

SURFACE WATER DATA SUBMITTAL GUIDANCE

Publication Number: TM-17-03



This following guidance assists those who want to have their surface water quality data used in the Clean Water Act Assessment. ADEQ publishes an assessment of Arizona's waters every two years that looks at surface water quality data over a five-year period. For example, the 2016 assessment will look at data collected between July 1, 2010, and June 30, 2015. The 2018 assessment will look at data collected between July 1, 2012, and June 30, 2017.

In general, ADEQ is looking for data that is:

- Recent (within the last 5 years) and hasn't been previously submitted
 Has an existing surface water quality standard or may be useful in interpreting a standard
 Is listed or is a tributary to a
- waterbody listed in Arizona's Surface Water Quality Standards (See Appendix B - <u>http://apps.azsos.gov/</u> <u>public_services/Title_18/18-11.pdf</u>). Many canals and urban lakes do not qualify.

ADEQ uses all existing and readily available data as required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations Section 130.7(b)(5), which typically includes water chemistry data (pH, arsenic, etc.). Macroinvertebrate, fish tissue and habitat data (such as bottom deposits) may also be submitted.

How to Submit Data

Submit data to <u>swdata@azdeq.gov</u> using Microsoft Excel, text files or an equivalent program. ADEQ does not accept hard copies, Adobe PDFs or data stored in proprietary software for use in the assessment. If you have data in a format other than Excel or text files, please contact us at <u>swdata@azdeq.gov</u>.

All data submissions must include: 1. "The Credible Data Checklist" and any supporting information, such as a Sample Plan or Quality Assurance Plan. The Credible Data Checklist helps ensure that the data is of sufficient quality for the assessment (see Arizona Administrative Code R18-11-602 -Credible Data Rule <u>http://apps.azsos.</u> gov/public services/Title 18/18-11. pdf for specific requirements). 2. **The data.** Data must be submitted electronically, preferably using our <u>Microsoft Excel Template</u>.

How Will I Know If My Data Will Be Used?

ADEQ staff will review the Credible Data Checklist and data and notify the submitter by email if "approved for use in the assessment" within 7 days of submittal. This means the required elements were present in your data, but does not guarantee that the data will be used in the assessment.

For more information, contact:

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Division Surface Water Section Greg Maro, Business Data Analyst 602-771-4711 Toll-free number: 800-234-5677 Ext: 771-4711 *swdata@azdeq.gov*

THE CREDIBLE DATA CHECKLIST

1. Contact Information

Enter the name, phone number and email of the person submitting the data. Enter the name of the organization that is reporting the data.

ADEQ CREDIBLE DATA CHECKLIST

* Denotes required fields

1. CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name*:	
Organization*:	
Phone Number*:	
Email*:	

2. Sample & Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Plan (SAP/QAP)

A sample and analysis plan and a quality assurance plan is required in order for ADEQ to use your data. Indicate if a SAP/QAP was previously submitted or if a previously submitted SAP/QAP was revised. Data submitted without a SAP and QAP will not be used in the assessment and will not be loaded into ADEQ's water quality database.

ADEQ has created the abbreviated SAP/QAP in Appendix A to help data submitters meet this requirement. However, using the abbreviated SAP/QAP is not required if one has already been developed.

2. QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN AND SAMPLE AND ANALYSIS PLAN:

Has your organization previously submitted a Quality Assurance Plan (QAP or Sample and Analysis Plan (SAP) to ADEQ?*: Yes, see 2a No, see 2b
2a. If "Yes" has it been revised?* Yes, please submit revised QAP/SAP with data No
2b. If "No", does a QAP/SAP exist for the data collected?* Yes, please submit QAP/SAP with data No, data submitted without a QAP/SAP may result in limited use by ADEQ
2c. Were data collected following the methods and procedures outlined in the QAP/SAP?* Yes No
Comments:

3. Are Required Fields Submitted?

Acknowledge whether the minimum required fields were included in the submittal. See the section on 'Data' for the list of minimum required fields.

3. REQUIRED FIELDS	
Were the following required fields submitted (if applicable)?* Ves No	
Comments:	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$

4. Laboratory Information

4. LABORATORY INFORMATION

Include all labs and their ADHS certification number(s) for all data submitted.

THE DATA

The following fields are required for every record unless otherwise indicated. A template for loading data can be downloaded here.

Table 1. Required Fields.

