

# ENGINEERING REVIEW NOTICE OF INTENT TO DISCHARGE ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY INSTRUCTIONS

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

Please fill out and submit this Notice of Intent to Discharge (NOI) to obtain authorization to construct and operate an on-site wastewater treatment facility. ADEQ currently has 22 General Aquifer Protection Permits for on-site systems. An on-site system is a system that treats and disposes of domestic wastewater on the same property where it was generated. The most common type of on-site system is the septic tank and leach field, which is a conventional system (4.02 General Permit). Other types of on-site wastewater treatment facilities are alternative systems. Alternative systems include technologies such as aerobic systems, composting toilets, and pressurized systems, just to name a few. As required by A.A.C. R18-9-A309(E), the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality maintains a list of proprietary treatment products for use in Arizona. This form can be used for any on-site wastewater treatment facility with a design flow less than 24,000 gallons per day (gpd). Wastewater treatment facilities with a design flow greater than or equal to 24,000 gpd must obtain an Individual Aquifer Protection Permit.

Type 4 General Permits can be combined if the general permit conditions prescribed in rule are met. For example, a 4.02 general permit for a septic tank may be combined with a pressure distribution system (4.04) and aerobic system (4.15).

#### GENERAL APPLICATION PROCESS

- 1. Submit this Notice of Intent to Discharge (NOI) application and appropriate supplemental information and forms, which are identified in rule and/or in this form. Submit the application electronically to <a href="https://gww.example.com/gwp-er-gluone-com/gwp-er-
- 2. ADEQ recommends a pre application meeting prior to submitting your application. Email the Engineering Review Unit at <a href="https://gwp\_eru@azdeq.gov">GWP\_ERU@azdeq.gov</a>, a week in advance. To facilitate scheduling the meeting in a timely manner, include "Pre Application Meeting" in the subject line. Please bring all final application components including the fee to the meeting.
- 3. Ensure that email addresses are provided in the NOI application, as all permits are sent to applicants via email.
- 4. Remit the applicable non-refundable general permit fee (see the instructions on fees listed below). Review fees established by delegated counties or cities may differ.
- 5. Satisfy any deficiency requests arising from the Department's pre-construction review of the application.
- 6. Receive a "Construction Authorization" from the Department authorizing construction of the on-site wastewater treatment facility.
- 7. Construct the on-site wastewater treatment facility within two years.
- 8. Upon completion of construction, submit the <u>Request for Discharge Authorization</u> and any additional required information to the Department to initiate the Department's post-construction review and inspection.
- 9. Satisfy any deficiency request arising from the Department's post-construction review of the facility.
- 10. Receive a "Discharge Authorization" from the Department, which authorizes operation of the on-site system(s) in accordance with the terms of the Type 4.02 to 4.23 General Permits and applicable requirements of statute and rule.

#### FEES

Fees for Type 4 General Permits (4.02 through 4.22) are listed on website: azdeq.gov/SepticSewerFees

If an applicant requests priority review, the Department shall approve or deny the request. When determining whether to approve a priority review request, the Department shall consider the complexity of the project and the Department's current work load. The priority review fee is double the applicable fee.

#### LICENSING TIME FRAMES

Licensing Time Frames (LTFs) are specified by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in A.A.C. R18-1-525. The following LTFs limit the number of business days ADEQ can review your project without a penalty:

License Type	Administrative Completeness Review	Substantive Review	Overall Time Frame
Single 4.02, 4.03, 4.13, and 4.14 General Permits	42	31	73
4.23 General Permit	42	94	136
Combined Two or Three Type 4 General Permits	42	53	95
Combined Four or More Type 4 General Permits	42	94	136

Note: Each request for an alternative design, installation, or operational feature under A.A.C. R18-9-A312(G) to a type 4 general permit adds eight business days to the substantive review time-frame.

#### **DESIGN REVIEW UPDATE FOR ALTERNATIVE SYSTEMS**

System design for alternative type 4 general permits require compliance with the provisions of A.A.C R18-9-A312(B)(4)(a-g), as applicable. A design review will focus attention on overall system design to ensure all applicable requirements are met, regardless of any manufacturer's claim or restriction.



