based	3	MCAQD and PCAQCD	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Tucson MSA	Population/Design Value Based	2	PDEQ	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Yuma MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Flagstaff MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Prescott MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
O <sub>3</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Sierra-Vista MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
03	40 part 58 app D 4.1	Lake Havasu City MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
CO	40 part 58 app D 4.2	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Collocated with NO <sub>2</sub> by Population	1	MCAQD	
NO <sub>2</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.3	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Near-Road Population/Traffic Based	2	MCAQD	
NO <sub>2</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.3	Tucson MSA	Near-Road Population/Traffic Based	1	PDEQ	
NO <sub>2</sub>		Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Population Based	1	MCAQD	
SO <sub>2</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.4	None	Weighted Population Index Based	0	None	
Pb	40 part 58 app D 4.5	FMMI Smelter	Source Oriented	1	ADEQ	
Pb	40 part 58 app D 4.5	ASARCO Hayden Smelter	Source Oriented	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Population/Design Value Based	6-10	MCAQD and PCAQCD	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Tucson MSA	Population/Design Value Based	4-8	PDEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Yuma MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Flagstaff MSA	Population/Design Value Based	0	ADEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Prescott MSA	Population/Design Value Based	0	ADEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Sierra-Vista MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>10</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.6	Lake Havasu City MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4 7	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	Population/Design Value Based	3	MCAQD and PCAQCD	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Tucson MSA	Population/Design Value Based	2	PDEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7		Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Flagstaff MSA	Population/Design Value Based	0	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Prescott MSA	Population/Design Value Based	0	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Sierra-Vista MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Lake Havasu City MSA	Population/Design Value Based	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Background Station	1 Per State	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	Transport Station	1 Per State	1	ADEQ	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	40 part 58 app D 4.7	State STN Station	1 Per State	1	ADEQ	
PM Coarse	40 part 58 app D 4.8	Required at Ncore Station	1 Per Ncore Station	2	ADEQ and PDEQ	
FINI COUISE	но ран эо арр D 4.8	nequired at NCOIE Station	T FEI INCOLE STREET		ADEQ and PDEQ	
PAMS	40 part 58 app D 5.0	Ozone Area Requirement	Per EPA Admin for Ozone Area	Per PAMS Plan	ADEQ	



## Appendix G- Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Modeling Report

Air Quality Division April 30, 2023 This page is intentionally blank.

#### Appendix A - Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Modeling Report

#### Table of Contents A1 A2 A2.1 A2.2 Modeling Recommendation ....... 3 **A3** Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions......4 A3.1 Modeling Recommendation ...... 5 A3.2 **A4** A4.1 A4.2 **A5** Index of Figures Index of Tables

#### A1 Introduction

On August 21, 2015, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized and promulgated the sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Data Requirements Rule (DRR) (80 FR 51052), which requires the characterization of ambient SO<sub>2</sub> air quality around SO<sub>2</sub> emission sources emitting 2,000 or more tons per year of SO<sub>2</sub>. The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) identified five sources that needed to be addressed for the SO<sub>2</sub> DRR; two copper smelters and three coal-fired power plants. The Hayden and Miami copper smelters were designated as nonattainment during the first round of designations. The three coal-fired power plants are the Tucson Electric Power's Springerville Generating Station (TEP-Springerville), the Arizona Public Service's Cholla Generating Station (APS-Cholla), and the Arizona Electric Power Cooperatives' Apache Generating Station (AEPCO-Apache).

The  $SO_2$  DRR provides air agencies the flexibility to characterize air quality using either modeling of actual source emissions or using appropriately sited ambient air quality monitors. ADEQ decided to evaluate air quality using air dispersion modeling for the three coal-fired power plants. Specifically, ADEQ characterized ambient air quality in areas proximate to the three sources by using actual hourly emissions and meteorology for the most recent 3 years (2012, 2013 and 2014) at the time of modeling.