Field	Required if	Comments
Site ID	Always	Unique site identifier. All site IDs and locational
		information is required in the sample plan.
Reporting Agency	Always	The organization that is reporting the data. Generally
		the agency that paid for the data.
Collecting Agency	if different from	The organization collecting the water quality sample.
	reporting agency	
Sample Type 1 (F/G)	Always	F = field/in-situ measurement or G = grab sample
Sample Type 2 (R/D)	Always	R = sample-routine, D = QC sample-duplicate
Date Sampled	Always	
Time Sampled	Always	May use default time of "0:00"
Depth (if lake)	Lake	In meters
Parameter Name	Always	Water media type is assumed for all parameters
		unless indicated otherwise.
Analysis Type (D/T/S)	Lab	Dissolved, Total, Suspended; also called CAS Qualifier
Lab Name (if lab)	Lab	
Lab ID (if lab)	Lab	Insert lab identifier
Method	Always	May use "Field" method for field measurements
Result	Always	Field required but can be blank if lab notation is not
		null
Result Unit	Always	Field required but can be blank if lab notation is not
		null

Field	Required if	Comments
Lab Notation	Always	ND = non detect, GT = greater than, LT = less than
Reporting Limit	Not field	
	measurement or	
	Lab Notation is	
	reported	
Reporting Limit Unit	Reporting Limit is	
	reported	
Result Comment	Qualifier is	Result-specific comment or qualifier (500 character
	reported	limit)

Recommended Information

The following information is not required but is strongly recommended when submitting surface water data to ADEQ.

1. ROW VS. CROSSTAB

ADEQ recommends submitting data in a row format. In this format, each row is a discrete record. For example, an arsenic concentration of 0.002 ug/L at Little Creek sampled 5/15/15. Some organizations use a crosstab format. Each column heading is typically a different parameter. Lab methods, units and other information is often lost and may not meet our minimum data requirements.

2. CORE PARAMETERS

Although core parameters are not required for data submission, ADEQ needs these parameters to make attainment decisions for the assessment. Core parameters are basically the minimum parameters needed to determine whether a particular designated use such as recreation, drinking water, aquatic life or agriculture is meeting standards.

Designated Use	Core Parameter
Aquatic and Wildlife	Dissolved oxygen (not required if ephemeral)
Aquatic and Wildlife	Stream flow (if a stream)
Aquatic and Wildlife	Sample depth (if a lake)
Aquatic and Wildlife	рН
Aquatic and Wildlife	Total nitrogen (if nutrient standards established)
Aquatic and Wildlife	Total phosphorus (if nutrient standards established)
Aquatic and Wildlife	Dissolved cadmium, copper, and zinc and hardness
Fish Consumption	Mercury (tissue)
Full Body or Partial Body Contact	Escherichia coli (not required if ephemeral)
Full Body or Partial Body Contact	рН
Domestic Water Source	Nitrate/nitrite or nitrate
Domestic Water Source	рН
Domestic Water Source	Fluoride
Domestic Water Source	Total arsenic, chromium or chromium VI, and lead
Agricultural Irrigation	рН
Agricultural Irrigation	Total boron and manganese

Designated Use	Core Parameter
Agricultural Livestock Watering	рН
Agricultural Livestock Watering	Total copper and lead

3. SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION AND MINIMUM SAMPLES

ADEQ needs at least 3 samples at a minimum of 7 days apart. Data should also be distributed seasonally in order for to make attainment decisions for the Clean Water Act Assessment.

4. DATA QUALIFIERS

Lab qualifiers provide a wealth of information concerning the data collected in the field and analyzed in the lab. The following table lists some common qualifiers that the state of Arizona currently uses. It is not a comprehensive list. The full list of qualifiers is available in Chapter 10 of ADEQ's Standard Operating Procedures for Sampling Surface Water, which is located at https://www.azdeq.gov/environ/water/assessment/download/sampling.pdf. A lab analysis should also be documented with the data.

Qualifer	Short Description	Description
A	ANALYTE - VALUE IS THE MEAN OF TWO OR MORE DETERMINATIONS	VALUE REPORTED IS THE MEAN OF TWO OR MORE DETERMINATIONS
В	BACTERIA - COLONY COUNTS OUTSIDE IDEAL RANGE (20-60 CFU)	COLONY COUNTS OUTSIDE ACCEPTABLE RANGE (20-60 CFU)
с	ANALYTE - VALUE IS CALCULATED	VALUE CALCULATED
D1	DILUTION - REQUIRED DUE TO MATRIX INTERFERENCE.	DILUTION: SAMPLE REQUIRED DILUTION DUE TO MATRIX.
D2	DILUTION - REQUIRED DUE TO HIGH CONCENTRATION OF ANALYTE.	DILUTION: SAMPLE REQUIRED DILUTION DUE TO HIGH CONCENTRATION OF TARGET ANALYTE. SEE CASE NARRATIVE.
DLR	ANALYTE - DETECTION LIMIT REPORTED	ANALYTE DETECTION LIMIT REPORTED IN LIEU OF METHOD REPORTING LIMIT
E	ESTIMATE - ESTIMATED VALUE.	REPORTED VALUE ESTIMATED DUE TO MATRIX INTERFERENCE
E3	ESTIMATE - ANALYTE EXCEEDED CALIBRATION RANGE. NOT REANALYSED DUE TO HOLDING TIMES.	ESTIMATED CONCENTRATION: CONCENTRATION ESTIMATED. ANALYTE EXCEEDED CALIBRATION RANGE. REANALYSIS NOT PERFORMED DUE TO HOLDING TIME REQUIREMENTS.
E8	ESTIMATE - ANALYTE WAS NOT DETECTED; REPORTED TO MDL PER PROJECT SPECIFICATION.	ANALYTE REPORTED TO MDL PER PROJECT SPECIFICATION. TARGET ANALYTE WAS NOT DETECTED IN THE SAMPLE.
H1	HOLDING TIME - ANALYSIS PERFORMED PAST HOLDING TIME	HOLD TIME: SAMPLE ANALYSIS PERFORMED PAST HOLDING TIME.
Н3	HOLDING TIME - SAMPLE RECEIVED AND/ OR ANALYSIS REQUESTED PAST HOLDING TIME.	HOLD TIME: SAMPLE WAS RECEIVED AND/ OR ANALYSIS REQUESTED PAST HOLDING TIME.
H5	HOLDING TIME - FIELD TEST: 15 MINUTES HT. SAMPLE RECEIVED & ANALYZED PAST HOLDING TIME.	HOLDING TIME: THIS TEST IS SPECIFIED TO BE PERFORMED IN THE FIELD WITHIN 15 MINUTES OF SAMPLING; SAMPLE WAS RECEIVED AND ANALYZED PAST THE REGULATORY HOLDING TIME.
J	ESTIMATE	VALUES ARE ESTIMATED, DATA IS VALID FOR LIMITED PURPOSES.