## **ENGINEERING REVIEW** NOTICE OF INTENT TO DISCHARGE

### ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY APPLICATION

GE	NERAL INFORMATI	ION								
1	Project Name									
	Project Name									
	Applicant (person responsible for overall compliance)									
	(Check One)	Owner	Opera	ator						
	Name					Phone				
	Title					Firm Name				
	Mailing Address				_ City		State		Zip	
	Email Address*									
3	Contact Person	Agent (if d	ifferent from	applicant)						
						Phone				
	Title					Firm Name				
	Mailing Address				_ City		State		Zip	
	Email Address*									
4	Site Information	1								
						City				
			Range		Section					
	Latitude	o		<u> </u>		Longitude				" W
	Address				I	Parcel Number		Size		Acres
5	Existing Enviro			. 1	1.0	1 11 1 0	**** * 1 1	. 1 1	1	•,
						or needed by the fa nat may have previ				
	additional pages		-)		•	iat may have previ	•		ige (uiiii.	211
6	Review Fees									
*Em	A) Standard R. B) Request for ail addresses are require	r priority re	view for this	NOI and inc		e the Standard Rev	view Fee.			
		DE	EPARTMENT (	USE ONLY				DATE STA	MP	
File	Number									
Fee Proj	Paid for this ject									
Che	eck Total									
	ority Review quested?	YES /	NO							

SUP	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION						
7	Info	ormation and Submission Rec	uirements (Check All	Completed Items)			
		Site Investigation Report per A.A.C. R18-9-A309(B)(1)					
		Site Plan per A.A.C. R18-9-A309(B)(2)					
8	Des	esign Flow and Strength of Wastewater					
		Design flow per A.A.C. R18-9		gallons per day			
	B)	The expected strength of the wastewater (if the strength exceeds the levels for typical sewage) is attached?  Yes					
	C)	For single family dwelling, a list of the number of bedrooms and plumbing fixtures and corresponding unit flows used to calculate the design flow of the facility per A.A.C. R18-9-A314.					
		Wastewater Source	Number	Unit Flows used to calculate the design flow of the facility			
		Bedrooms					
		Plumbing Fixtures					
	D)	For a dwelling other than for a calculate the design flow of th		ach wastewater source and corresponding unit flows used to			
		Wastewater Source	Number	Unit Flows used to calculate the design flow of the facility			
9	List	t of Materials, Components, a	nd Equipment				
	A li	ist of materials, components, an	d equipment for constru	cting the on-site wastewater treatment facility is attached?   Yes			
10	Sel	ected General Permits (Check	All General Permits tl	nat Are being Applied for)			
		Interceptor(s) as Required u	nder R18-9-A315				
	A)	Please enter the number of into	erceptors proposed for th	is project .			
		Alternative Request(s) are at	tached (A.A.C. R18-9-	A312(G))			
i	<u>A)</u>						
	Ш	4.02 Septic Tank With Disposal by Trench, Bed, Chamber Technology or Seepage Pit, Less than 3,000 Gallons Per Day (GPD) Daily Flow					
	A)	This on-site wastewater treatment facility consists of a conventional septic tank system and disposal field sized for a design					
		flow of gallons per day. The septic tank conveys wastewater to a disposal field consisting of (check one):  1. Trench					
		a. Filled with aggregate [A.A.C. R18-9-101(1)], or					
		b. Filled with crushed, recycled concrete [A.A.C. R18-9-E302(C)(2)(d)]					
		2. Bed 3. Chamban tachnalagu					
		<ul> <li>3. Chamber technology</li> <li>4. Seepage pit</li> </ul>					
	B)	The date the system is expecte	d to start operation				
	C)	The sewage to the septic tank	has the characteristics of	f: Typical household sewage or Typical household			
	D)	sewage and					
	,	Conventional septic tank system serving a single-family residence.					
		Conventional septic tank system serving other than a single-family residence.					
	<u> </u>	Composting Toilet, Less		Flow (Please select from Product List)			
	A) B)	Composting toilet system man	·				
	в) С)			cations for installation, operation, and maintenance has been			
	,	provided? Yes					
		The product model number					
	E)	Calculations for the compostir	g rate, capacity, and was	ste accumulation volume are attached? \(\sim\) Yes			