The SO<sub>2</sub> DRR also includes a requirement that any area where modeling was used to show attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), an annual report is needed. This report should document the annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions of each applicable source in each such area, provide an assessment of the cause of any emission increases, and include a recommendation by the air agency whether additional modeling is needed.

For this annual report analysis, ADEQ used 2020-2022 SO<sub>2</sub> data from EPA's Clean Air Markets Program Data (CAMPD). Based on the emission totals and other factors discussed in the proceeding sections, ADEQ recommends that no additional modeling is needed for all three facilities.

## **A2** TEP-Springerville

TEP-Springerville is located in Apache County, approximately 15 miles north of Springerville, Arizona and about 240 miles north east of Phoenix. TEP-Springerville is a steam electric generating station with a Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code of 4911. The station consists of four coal-fired generating units designated as Unit 1, Unit 2, Unit 3 and Unit 4. All four units of TEP-Springerville burn coal during normal operation except the period of start-up and flame stabilization for which fuel oil including bio-diesel is fired. Under normal full load operating conditions, the net megawatts (MW) ratings at the units 1, 2, 3, and 4 are 387 MW, 390 MW, 417 MW, and 415 MW, respectively. TEP-Springerville supplies electric power for sale to residential and commercial customers in southern Arizona. Unit 1 and Unit 2 boilers are tangentially-fired while Unit 3 and Unit 4 boilers are dry bottom wall-fired units.

Table 1 shows the modeled emission rates used by ADEQ for the TEP-Springerville facility. The resulting modeled concentration is also provided, which includes both facility impact and background concentration.

Operating Unit	2012	2013	2014	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	NAAQS (μg/m³)
Unit 1	2,396	3,112	2,794	107.60	196
Unit 2	2,206	2,820	1,552		
Unit 3	657	892	903	107.69	
Unit 4	900	1,117	973		

Table 1: TEP-Springerville Modeled Emissions (tons) and Results

### **A2.1** Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

The emission rates for the TEP-Springerville facility have not increased when compared to the 2012-2014 totals (see Figure 1). The 2020-2022 emissions at TEP-Springerville facility have decreased (13%) since the 2012-2014 timeframe. This decrease is mainly driven by the decline in the facility's emissions in 2022. The emissions totals for 2020, 2021, and 2022 were below the 2012 emission total, which represented the lowest modeled emissions rate.

#### Appendix A - Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Modeling Report




Figure 1: TEP-Springerville Emissions

## **A2.2 Modeling Recommendation**

The  $SO_2$  DRR requires that ADEQ make a recommendation whether additional modeling is needed to show attainment of the  $2010 SO_2$  NAAQS. The following list summarizes the critical information ADEQ relied on to make this recommendation:

- The modeled concentration for the TEP-Springerville facility was 45% below the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.
- 2. The facility's average  $SO_2$  emissions for the 2020-2022 period has decreased (13%) compared to the 2012-2014 modeled average emissions.

Based on the above information, ADEQ recommends that no additional modeling is needed for the TEP-Springerville facility<sup>1</sup>.

April 30, 2023 Final Page 3

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA's Data Requirements Rule for the 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), 80 FR 51051

#### A3 APS-Cholla

APS-Cholla is located approximately two miles east of Joseph City and about 200 miles north east of Phoenix along the Interstate 40 in Navajo County, Arizona. Cholla consists of four primarily coal-fired Electric Generating Units (EGUs) with a total plant-wide generating capacity of 1,180 gross MW. Unit 1 is a 126 gross MW tangentially-fired, dry-bottom boiler. Units 2, 3, and 4 have capacities of 272, 272, and 410 gross MW, respectively, and are tangentially-fired, dry-bottom boilers. Units 1, 2, and 3 are owned and operated by APS, whereas Unit 4 is owned by PacifiCorp and operated by APS. Unit 1 was completed in 1962, Units 2 and 3 were completed in 1978 and 1980, and Unit 4 was placed in commercial operation in 1981.