Qualifer	Short Description	Description
К	ESTIMATE - COMPOUND IS PRESENT, BUT BELOW LISTED VALUE (TYPICALLY, THE LAB DETECTION LIMIT).	COMPOUND IS PRESENT, BUT BELOW LISTED VALUE(TYPICALLY, THE LAB DETECTION LIMIT).

5. COMMENTS ABOUT SAMPLE AND TEST RESULTS

Raw data only says so much. Comment fields are very helpful when determining if data should be used or not and can help save assessment staff time. Comments about flooding, groundwater upwelling, flow status, and recent rain events are all recommended. These comments can be submitted with the data using the 'comment' field (located in <u>Excel Template</u>).

Appendix A – Abbreviated Sample and Quality Assurance Plan * Required by Credible Data Rule – Data Will Not Be Accepted if Blank

Instructions: Download and save this document on your computer <u>before</u> you fill out the following sample and analysis plan, designed to capture minimum credible data requirements for ambient surface waters (lakes and streams). Sample plans and quality assurance plans may be submitted in another format as long as the required fields are included. Sample plans should be used to decide when, where, what and how to sample <u>before</u> you sample. A little planning increases the likelihood that the monitoring information will meet your objectives. See Data Submission Guidelines for the minimum required fields.

Approvals*

Project Manager*	Date*
Quality Assurance Coordinator*	Date*
Sample Plan Prepared by*	Date*

Provide a Brief Description of the Project / Background*

List any Training Samplers Given*

Site Information

Site Table* (Complete the Table Below)

Waterbody Name*	Site Name*	Site ID*	Latitude*	Longitude*	Lat/Long Method ^{1*}	Lat/Long Accuracy ²	Frequency*	Parameters Sampled*

Note: ADEQ prefers latitude/longitude in decimal degrees and in the NAD83 datum. Specify coordinate system used if other than ADEQ preference.

1 Lat/Long Method Choices. 1. Handheld GPS; 2. Visually Interpolated from 7.5 USGS Topographic Map; 3. Post-processed GPS position; 4. Google Earth; 5. Other (describe)

2 Lat/Long Accuracy Choices. 2-5 meters (most GPS devices). Leave blank if unknown

Field Sampling Requirements*

Field notes and measurements will be recorded in a field notebook or equivalent, which will be maintained by the Sampling Team Leader. Notes that may impact the interpretation of the data should be included with the results. This may include storm flows, flooding, groundwater upwelling, algal blooms or any other event that the sampler believes to have impacted the data.

List Sampling Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to be followed. SOPs describe how samples were collected. Does the SOP include field instrumentation calibration procedures? Links are acceptable*

Describe or reference SOP for how field equipment is maintained and calibrated*

Field Equipment Table* (Complete the Table Below)								
Parameter Name*	Equipment	Range*	Accuracy*	Resolution*				

Lab Information:

.

Sampling Table* (Complete the Table Below)

Parameter Name*	Analysis Type (Total / Dissolved) *	Sample Container	Lab Method*	Method Reporting Limit*	Preservation	Holding Time

List the percent and type of Quality Control Samples (blanks, duplicates, splits) collected*

List Any Safety Issues:

Nearest Hospital Emergency Room:

SURFACE WATER DATA SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Data Quality Assurance and Control*

Quality assurance and control procedures are used to ensure data collected is of good quality. Section 10.6.2 of ADEQ's SOP may be used as a reference guide.

How much relative percent difference is acceptable between a split?

How much relative percent difference is acceptable for field duplicate samples?

How much contamination is allowed in a blank?

How are bottles labeled and field notes recorded? Field notes include calibration and maintenance of the instruments and notes taken during sampling.

How is the data documented so that it is defensible? In other words, is a process in place where your organization could provide chains of custody, field forms, lab data, and equipment log books if a specific data point were questioned?