UPDATE AUGUST 2023 PAGE 2 OF 5

NOTICE O	OF INTENT TO DISCHARGE – ONSITE SYSTEMS					
F)	Documentation of listing by a national listing organization indicating that the composting toilet meets the stated manufacturer's specifications for loading, treatment performance, and operation has been attached (unless the composting toilet is listed under R18-9-A309(E) or is a component of a reference design approved by the Department)?   Yes					
G)	Describe the vector control method.					
H)	Describe the planned method and frequency for disposing of the composted human excrement residue.					
I)	Describe the planned method for disposing of the drainage from the composting unit.					
J)	The number of bedrooms in the dwelling or persons served on a daily basis, as applicable.					
K)	What is the corresponding design flow of the disposal works for the wastewater?					
L)	The results from soil evaluation or percolation testing that adequately characterize the soils into which the wastewater will					
	be dispersed and the locations of soil evaluation and percolation testing on the site plan have been provided?   Yes					
M)	The design for the disposal including the location of the interceptor, the location and configuration of the trench or bed used for wastewater dispersal, the location of connecting wastewater pipelines, and the location of the reserve area has been provided?   Yes					
	4.04 Pressure Distribution System, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow					
A)	1, 1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
B)	A copy of dosing specifications, including pump curves, dispersing component curves, and float switch settings is attached?   Yes					
	4.05 Gravelless Trench, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow					
A)						
B)	☐ Yes  The configuration and size of the proposed gravelless disposal field is attached? ☐ Yes					
C)	The manufacturer's installation instructions and warranty of performance for absorbing wastewater into the native soil is					
	attached? Yes					
	4.06 Natural Seal Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow					
A)						
	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes					
A) B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes					
B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow					
	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes					
B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes					
B) A) B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes					
B) A) B) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one					
B) A) B) A) B) C)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction					
B) A) B) A) B) C)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? ☐ Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? ☐ Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? ☐ Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? ☐ Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? ☐ Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? ☐ Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? ☐ Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? ☐ Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? ☐ Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? ☐ Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? ☐ Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? ☐ Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? ☐ Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? ☐ Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow					
B) A) B) A) B) C)	water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used? Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed? Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes  4.11 Peat Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the peat media proposed for use in the filter or provided in the peat module, including the porosity,					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A) A) A) A) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes  4.11 Peat Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the peat media proposed for use in the filter or provided in the peat module, including the porosity, surface area, and moisture content are attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A) A) A) A) A)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached?  Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached?  Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes  4.11 Peat Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the peat media proposed for use in the filter or provided in the peat module, including the porosity, surface area, and moisture content are attached? Yes  A statement indicating whether the peat is air dried, and whether the peat is from sphagnum moss or bog cotton is attached?					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A) A) A) A) B) B) B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached? Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached? Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided? Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached? Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached? Yes  4.11 Peat Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the peat media proposed for use in the filter or provided in the peat module, including the porosity, surface area, and moisture content are attached? Yes  A statement indicating whether the peat is air dried, and whether the peat is from sphagnum moss or bog cotton is attached? Yes					
B) A) B) A) B) C) A) A) A) A) B) B) B)	millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes  4.07 Lined Evapotranspiration Bed, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Capillary rise potential test results for the media used to fill the evapotranspiration bed, unless sand meeting a D50 of 0.1 millimeter (50 percent by weight of grains equal to or smaller than 0.1 millimeter) is used?  Yes  Water mass balance calculations were used to size the evapotranspiration bed?  Yes  4.08 Wisconsin Mound, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow  Specifications for the internal wastewater distribution system media proposed for use in the mound are attached?  Yes  Two scaled or dimensioned cross sections of the mound (one of the shortest basal area footprint dimension and one of the lengthwise dimension) are attached?  Yes  Design calculations following the "Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design, and Construction Manual," published by the University of Wisconsin - Madison, January 1990 Edition have been provided?  Yes  4.09 Engineered Pad, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Design materials and construction specifications for the engineered pad system are attached?  Yes  4.10 Intermittent Sand Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the media proposed for use as the sand filter are attached?  Yes  4.11 Peat Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)  Specifications for the peat media proposed for use in the filter or provided in the peat module, including the porosity, surface area, and moisture content are attached?  Yes  A statement indicating whether the peat is air dried, and whether the peat is from sphagnum moss or bog cotton is attached?  Yes					