Table 2 shows the modeled emission rates used by ADEQ for the APS-Cholla facility. The resulting modeled concentration is also provided, which includes both facility impact and background concentration.

Operating Units	2012	2013	2014	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	NAAQS (μg/m³)
Unit 1	688	669	604		
Unit 2 & 3	3,286	2,584	1,793	156.83	196
Unit 4	2,200	1,813	1,410		

Table 2: APS-Cholla Modeled Emissions (tons) and Results

## A3.1 Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

The emission rates for the APS-Cholla facility have not increased when compared to the 2012-2014 totals (see Figure 2). In fact, the 2020-2022 emissions at the APS-Cholla facility have significantly decreased (73%) since the 2012-2014 timeframe. This decrease is due to the general decline in the facility's coal usage since 2014. In addition, per information obtained from APS-Cholla, Unit 2 was permanently shut down in 2016 and Unit 4 was shut down in 2020.




Figure 2: APS-Cholla Emissions

## A3.2 Modeling Recommendation

The SO<sub>2</sub> DRR requires that ADEQ make a recommendation whether additional modeling is needed to show attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. The following list summarizes the critical information ADEQ relied on to make this recommendation:

- 1. The modeled concentration for the APS-Cholla facility was 20% below the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.
- 2. The facility's average  $SO_2$  emissions for the 2020-2022 period has decreased significantly (73%) compared to the 2012-2014 modeled average emissions.

Based on the above information, ADEQ recommends that no additional modeling is needed for the APS-Cholla facility.

## A4 AEPCO-Apache

AEPCO-Apache is located approximately 3 miles south of the town of Cochise and about 75 miles south east of Tucson, Cochise County, Arizona. The Apache Generating Station consists of seven electric generating units: two coal/natural gas fired steam electric units (Unit 2 and Unit 3), a natural gas/fuel oil-fired steam electric, combined cycle unit (Unit 1), and four natural gas/fuel oil-fired turbines with a total generating capacity of 560 MW.

Table 3 shows the modeled emission rates used by ADEQ for the AEPCO-Apache facility. The resulting modeled concentration is also provided, which includes both facility impact and background concentration.

Operating Unit	2012	2013	2014	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	NAAQS (μg/m³)
Unit 2	681	1,324	2,039		
Unit 3	949	2,428	2,777	161.09	196

Table 3: AEPCO-Apache Modeled Emissions (tons) and Results

#### A4.1 Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

The emission rates for the AEPCO-Apache facility have not increased compared to the 2012-2014 emission totals (see Figure 3). In fact, the 2020-2022 emissions at the AEPCO-Apache facility have significantly decreased (94%) since the 2012-2014 timeframe. This decrease is due to operational changes that the facility has undertaken to reduce emissions to comply with the Mercury Air Toxics Standards. The facility has also been transitioning from coal to natural gas in both units 2 and 3. The facility's coal usage peaked in 2014 but has been declining significantly ever since. Unit 2 and 3 can operate both on natural gas and coal. Unit 2 can only operate coal under emergency conditions and unit 3 can run either of those fuels anytime. The significant SO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction indicates that the facility has been primarily using natural gas for both units.




Figure 3: AEPCO-Apache Emissions

## **A4.2 Modeling Recommendation**

The SO<sub>2</sub> DRR requires that ADEQ make a recommendation whether additional modeling is needed to show attainment with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. The following list summarizes the critical information ADEQ relied on to make this recommendation:

- The modeled concentration for the AEPCO-Apache facility was 18% below the NAAQS.
- 2. The facility's average emissions for the 2020-2022 period show a 96% decrease compared to the 2012-2014 modeled average emissions.

Based on the above information, ADEQ recommends that no additional modeling is needed for the AEPCO-Apache facility.

## **A5** References

EPA's Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD) SO2 Emissions Data: <a href="https://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/">https://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/</a>

EPA's Data Requirements Rule for the 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), 80 FR 51051, August 2015.

EPA's SO2 NAAQS Designations Modeling Technical Assistant Document, August 2016.