UPDATE AUGUST 2023 PAGE 3 OF 5

	4.12 Textile Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)
A)	Filter manufacturer name
B)	Filter manufacturer address
C)	Filter model number
D)	A copy of the manufacturer's filter warranty is attached?  Yes
E)	If the system is for nitrogen reduction to 15 milligrams per liter, five-month arithmetic mean, specifications on the
Ε\	nitrogen reduction performance of the filter system, and corroborating third-party test data is attached? Yes
F) G)	The manufacturer's operation and maintenance recommendations to achieve a 20-year life are attached?  Yes If a pump or aerator is required for proper operation, the pump or aerator model number and a copy of the manufacturer's
G)	warranty is attached? Yes
H)	The design report has demonstrated there is adequate storage for untreated wastewater above the high operating level for a
	24 hour period per AAC R18-9-E312 (B)(4)(e)? Yes
I)	The design provides fail-safe wastewater controls or operational processes to prevent the release of inadequately treated
	wastewater per AAC R18-9-E312 (B)(4)(g)?  Yes
	4.13 Denitrifying System Using Separated Wastewater Streams, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow
Н	4.14 Sewage Vault, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow
	4.15 Aerobic System, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)
A)	Aerobic system manufacturer name
B)	Aerobic system manufacturer address
C)	Aerobic system model number
D)	Evidence of performance specified in AAC R18-9-E315(B) has been attached?   Yes
E)	A copy of the manufacturer's warranty and operation and maintenance recommendations to achieve performance for a 20-year life has been attached?  Yes
F)	If the aerobic system will be used for nitrogen removal from the wastewater, has evidence of a valid product listing under
	R18-9-E309(E) indicating nitrogen removal performance, or specifications and third party test data corroborating nitrogen
~`	reduction to the intended level been provided? Yes
	A list of pretreatment components needed to meet performance requirements has been attached?   Yes  The design parent has demonstrated there is a dequate storage for untreated wastewater shows the high expensions level for a
H)	The design report has demonstrated there is adequate storage for untreated wastewater above the high operating level for a 24 hour period per AAC R18-9-E312 (B)(4)(e)?  Yes
I)	The design provides fail-safe wastewater controls or operational processes to prevent the release of inadequately treated
	wastewater per AAC R18-9-E312 (B)(4)(g)?  Yes
	4.16 Nitrate-Reactive Media Filter, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow (Please select from Product List)
A)	Filter manufacturer name
B)	Filter manufacturer address
C)	Filter model number
D)	The manufacturer's requirements for pretreated wastewater supplied to the nitrate-reactive media filter have been attached?  Yes
E)	The manufacturer's specifications for design, installation, and operation for the nitrate-reactive media filter system and
E)	appurtenances have been attached?  Yes
F) G)	The manufacturer's warranty for the nitrate-reactive media filter system and appurtenances has been attached? Yes The manufacturer's operation and maintenance recommendations to achieve a 20-year operational life for the nitrate-
G)	reactive media filter system and appurtenances have been attached? Yes
H)	The manufacturer name and model number for all appurtenances that significantly contribute to achieving the performance
	have been attached?  Yes
	4.17 Cap System, Less than 3,000 GPD Daily Flow
A)	The specifications for the proposed cap fill material have been attached?  Yes
	4.18 Constructed Wetlands, Less than 3,000 GPD Design Flow
	4.19 Sand Lined Trench, Less than 3,000 GPD Design Flow
A)	Specifications for the proposed media in the trench are attached?   Yes
	4.20 Disinfection Devices, Less than 3,000 GPD Design Flow
	4.21 Surface Disposal, Less than 3,000 GPD Design Flow

UPDATE AUGUST 2023 PAGE 4 OF 5

#### Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1030:

- (1) ADEQ shall not base a licensing decision, in whole or in part, on a requirement or condition not *specifically* authorized by statute or rule. General authority in a statute does not authorize a requirement or condition unless a rule is made pursuant to it that specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- (2) Prohibited licensing decisions may be challenged in a private civil action. Relief may be awarded to the prevailing party against ADEQ, including reasonable attorney fees, damages, and all fees associated with the license application.
- (3) ADEQ employees may not intentionally or knowingly violate the requirement for specific licensing authority. Violation is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal, pursuant to ADEQ's adopted personnel policy. ADEQ employees are still afforded the immunity in A.R.S. §§ 12-821.01 and 12-820.02.

**UPDATE AUGUST 2023** PAGE 5 OF 5